Laboratory measurements of HDO/H$_2$O isotopic fractionation during ice deposition in simulated cirrus clouds
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The stable isotopes of water have been used in atmospheric and climate studies for over 50 years, because their strong temperature-dependent preferential condensation makes them useful diagnostics of the hydrological cycle. However, the degree of preferential condensation between vapor and ice has never been directly measured at temperatures below 233 K (−40 °C), conditions necessary to form cirrus clouds in the Earth’s atmosphere, routinely observed in polar regions, and typical for the near-surface atmospheric layers of Mars. Models generally assume an extrapolation from the warmer experiments of Merlivat and Nief (2) Tellus 19:122–127. Nonequilibrium kinetic effects that should alter preferential partitioning have also not been well characterized experimentally. We present here direct measurements of HDO/H$_2$O equilibrium fractionation between vapor and ice ($\alpha_{eq}$) at cirrus-relevant temperatures, using in situ spectroscopic measurements of the evolving isotopic composition of water vapor during cirrus formation experiments in a cloud chamber. We rule out the recent proposed upward modification of $\alpha_{eq}$, and find values slightly lower than Merlivat and Nief. These experiments also allow us to make a quantitative validation of the kinetic modification expected to occur in supersaturated conditions in the ice–vapor system. In a subset of diffusion-limited experiments, we show that kinetic isotopoeffects are indeed consistent with published models, including allowing for small surface effects. These results are fundamental for inferring processes on Earth and other planets from water isotopic measurements. They also demonstrate the utility of dynamic in situ experiments for studying fractionation in geochemical systems.

Significance

The preferential deposition of heavy water (HDO or H$_2^{18}$O) as ice is a fundamental tracer in the geosciences, used for understanding paleoclimate and water cycling, but the basic physical chemistry is not well measured. We describe here measurements of the preferential fractionation of HDO vs. H$_2$O at the cold temperatures relevant to cirrus clouds on Earth and snow on Mars. We also provide a quantitative demonstration of kinetic isotope effects in nonequilibrium conditions, and show how targeted dynamic experiments can be used to understand processes at ice surfaces.
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vapor pressure isotope effects seen in natural systems. In 2013, Ellehøj et al. (26) reported measurements implying still stronger vapor pressure isotope effects seen in natural systems. In 2013, i.e., preferential partitioning α_{\text{eq}} = 1 nearly 50% higher than implied by M67 (22). (We denote the expression for the temperature dependence in ref. 26 as \( E_{13} \); see Table S1 for all previous estimates.) That difference would significantly alter interpretations of water isotopic measurements.

In many real-world conditions, kinetic effects during ice deposition can modify isotopic fractionation from the equilibrium case. Jouzel and Merlivat (27) explained nonequilibrium isotopic signatures in polar snow as the result of reduced effective fractionation when ice grows in diffusion-limited (and hence supersaturated) conditions, reasoning that preferential uptake should isotonically lighten the near-field vapor around growing ice crystals, with the effect amplified by the lower diffusivity of the heavier isotopologues. These diffusive effects are important for rain as well as snow, because most precipitation originates in mixed-phase (ice and liquid water) clouds, and can therefore alter “deuterium excess” in rainwater, a metric of nonequilibrium fractionation when ice grows in diffusion-limited (and hence supercooled) conditions that is often interpreted as reflecting only the initial evaporation of water (28). Despite the importance of kinetic effects during ice deposition, they are poorly characterized by experimental studies.

