Immunogenicity and structures of a rationally designed prefusion MERS-CoV spike antigen
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Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) is a lineage C betacoronavirus that since its emergence in 2012 has caused outbreaks in human populations with case-fatality rates of ~36%. As in other coronaviruses, the spike (S) glycoprotein of MERS-CoV mediates receptor recognition and membrane fusion and is the primary target of the humoral immune response during infection. Here we use structure-based design to develop a generalizable strategy for retaining coronavirus S proteins in the antigenically optimal prefusion conformation and demonstrate that our engineered immunogen is able to elicit high neutralizing antibody titers against MERS-CoV. We also determined high-resolution structures of the trimeric MERS-CoV S ectodomain in complex with G4, a stem-directed neutralizing antibody. The structures reveal that G4 recognizes a glycosylated loop that is variable among coronaviruses and they define four conformational states of the trimer wherein each receptor-binding domain is either tightly packed at the membrane-distal apex or rotated into a receptor-accessible conformation. Our studies suggest a potential mechanism for fusion initiation through sequential receptor-binding events and provide a foundation for the structure-based design of coronavirus vaccines.

Coronaviruses are enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses. They have the largest genomes (26–32 kb) among known RNA viruses and are phylogenetically divided into four genera (α, β, γ, and δ), with betacoronaviruses further subdivided into four lineages (A, B, C, and D). Coronaviruses infect a wide range of avian and mammalian species, including humans (1). Of the six known human coronaviruses, four of them (HCoV-OC43, HCoV-229E, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-NL63) circulate annually in humans and generally cause mild respiratory diseases, although severity can be greater in infants, the elderly, and the immunocompromised. In contrast, the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), belonging to betacoronavirus lineages C and B, respectively, are highly pathogenic. Both viruses emerged into the human population from animal reservoirs within the last 15 y and caused outbreaks with high case-fatality rates (2, 3).

MERS-CoV was isolated in 2012 from a patient in Saudi Arabia and is still circulating across the Arabian Peninsula (3, 4). Primary transmission, most likely from camels, is now considered to be the most common route of transmission (5–7), and camels are thought to be a secondary or intermediate reservoir for MERS-CoV, with bats serving as the primary reservoir (8). Human-to-human transmission, especially as a result of close contact between patients and hospital workers within health-care settings, is another important route of transmission (9) and was responsible for an outbreak of MERS-CoV in South Korea (10). The high pathogenicity and airborne transmissibility of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have raised concern about the potential for another coronavirus pandemic. Unfortunately, vaccines against individual coronaviruses are not available, much less one that broadly protects against multiple coronaviruses.

Like all coronaviruses, MERS-CoV uses a large surface spike (S) glycoprotein for receptor recognition and entry into target cells (11, 12). The MERS-CoV S protein is synthesized as a single-chain inactive precursor that is cleaved by furin-like host proteases in the producing cell into two noncovalently associated subunits: the S1 subunit mediates receptor binding and membrane fusion, whereas the S2 subunit brings the viral and cellular membranes into close proximity.

Significance

Coronaviruses such as Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) cause severe respiratory distress with high fatality rates. The spike (S) glycoprotein is a determinant of host range and is the target of neutralizing antibodies and subunit vaccine development. We describe an engineering strategy for stabilization of soluble S proteins in the prefusion conformation, which results in greatly increased expression, conformational homogeneity, and elicitation of potent antibody responses. Cryo-EM structures of the stabilized MERS-CoV S protein in complex with a stem-directed neutralizing antibody provide a molecular basis for host-cell protease requirements and identify a site of immune pressure. We also defined four conformational states of the trimer wherein each receptor-binding domain is either packed together at the membrane-distal apex or rotated into a receptor-accessible conformation.
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subunits, S1 and S2 (13). The S1 subunit contains the receptor-binding domain (RBD), which recognizes the host-cell receptor DPP4 (CD26) (14–16). The S2 subunit contains the fusion peptide, two heptad repeats, and a transmembrane domain, all of which are required to mediate fusion of the viral and host-cell membranes by undergoing a large conformational rearrangement. The S1 and S2 subunits trimerize to form a large prefusion spike (~600 kDa) with ~25 N-linked glycans per monomer. Recent cryo-EM structures of trimeric prefusion S protein ectodomains from murine hepatitis virus (MHV), HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-NL63 have revealed an overall mushroom-like architecture, with three identical S1 subunits forming an interwoven cap that rests atop the spring-loaded S2 stem (17–19). Interestingly, in this conformation the RBDS at the C terminus of S1 are not accessible for receptor binding, suggesting that a conformational change is required to expose the RBDS. Recently, a partial cryo-EM structure of the SARS-CoV S protein ectodomain was obtained that contained one of the three RBDS rotated into a receptor-accessible conformation (20). This configuration of the trimer may represent an initial intermediate state, although many questions remain unanswered, such as why only one of the RBDS is rotated.

