Ancient human disturbances may be skewing our understanding of Amazonian forests
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Although the Amazon rainforest houses much of Earth’s biodiversity and plays a major role in the global carbon budget, estimates of tree biodiversity originate from fewer than 1,000 forest inventory plots, and estimates of carbon dynamics are derived from fewer than 200 recensuses. It is well documented that the pre-European inhabitants of Amazonia actively transformed and modified the forest in many regions before their population collapsed around 1491 AD; however, the impacts of these ancient disturbances remain entirely unaccounted for in the many highly influential studies using Amazonian forest plots. Here we examine whether Amazonian forest inventory plot locations are spatially biased toward areas with high probability of ancient human impacts. Our analyses reveal that forest inventory plots, and especially forest recensuses, in all regions of Amazonia are located disproportionately near archaeological evidence and in areas likely to have ancient human impacts. Furthermore, regions of the Amazon that are relatively oversampled with inventory plots also contain the highest values of predicted ancient human impacts. Given the long lifespan of Amazonian trees, many forest inventory and recensus sites may still be recovering from past disturbances, potentially skewing our interpretations of forest dynamics and our understanding of how these forests are responding to global change. Empirical data on the human history of forest inventory sites are crucial for determining how past disturbances affect modern patterns of forest composition and carbon flux in Amazonian forests.

Amazonian rainforests encompass an area of >5 million km², harbor much of Earth’s biodiversity, and directly or indirectly support global society through vital ecosystems services, such as water cycling and carbon sequestration (1–4). Several decades of inventorying and monitoring of forest plots have provided invaluable information concerning, for example, the total number of trees (5), species diversity (6–8), and estimates of carbon stocks and dynamics (1, 4, 9). In the Amazon. That said, many important questions remain concerning the drivers of forest dynamics and functioning, and how the Amazon may be responding to global changes, such as increasing atmospheric concentrations of CO₂, rising temperatures, and changes in precipitation patterns (10–14). Notably, recensuses of hundreds of forest plots have revealed increases in their aboveground biomass, suggesting that the Amazon forest is acting as a large carbon sink (15, 16); however, both the generality of biomass increases and the underlying cause(s) remain matters of active debate, owing to such factors as sparse data and environmental heterogeneity (17–20).

One of the primary sources of uncertainty in estimating forest dynamics and function is the fact that forest plots directly sample only a very small fraction (<0.0005%) of the total area of Amazonia (21), and thus there is a strong potential for biases toward certain conditions or types of forests (15, 22, 23). Another source of uncertainty is the use of short-term monitoring to track changes in forest dynamics that can be influenced by processes occurring over much longer time scales. Amazonian tree lifespans often exceed 400 y (24), with some trees living more than 1,400 y (25); as such, disturbances that occurred in past centuries or millennia may still be affecting the patterns and processes observed in modern ecological surveys (26).

A likely source of past large-scale disturbances on these time scales is ancient people, who actively modified landscapes (27–29) and have been cultivating crops in some regions of the Amazon since at least 6,000 y ago (30, 31). The Llanos de Moxos region in Bolivia contains abundant evidence of ancient people in the form of fish weirs, berms, and raised canals (28). The most common legacy of ancient peoples on these landscapes, however, is Amazonian Dark Earths (ADEs; also referred to as “terras pretas”), which contain elevated nutrient levels, pottery sherds, and abundant charcoal (32–34) (Fig. 1). ADEs have been associated with altered abundances of several species of Amazonian trees, particularly palms (35–37). Ancient people also constructed geoglyphs, geometric earthworks sometimes several hundred meters in diameter that often occur in clusters in regions of southwestern Amazonia (27, 38). Other archaeological sites have also been compiled and mapped (39, 40) (Fig. 1), and approximately one half of all lake sediment records analyzed for pollen in Amazonia contained evidence of ancient human activity (41).

Before European colonization in the 1500s, an estimated 8–20 million people inhabited Amazonia (42–44). These ancient people and their impacts on the forest were not homogenously distributed, however, and people were associated with specific forest types, abiotic conditions, or access to transportation and other resources (45–47). Given that both ancient and modern humans tend to focus activities around areas close to waterways
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In this study, we assessed the degree to which forest plot networks in Amazonia sensu stricto potentially reflect legacies of human-modified landscapes by comparing the locations of forest inventory plots with probability models of AHI. Given the heterogeneity of both abiotic conditions and the distribution of known AHI across Amazonia, we conducted our analyses at both basin-wide and regional scales. We also analyzed the potential for AHI in a smaller subset of plots that have been recensused multiple times and are used to study forest dynamics and carbon fluxes.