In the framework of Jouzel and Merlivat (27), the kinetic modification factor \( \alpha_k \) can be written in terms of properties of the bulk gas,

\[
\alpha_k = \frac{S_i}{\alpha_{\text{eq}} \cdot d (S_i - 1) + 1},
\]

where \( S_i \) is the supersaturation over ice and \( d \) (following the notation of ref. 29) is the isotopic ratio of diffusivities of water molecules in air. (That is, \( d = D_O / D_D \), where \( D_O \) and \( D_D \) are the molecular diffusivities of H\(_2\)O and HDO, respectively.) The effective isotopic fractionation is then \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha_{\text{eq}} \cdot \alpha_k \). The modification can be large at high supersaturations and cold temperatures, e.g., when ice nucleates homogeneously within aqueous sulfate aerosols in the upper troposphere (\( S_i = 1.5, T = 190 \) K). For ice growth occurring at these conditions, the preferential partitioning would be reduced by over 55% (\( \alpha_{\text{eq}} = 1.43 \), but \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} = 1.24 \)) even conservatively using one of the lowest published estimates of \( d \), that from Cappa et al. (ref. 30, \( d = 1.0164 \)).

The diffusive model of Eq. 2 is widely used but poorly validated. Kinetic effects during ice growth have been explored in three prior experimental studies (27, 31, 32). Although these provided qualitative support, relating supersaturated conditions to reduced fractionation or gradients in vapor isotopic composition, no experiments produced quantitative agreement with Eq. 2.

Recent theoretical studies have proposed extending the diffusive model to include surface processes at the vapor–ice interface, which may become important when ice crystals are small (of order microns). In these conditions, surface impedance becomes comparable to vapor impedance, and any difference in deposition coefficients between isotopologues would contribute to kinetic isotope effects (29, 33). (The deposition coefficient quantifies the probability that a molecule incident on a growing ice crystal will be incorporated into the crystal lattice. Again following ref. 29, we define its isotopic ratio as \( x = \beta / \beta' \), where \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \) are the deposition coefficients for H\(_2\)O and HDO, respectively.) The deposition coefficient ratio has never been measured, but suggested plausible values of \( x \) of 0.8 to 1.2 would, in our example of upper tropospheric cirrus formation, further alter preferential partitioning by an additional 7 to 9%. Previous experimental studies of kinetic fractionation (27, 31, 32) were not sensitive to surface processes, because all involved large dendritic crystals in a regime where growth is not limited by surface effects (e.g., refs. 29, 34, and 35).

 IsoCloud Campaigns

To investigate both equilibrium and kinetic isotopic effects at low temperatures, we carried out a series of experiments at the Aerosol Interactions and Dynamics in the Atmosphere (AIDA) cloud chamber during the 2012–2013 IsoCloud (Isotopic fractionation in Clouds) campaign. AIDA is a mature facility that has been widely used for studies of ice nucleation and cirrus formation (e.g., refs. 36–38). In the IsoCloud experiments, we determine isotopic fractionation not from static conditions as in previous studies but by measuring the evolving concentrations of HDO and H\(_2\)O vapor as ice forms. These experiments more closely replicate the conditions of ice formation in the atmosphere. Results reported here are derived from a new in situ tunable diode laser absorption instrument measuring HDO and H\(_2\)O (the Chicago Water Isotope Spectrometer, ChiWIS) and from AIDA instruments measuring total water, water vapor, ice crystal number density, temperature, and pressure (Fig. 1).

AIDA experiments produce rapid cooling inside the cloud chamber by pumping and adiabatic expansion, causing nucleation and growth of ice particles in situ. In a typical experiment (Fig. 2), cooling drives supersaturation above the threshold for ice nucleation within a minute of the onset of pumping. (\( S_i \approx 1 \) to 1.2 for heterogeneous and 1.4 to 1.6 for homogeneous nucleation.) As ice grows, the isotopic ratio of chamber water vapor lightens as the heavier isotopologues preferentially condense. For a typical cooling of 5 K to 9 K, water vapor
drops by 30 to 50% and the vapor HDO/H2O ratio drops by ~10%. After several minutes, the walls (prepared with a thin ice layer in initial equilibrium with vapor) become a source of both water vapor and heat (39), and vapor mixing ratio and isotopic composition stabilize even while ice growth continues. Most IsoCloud experiments reach saturation quickly after nucleation, but, in dilute conditions, ice growth can take several minutes to draw chamber vapor down to equilibrium. The resulting ambient supersaturation during ice growth depends on the nucleation threshold, growth rate, and ice particle number density.