As the primary glycoprotein on the surface of the viral envelope, S proteins are the major target of neutralizing antibodies elicited by natural infection and are key antigens in experimental vaccine candidates (11, 21, 22). However, the S protein ectodomain from MERS-CoV is less stable and more difficult to produce than other S proteins, and soluble constructs of the RBDS have been the main focus of structural studies (14, 16), antibody isolation efforts (21, 23–25), and subunit vaccine development (26). A drawback of this approach is that coronaviruses can readily generate antibody-escape mutations in the RBD (23, 27, 28). Thus, the use of a mixture of antibodies, including some directed against non-RBD epitopes, is a preferred strategy (29) and has been used successfully for the treatment of Ebola virus disease (30). However, due to the difficulty in producing prefusion-stabilized MERS-CoV S proteins, few non-RBD antibodies have been described, and less is known about their epitopes. Antibodies against the prefusion conformation of the S2 stem are particularly attractive because the stem is more conserved than the S1 cap. Therefore, the ability to produce prefusion-stabilized S protein ectodomains from highly pathogenic coronaviruses, combined with the structural characterization of non-RBD epitopes that are recognized by potent neutralizing antibodies (31), would greatly facilitate the development of broadly protective interventions for current and emerging coronaviruses. Similar approaches are currently being developed for HIV-1 and influenza (32–34). Notably, the identification of stem-directed antibodies against influenza HA (35) represented a paradigm shift in the approach to develop a universal influenza vaccine, with recent studies demonstrating substantial promise (33, 34).

In this study, we rationally designed a general strategy to retain betacoronavirus S proteins in the prefusion conformation. The prefusion-stabilized MERS-CoV S protein (MERS S-2P) retained high-affinity binding to its dimeric receptor DPP4 and a panel of neutralizing antibodies, and elicited high titers of neutralizing antibodies in mice. The MERS S-2P construct also facilitated single-particle cryo-EM studies on a complex with G4, the first identified MERS-CoV S2-directed antibody (31). G4 was isolated from immunized mice and shown to be neutralizing, yet its epitope on S2 was unknown. The structures revealed that G4 recognizes the membrane-proximal surface of a variable, glycosylated loop in the S2 connector domain and avoids the glycosylation via its angle of approach, which is directed up from the viral membrane. The structures also defined neutral configurations of the trimer apex that represent the receptor-inaccessible ground state and three receptor-accessible intermediates. Collectively, these results advance our understanding of MERS-CoV entry and antibody-mediated neutralization and provide a foundation for the structure-based design of vaccine antigens for highly pathogenic coronaviruses, including those expected to emerge in the future.

**Fig. 1.** Structure-based engineering of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV S proteins. (A) Domain architecture of the HCoV-HKU1 S protein and sequence alignment of the helix-turn-helix between heptad repeat 1 (HR1) and the central helix (CH). The two residues colored red are those mutated to proline to retain S2 in the prefusion conformation. FP, fusion peptide; HR2, heptad repeat 2; TM, transmembrane domain. (B) Structure of HCoV-HKU1 S2. Residues shown in sticks in magnified region are those mutated to proline in the 2P variants. (C) Gel-filtration profiles of WT (dashed lines) and 2P-engineered (solid lines) S protein ectodomains from MERS-CoV (blue) and SARS-CoV (red). Each protein was produced from a 1-L transient transfection. All four proteins were expressed with a C-terminal T4 fibritin trimerization domain. The S1/S2 furin site was mutated in MERS S-WT and MERS S-2P. (D) Two-dimensional class averages of negative stained MERS S-WT, MERS S-2P, SARS S-WT, and SARS S-2P. All particles are included. For WT constructs both the prefusion (blue boxes) and postfusion (red boxes) conformations are visible, whereas for the 2P mutants only the prefusion conformation is observed.
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Results