Results

By combining the published locations of 882 Amazonian forest plots (hereinafter inventory plots) and a subset of 115 plots that have been recensused multiple times (hereinafter recensused plots) with probability models of predicted AHI (i.e., pre-Columbian) and locations of known ancient human activity (Fig. 1 B and C), we determine the degree to which plots are situated along gradients of ancient disturbance across the basin (Methods and SI Methods). The forest inventory plots are located in forests with AHI values ranging from 0.0008 (low probability of AHI) to 1 (high probability of AHI), with a median value of 0.23 and median absolute deviation of ±0.23. The plots ranged from 0 to 379 km away from the nearest-known evidence of ancient human activity (dAHI), with a median distance of 55 km and a median absolute deviation of ±65 km.

The density distributions of AHI values and dAHI values differed significantly from null expectations based on the density distributions generated in the 500 simulations of random sampling points (Fig. 2 A and B). The probability densities of AHI values were lower than the simulated densities of random points when AHI values were <0.2, but exceeded the density values of random points when AHI values were >0.2 (Fig. 2A). In other words, forest inventory plots tend to undersample forests with low probability of AHI, but to oversample forests with intermediate to high probability of AHI [but also to undersample areas in the Bolivian Beni with extremely high (e.g., AHI = 1.0) probability of AHI]. Probability densities of dAHI values from the forest inventory plots exceeded the expected density of random points at distances <100 km, and were significantly lower than the expected densities at distances between 100 and 800 km (Fig. 2B). Thus, the plots oversample forests close to sites known to have supported ancient humans and undersample forests at intermediate to high distances from sites with known disturbances.

The subset of recensused plots is located in forests with AHI values ranging from 0.01 to 1, with a median AHI of 0.24 and median absolute deviation of ±0.23. The probability densities of AHI values from recensused plots tended to be lower than random at AHI values <0.2, and significantly exceeded null expectations at most AHI values >0.2 (Fig. 2C). Thus, the recensused plots markedly oversampled areas that likely contained ancient human activity. The dAHI values for the recensused plots ranged from 1 to 377 km, with a median distance of 39.5 km and a median absolute deviation of ±49 km. The densities of dAHI values also exceeded random expectation at distances <125 km, similar to the full set of inventory plots (Fig. 2 B and D). Collectively, these results reinforce the idea that plot locations, particularly those that are surveyed repeatedly, tend to be closer to areas of the Amazon that contain higher levels of ancient human disturbance than would be expected by chance (Fig. 2 C and D).
Fig. 2. Density of AHI probabilities for the 882 forest inventory plots (A and B) and a subset of 115 recensused plots (C and D), in Amazonia (black line) compared with density values generated from 500 simulations of random points across Amazonia (gray line and shading). The dark-gray line represents the median of the simulated densities, and the light-gray shading represents the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals. Bandwidths for density functions were 0.025 for AHI densities (A and C), and 0.05 for dAHI densities (B and D).

The central, western, and eastern regions of Amazonia (sensu stricto) were oversampled with inventory plots compared with null expectations (i.e., these regions contained significantly more plots than would occur if plots were distributed randomly across the basin), whereas southern Amazonia and the Guiana Shield were undersampled (Fig. 3A and B and Table S1). Inventory plots had significantly higher AHI values and significantly lower dAHI values in eastern and central Amazonia (both oversampled) compared with plots in the western, and southern Amazonia and Guiana Shield regions (AHI: \( \chi^2 = 143, df = 5, P < 0.001 \); dAHI: \( \chi^2 = 343, df = 5, P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 3A and B; a full set of Nemenyi post hoc test results is presented in Table S2). Inventory plots also had significantly different distributions of AHI values compared with random points in all regions (Fig. 3A and Table S2). dAHI values were lower than random expectation for inventory plots in all regions except the Guiana Shield and southwestern Amazonia (Fig. 3B and Table S2).