The analysis here uses 28 experiments during the March through April 2013 IsoCloud campaign, covering a wide range of conditions: initial temperatures from 234 K to 194 K, mean supersaturation over ice \((S_i)\) of 1.0 to 1.4, mean ice particle diameter of 2 μm to 14 μm, and ice nucleation via mineral dust, organic aerosols, and sulfate aerosols. (Temperatures are restricted to 234 K and below to preclude coexistence of liquid and ice phases, which would complicate isotopic interpretation.) Each campaign day involved four to six expansion experiments at the same initial temperature, separated by 1 h to 2 h to reestablish equilibrium. To boost signal to noise for isotopic measurements, all water introduced into AIDA was isotopically doped to produce HDO/H2O ratios of ~10 to 20× natural abundance (defined as VSMOW). See SI Appendix for further information about instruments, experiments, data treatment, and campaign. SI Appendix, Table S3 and Fig. S4 show conditions and results for all experiments used in this analysis.

Analysis
Interpreting cirrus formation experiments requires consideration of three factors: equilibrium fractionation, kinetic effects, and any additional sources of water. In the absence of other sources, water vapor isotopic composition would evolve by simple Rayleigh distillation, with vapor progressively depleted as ice grows and HDO is segregated into the ice phase. The effective isotopic fractionation \(\alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha_{\text{eq}} \cdot \alpha_i\) would then be the slope of that evolution (Fig. 3). Isotopic evolution deviates from Rayleigh distillation when the wall contribution becomes nonnegligible.

We account for all three effects by fitting each experiment to a model derived from mass balance over H2O and HDO,

\[
\frac{dR_v}{dt} = - (\alpha_{\text{eff}} - 1) R_v P_{vi} \frac{r_i}{r_v} + \left(\gamma - 1\right) R_v S_{wv} \frac{r_i}{r_v}.
\]

(For further discussion, see SI Appendix, Isotopic Model for Expansion Experiments.) We measure the water vapor concentration \(r_v\) and isotopic composition \(R_v = r'_v / r_v\) (where \(r'_v\) and \(r_v\) denote the mass mixing ratio of HDO and H2O, respectively, in the vapor phase), and use water vapor and total water to infer \(P_{vi}\), the loss of vapor to ice formation, and \(S_{wv}\), the source of vapor from wall outgassing. The remaining two unknowns are the fractionation \(\alpha_{\text{eff}}\) and \(\gamma \equiv R_v / R_i\), the isotopic composition of wall flux \(R_w = r'_w / r_w\) normalized by that of bulk vapor.

We fit for these unknowns in two ways: fitting \(\alpha_{\text{eff}}\) and \(\gamma\) independently (two-parameter fit) and assuming that outgassing is nonfractionating sublimation of ice that had previously equilibrated with chamber vapor, i.e., assuming \(R_w = \alpha_{\text{eq}} \cdot R_i\) (one-parameter fit). Results are consistent, suggesting that this assumption is valid. To minimize the influence of wall flux uncertainties, we fit only the initial part of each experiment when ice deposition dominates (54 s to 223 s); most ice growth occurs in the first few minutes of each experiment, and the wall contribution grows over time. See SI Appendix, Fitting Protocol: Individual Experiments for discussion of fitting individual experiments and uncertainty treatment.

To convert a derived effective fractionation \(\alpha_{\text{eff}}\) into an equilibrium fractionation \(\alpha_{\text{eq}}\), we must assume a functional form for...
α_k. We take as our default assumptions the classical model of Jouzel and Merlivat (27) (Eq. 2) and isotopic diffusivity ratio d from Cappa et al. (30), but validate both assumptions using experiments in differing conditions of saturation and ice particle sizes. (See Results and SI Appendix, Evaluation of Kinetic Models.)