Engineering of Coronavirus S Proteins That Retain the Prefusion Conformation. To improve the expression and conformational homogeneity of the prefusion MERS-CoV S protein ectodomain we engineered variants based upon our previously determined structure of the trimeric S protein from the lineage A betacoronavirus HCoV-HKU1 (18) (Fig. 1 A and B). Recent work on the fusion proteins from HIV-1 and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) (32, 36, 37) has demonstrated that proline substitutions in the loop between the first heptad repeat (HR1) and the central helix restrict premature triggering of the fusion protein and often increase expression yields of prefusion ectodomains. Introduction of single proline substitutions into a similar region in the MERS-CoV S2 subunit dramatically increased expression levels of the ectodomains, and two consecutive proline substitutions at residues V1060 and L1061 (hereafter referred to as “2P”) resulted in a >50-fold improvement in yield (Fig. 1 C and Fig. S1A). As evidenced by negative-stain EM, the 2P variant maintained prototypic prefusion morphology (Fig. 1D). Homologous substitutions in the S proteins from SARS-CoV (Fig. 1 C and D and Fig. S1B) and HCoV-HKU1 (Fig. S1 B and C) also increased the expression levels of the ectodomains and improved conformational homogeneity. Thus, the introduction of two consecutive proline residues at the beginning of the central helix seems to be a general strategy for retaining betacoronavirus S proteins in the prototypical prefusion conformation.

To investigate the effect of the 2P substitutions on S protein function, MERS-CoV pseudoviruses were generated with WT or 2P-containing S proteins. In contrast to WT pseudoviruses, which were highly infectious in DPP4-expressing Huh7.5 cells, pseudoviruses containing the 2P substitutions in the S protein were essentially noninfectious (Fig. 2A). This lack of infectivity is likely due to the ability of the introduced prolines to prevent conformational rearrangements, which presumably increases the activation energy required for fusion. However, to rule out the possibility of local misfolding as a result of the 2P substitutions, full-length WT and 2P-containing S proteins were expressed on 293T cells, and the reactivity of polyclonal sera and conformation-dependent antibodies was assessed by flow cytometry (Fig. 2B). The polyclonal sera, as well as neutralizing antibodies against the N-terminal domain (NTD) (mAb G2), RBD (mAb D12), and S2 subunit (mAb G4), reacted equally well to cells expressing WT or 2P-containing S proteins. Control cells expressing the RBD fused to a transmembrane domain only reacted with the polyclonal sera and D12 antibody, as expected. All three antibodies also bound to the recombinant MERS-CoV S protein ectodomains containing the 2P substitutions (MERS S-2P) via pull-down (Fig. 2C). We also determined that MERS S-2P bound to a soluble version of its receptor, DPP4, with a $K_d$ of 9.4 nM (Fig. 2D), which is similar to reported values for the binding of DPP4 to the isolated RBD (14). Collectively, these data demonstrate that the 2P substitutions prevent fusion from occurring but do not alter the conformation of the S protein.

Immunogenicity of MERS S-2P. To determine the immunogenicity of the MERS S-2P trimer we vaccinated mice and compared the responses to those generated by monomeric S1 protein and WT S trimer (31). Each of the immunogens elicited neutralizing antibodies to the autologous England1 strain of MERS-CoV in a dose-dependent manner. S1 monomers and S WT trimers had a steeper dose effect than the S-2P trimer, which reached a plateau of neutralizing activity at a lower dose (Fig. 3A). At the 0.1-µg dose, MERS S-2P elicited significantly more robust neutralizing activity than S1 against four of the six homologous MERS-CoV pseudoviruses tested. The S-2P vaccination also elicited greater neutralization than S WT against three of the six homologous MERS-CoV pseudoviruses tested (Fig. 3B). These data demonstrate that retaining MERS-CoV S in its prefusion conformation increases the breadth and potency of the neutralizing activity elicited by vaccination.