The recensused plots were oversampled in the central and western Amazonia regions and undersampled in the Guiana Shield region, compared with random expectation (Fig. 3C and D). Sample numbers in the southern Amazonia and the Guiana Shield regions were too low to enable statistical comparisons, and thus were excluded from the regional comparisons of recensused plots. AHI values in recensused plots differed significantly across some regions (\( \chi^2 = 13, df = 3, P = 0.004 \); a full set of Nemenyi post hoc test results is presented in Table S3), and were highest in eastern and central Amazonia (Fig. 3C). The distributions of AHI values also were significantly higher than random in all regions (Fig. 3C and Table S3). The dAHI values from the recensused plots were significantly lower in eastern and central Amazonia compared with other regions (\( \chi^2 = 45, df = 3, P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 3D; a full set of post hoc results is presented in Table S3), and were also lower than would be expected by chance in all regions except southwestern Amazonia (Fig. 3D and Table S3).

Discussion

In Amazonia sensu stricto, fewer than 1,000 forest inventory plots, typically 1 ha or smaller in size, represent ~5 million km² of hyperdiverse tropical rainforests (Fig. 1B and C). Although the networks of forest plots extend across the entire basin, our analysis suggests that these plots are spatially biased toward areas that are more likely to have been impacted by ancient human activity (Figs. 2 and 3 and Tables S2 and S3). Given that human activities, even from hundreds of years ago, can have marked legacies on forest composition, structure, and dynamics (42, 48), these biases may have profound implications for our interpretation of ecological processes and dynamics in the Amazon.

The tendencies of inventory and recensus plots to be located in areas with higher likelihoods of AHI is especially prevalent in central and eastern Amazonia (Fig. 3 and Tables S2 and S3), where ADEs are the most frequent legacy of ancient peoples (Fig. 1). Of the 882 inventory plots, 134 (15%) are located within 10 km of a known ADE site, as are 13 of the 115 recensus sites (11%). The formation of ADEs required amendments of soil charcoal, which was accomplished by burning forests near settlement sites (32). Fire transforms Amazonian systems because most
species are not evolutionarily adapted to handle it (49), and repeated burning at a site can induce up to a 90% turnover of tree species (50).

The AHI models were constructed based on empirical archaeological and paleoecological data, including evidence of human activity for the last 10,000 y, although site frequency was highest primarily from 2000–500 y ago (30, 31, 39, 45, 46) (Fig. 1 B and C and Methods). Modern peoples show similar spatial patterns of occupation across the Amazon as ancient peoples (47), and it is likely that early European settlers, including those who entered during the Amazonian rubber boom (1850–1920 A.D.), also disproportionately affected forests in areas with higher AHI values (Fig. 1B). Thus, a large proportion of the forest inventory and recensused plots actually may be in recovery from even more recent anthropogenic disturbances.

It is critical that the possible influences of AHI be accounted for in both conservation-based and ecological studies of the Amazon. For example, plot inventory data indicate that some tree species are much more common than others, and that a small suite of just 227 species, termed “hyperdominants,” collectively account for one half of all individual trees in the Amazon (5, 51). Many of these hyperdominant species are positively associated with human activities, providing food or other resources, and their growth or reproduction can be actively encouraged. Given that the existing forest plots are oversampling areas of the Amazon most likely influenced by human activities, it is possible that the calculated abundances of these human-preferred species is inflated over and above what would be calculated based on unbiased sampling of forests across the full gradient of human disturbance.

Amazonian rainforests play an important role in Earth’s carbon budget (e.g., accounting for ~14% of the total carbon fixation in the global biosphere; ref. 52), and are widely believed to act as a large carbon sink, helping to offset emissions. However, field-based estimates of ecological change and carbon flux in Amazonia are derived from a network of fewer than 200 total recensused inventory plots (4, 16, 53–55). Our results suggest that these recensused plots may be even more spatially biased toward areas with likely AHI than the full network of forest inventory plots (Figs. 2 and 3). This exaggerated bias toward areas with high AHI is likely due to the fact that recensused AHI plots, to be maintained and monitored over time, thereby making ease of access even more important than in nonpermanent plots.

The extreme bias of the recensused plots toward areas with high probability of AHI is especially worrisome given that tropical forests can take decades to centuries, if not longer, to reach equilibrium (26, 56, 57). Indeed, some 100-y-old secondary forests still show patterns of increasing biomass accumulation and recovery (58). In other words, the actual strength of the forests still show patterns of increasing biomass accumulation and recovery (58). In other words, the actual strength of the forests still show patterns of increasing biomass accumulation and recovery (58).