To derive the temperature dependence of the equilibrium fractionation factor, we first evaluate equilibrium fractionation factors for all 28 individual experiments, assuming evolving α eq from measured S_i and Eq. 2. Because the experiments are performed at different temperatures, we can then estimate the temperature-dependent α eq(T) by taking a weighted global fit of the 28 experimental α eq values to the 1/T^2 temperature dependence of Eq. 1, constraining the fit to agree with the warmest measurement of Merlivat and Nieff (22). (See SI Appendix, Global Fit Procedure for details; analysis implies that the functional form of Eq. 2 is indeed valid over this temperature range.)

**Results**

**Equilibrium Fractionation Factor.** We find that the temperature dependence of α eq lies far below E13 (26), and slightly below the widely used M67 (22) (Fig. 4). The distinction from M67 (22) is significant to a 3σ confidence interval and robust to assumptions made in fitting and in modeling kinetic isotope effects. (The uncertainty estimates in Fig. 4 are used in weighting the global fit; see SI Appendix, Fitting Protocol: Individual Experiments for uncertainty, SI Appendix, Fitting Protocol: Temperature Dependence for global fitting, and SI Appendix, Evaluation of Kinetic Models for tests of kinetic models.) Estimates for α eq(T) obtained by the two fitting methods differ by < 10^-2 throughout the experimental temperature range. We recommend that modelers use derived constants for the one-parameter fit: a_0 = -0.0559 and a_1 = 13,525; compare to M67 (22) with a_0 = -0.0945 and a_1 = 16,289.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Kinetic Isotope Effects.** As discussed previously, the inferred equilibrium fractionation values of Fig. 4 required correction for assumed kinetic modification, because any supersaturated conditions lead to lower effective isotopic fractionation (Fig. 3). The fact that IsoCloud experiments span a range of supersaturations allows us to quantitatively test models of kinetic isotope effects. Because equilibrium fractionation should depend only on temperature, a validity test for a kinetic model is that retrieved α eq in individual experiments be independent of supersaturation: any dependence on S_i would imply an overcorrection or undercorrection for kinetic effects. We find that if α eq is estimated with the classic diffusive model of Eq. 2 and our default d = 1.0164 (30), the resulting fitted values for α eq indeed show negligible dependence on supersaturation.

We can then extend this test to derive constraints on physical parameters in models of the kinetic effect. In each test case, we find the parameter value that yields a consistent α eq independent of S_i, along with 1σ bounds from propagation of uncertainties. (See SI Appendix, Evaluation of Kinetic Models for details.) Estimating the isotopic diffusivity ratio d under the pure diffusive model of Eq. 2 yields an optimal value slightly below the lowest published measurement, although with uncertainty encompassing all literature values (Fig. 5). The optimized value is 1.009 ± 0.036, whereas published estimates of d evaluated at 190 K span 1.015 to 1.045 (SI Appendix, Table S5). Although this constraint is not strong, it motivates our choice of the relatively low diffusivity ratio measured by Cappa et al. (30) as our default, a value that is also consistent with kinetic gas theory.

We next test a model that incorporates surface kinetic effects following Nelson (29) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). In this model, the isotopic diffusivity ratio d in Eq. 2 is replaced by (dk xy)/(1 + k), where k is the ratio of deposition coefficients, y is the ratio of thermal velocities (√19/18), and the dimensionless coefficient k ≡ yv/4D_0, where v is the ice particle radius and v, D_0, and β are the thermal velocity, diffusivity in air, and deposition coefficient for H2O, respectively. Note that this surface kinetic model does not reduce to the pure diffusive model of Eq. 2 when x is set to 1 but, when fit to the experiments described here, produces nearly identical results. The limited IsoCloud experiments do not allow d and x to be constrained simultaneously, but we can estimate each given an assumption about the other. We therefore optimize for x in the surface kinetic model given a variety of assumed d.

These tests yield x slightly below 1 regardless of the assumed diffusivity ratio. At the low default d = 1.0164, we obtain x = 0.957 ± 0.22 (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). The higher the assumed value of d, the lower the implied value of x; for example, d = 1.0251 (40) yields x = 0.924 (again ± 0.22). These experiments may therefore provide tighter constraints on x than the range of 0.8 to 1.2 suggested by Nelson (29). The results consistently suggest that HDO molecules are slightly more likely to be incorporated into the crystal lattice than are H2O.

**Discussion**

Given the extensive use of water isotopic variations in climate, atmospheric, and planetary studies, the paucity of measurements of the fundamental fractionation properties of water has long been a concern. This concern was heightened by the recent significant proposed revision by Ellehøj et al. (26) to the half-century-old measurements of Merlivat and Nieff (22). The experiments described here should provide some resolution of that discrepancy. The IsoCloud campaign allowed direct measurements of the equilibrium fractionation factor between HDO and H2O at the cold temperatures characteristic of cirrus clouds, polar snow, or Martian snow and ice deposits. These measurements rule out the substantial upward revision to α eq proposed by Ellehøj et al. (26) and, in fact, imply a slightly weaker temperature
The IsoCloud campaign also provided quantitative confirmation of theories of the kinetic modification to fractionation during ice deposition. Cirrus formation experiments in super-saturated conditions demonstrate that the diffusive model for kinetic isotope effects originally proposed 3 decades ago provides an adequate explanation of suppressed fractionation when ice growth is diffusion-limited. Experiments show slightly weaker kinetic effects than expected with the perhaps most widely used estimate of the isotopic diffusivity ratio \( d = 1.0251 \) from ref. 40 but are consistent with the slightly lower estimate of Cappa et al. \( d = 1.0164 \) (30).

Experimental results are also consistent with a surface kinetic model that posits additional modifications to fractionation due to isotopic differences in incorporation into the ice lattice. Fits to this model consistently suggest a slightly higher deposition coefficient for HDO than for H\(_2\)O, although the results cannot exclude equal values. However, the limited set of IsoCloud experiments allows for multiple solutions: an even stronger surface effect favoring HDO deposition could be counteracted by an even stronger diffusion effect preferentially bringing H\(_2\)O to the growing ice particle.

The constraints on diffusivity and deposition ratios obtained here could be tightened further given a targeted series of experiments. In IsoCloud, the experiments with conditions most sensitive to kinetic effects tended to be those at the coldest temperatures, where signal-to-noise is lowest. Diffusion-related effects play a role only in supersaturated conditions; in the IsoCloud experiments, homogeneous nucleation experiments with high \( S_i \) were conducted only at \( T < 205 \) K. Surface effects play a role only when \( k \) and therefore ice crystal size are small; in IsoCloud, these conditions again occurred only at the coldest temperatures. Values for \( k \) (2 to 15) followed ice particle diameters (2 µm to 14 µm), which followed temperature; in IsoCloud, diameters below 5 µm dominated only for \( T < 215 \) K. New experiments at warmer (> 220 K) temperatures that systematically varied ice crystal diameters in high-supersaturation conditions could allow distinguishing diffusion from surface effects.

Note that, although these methods can, in principle, be used to evaluate fractionation in other isotopologues of water, the oxygen-substituted isotopic systems are more challenging because of their smaller vapor pressure isotope effects: at 190 K, \( \alpha_{H^2O} < 1.04 \) for H\(_2\)O/H\(_2\)O vs. 1.4 for HDO/H\(_2\)O (41). Isotopic doping is, however, particularly useful for the low-natural-abundance H\(_2\)O.

Although the particular set of IsoCloud experiments provides only broad constraints on kinetic isotope effects, they demonstrate the potential of in situ vapor measurements in dynamic condensation experiments for diagnosing fundamental isotope physics. All previous approaches to determining equilibrium and kinetic isotopic fractionation in water relied on setting up static conditions and measuring differences or gradients in space. We demonstrate here the power of measuring, instead, evolution over time, in conditions more analogous to condensation in real naturally occurring systems. IsoCloud results show that chamber-based simulations of ice growth in cirrus clouds can provide robust estimates of equilibrium fractionation in the vapor–ice system, and robust constraints on kinetic effects. We hope this approach helps enable further measurements of the fundamental isotopic properties of water and other condensable species.
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