We stress that our results do not suggest that all forest plot locations have experienced large-scale past disturbances, or that all of the results based on inventory or recensused plots are invalid. What our results do show is that these forest plot locations are more likely than randomly located sites to have been disturbed over the last centuries to millennia. Indeed, as more archaeological sites in Amazonia are unveiled, it is possible that the spatial bias of inventory and recensused sites toward forests in postdisturbance recovery will be revealed to be even stronger than that estimated here. Paired archaeological, paleoecological, and forest surveys on local and regional scales would further our understanding of long-term disturbance dynamics in Amazonia by increasing the detectability of the more nuanced AHI; empirically assessing how the spatial extensiveness, intensity, and duration of past disturbances affect modern forest composition and dynamics; and providing an avenue for incorporating the human legacy on ecosystems into ecological and conservation-based modeling. As researchers continue to expand forest plot networks throughout the Amazon and other tropical forests, care should be taken to situate plots to better capture the full variation in past and present anthropogenic disturbances so that we can refine our overall understanding of the interplay of human history and forest dynamics and functioning on longer timescales.

Methods

The locations of published forest inventory plots, in which measurements of tree size and identity are used to assess characteristics of forest structure and composition, were collated from the Amazonian Forest Inventory Network (www.rainfor.org), the Amazon Tree Diversity Network (atdn.myspecies.info), Forestplots.net (https://www.forestplots.net/), the Tropical Ecology Assessment and Monitoring Network (www.teamnetwork.org/about-team), and the Smithsonian Institute’s Center for Tropical Forest Science (www.forestgeo.si.edu). Census plots duplicated in multiple repositories were removed, and only forest inventory plot locations from within Amazonia sensu stricto (59), which includes lowland tropical forests below 500 m above sea level and with drainage of the Amazon River, were included in the analyses. This resulted in a total of 882 Amazonian forest plots. Of these plots, 115 have been recensused multiple times and are used in studies of forest and carbon dynamics (53) (Fig. 1 B and C).

We compared the locations of the inventory and recensused plots with a predictive model of pre-Columbian human impacts in Amazonia (37). The prediction of AHI (hereinafter the AHI model) is the summed probability of (i) a probabilistic model of geoglyphs (46), (ii) a probabilistic model of geoglyphs (earthworks constructed by ancient people in Amazonia) (46), (iii) a probabilistic model of smaller archaeological sites and locations of lake sediment records containing ancient maize agriculture (30, 31, 39, 40, 60), and (iv) the known locations of major archaeological sites (37) (Fig. 1A and SI Methods). The components of the AHI model were constructed separately because they overlap temporally (primarily 2,000–500 y ago) (27, 34), although not spatially (37), and appear culturally different.

Maximum entropy models (hereinafter Maxent models) (61), which require presence-only data, are commonly used in ecological and macroecological research to predict species distributions across landscapes (62, 63). Maxent models have been used to model archaeological features in other geographic regions of the world (64, 65), and were used to create each probabilistic component of the summed probability AHI model. Each model used the same occurrence points of the archaeological feature (e.g., geoglyphs, ADEs) (Fig. 1A) and a set of predictor variables that represent environmental and climatic conditions at 1-km² spatial resolution across Amazonia (Table S4) (45, 46). Further details on the construction of the probabilistic models and the summed probability AHI model are provided in SI Methods.

We also constructed a raster of dAHI based on the compilation of known locations of ADEs, geoglyphs, archaeological sites, and lake sediment records containing evidence of ancient maize cultivation (n = 1,677) to compare with forest inventory and recensued locations. Details on the occurrence locations and their references are provided in SI Methods.

We extracted the AHI and dAHI values for each of the forest inventory and recensued plots (Fig. 1 B and C), then compared the density distributions of the extracted AHI and dAHI values for the inventory and recensued sites with null expectancies by creating 500 simulated distributions based on the random placement of 882 and 115 plots, respectively. Observed versus random distributions were compared using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Because the floristic patterns of hyperdominance were shown to vary on regional scales, we divided Amazonia into northwestern, southwestern, central, eastern, southern, and Guiana Shield regions (sensu S) (Fig. 1), and compared intraregional and interregional AHI and dAHI values. We used the Kruskal-Wallis test with Bonferroni post hoc tests to determine whether AHI or dAHI differed significantly between regions, and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to determine whether the distribution of AHI or dAHI values in forest inventory plots and recensued plots differed from a distribution of
random points within each region. Finally, we classified the regions as "undersampled," "oversampled," or "adequately sampled" by calculating whether the number of inventory and recensus plots in each region was lower than the 0.25 quantile, higher than the 0.75 quantile, or ranged between the 0.25 and 0.75 quantiles, respectively, of 500 simulated distributions.