Structure of MERS S-2P Bound to Antibody G4. We combined MERS S-2P with the S2-directed G4 Fab and conducted single-particle cryo-EM of the resulting complexes to structurally characterize our immunogen and provide atomic-level information needed for future engineering efforts (Fig. 4A, Fig. S2, and Tables S1 and S2). We observed several distinct subpopulations of S proteins that differed in the arrangement of the S1 apex, and these subpopulations were processed separately as described in more detail below. In addition, the cryo-EM density maps were less well-resolved in regions of the S1 NTD, as was the case in the recently determined structure of the SARS-CoV S protein (20). We consequently crystallized this domain and determined its structure to 2.0 Å using a portion of the cryo-EM map as a search model for molecular replacement (Fig. S3 and Table S3). We also crystallized and determined the structure of the unbound G4 Fab to 1.57 Å (Table S3), and both of these X-ray structures were used in further refinement of the cryo-EM-derived models.

Overall, the structure of the MERS S-2P protein is similar to the previously determined prefusion structures of alpha- and betacoronavirus S proteins (17–20). Our models consist of residues 18–1223 and like other structures are missing the second heptad repeat in S2, which may be flexible in the absence of a lipid bilayer. The MERS-CoV S2 subunit is arranged similarly to other coronavirus S2 subunits, with rmsd values of ~1.5 Å for a shared core of ~260 Cx atoms. For efficient infection of target cells, the MERS-CoV S protein requires a two-step, protease-mediated activation to facilitate membrane fusion. Furin cleavage at the S1/S2 junction occurs in the virus-producing cell, whereas cleavage at the S2’ site, upstream of the fusion peptide, occurs during viral entry at the cell surface or in endosomes.

Fig. 2. Characterization of MERS S-2P. (A) MERS-CoV pseudoviruses encoding a luciferase reporter gene were generated with WT (S WT, blue) or 2P (S-2P, red) S proteins. Mock pseudoviruses (gray), expressing no S protein, served as a control. Infectivity in Huh7.5 cells was determined by measuring RLU. The dotted line represents background RLU. (B) Binding of cell-surface expressed MERS-CoV WT and 2P S proteins, as well as membrane-tethered RBD, to polyclonal sera (Poly) and monoclonal antibodies measured by flow cytometry; 101F is an RSV F-specific antibody. (C) SDS/PAGE analysis of copurified complexes of untagged MERS S-2P and monoclonal antibodies. AM14 is an RSV F-specific antibody. (D) Binding of soluble DPP4 to immobilized MERS S-2P measured by surface plasmon resonance. Best fit of the data to a 1:1 binding model is shown in red.
and can be mediated by several proteases, including furin, TMPRSS2, and cathepsin L (11, 13, 38). However, it has not been understood why furin can access the S1/S2 site but not the S2' site during protein biosynthesis. The S1/S2 furin site (RSVR), which remains uncleaved in our construct due to mutagenesis (ASVG), is located on an accessible solvent-exposed loop that is disordered in our structures (Fig. 4B). In contrast, the S2' site (RSAR) is less exposed, particularly Arg887 at the P1 position, which interacts with Asp892 and Phe895 in the fusion peptide (Fig. 4C). In our structure, the peptide bond between Arg887 and Ser888 remains inaccessible to proteases, suggesting that S2' cannot be efficiently cleaved until a conformational change occurs in S2 during the fusion process. Refolding of HR1 following DPP4 binding and S1 shedding would cause such a change and link the final proteolytic activation step to host-cell attachment, thus ensuring that irreversible refolding of S2 occurs at the proper time and place. Indeed, incubation of MERS-CoV virions with soluble DPP4 receptor increases the efficiency of furin cleavage at the S2' site (13).

The MERS-CoV S2 structures also contain a well-resolved domain (residues 1152–1223) residing between HR1 and HR2 that was not completely resolved in the related betacoronavirus HCoV-HKU1, MHV, and SARS-CoV S protein structures but was observed in the alphacoronavirus HCoV-NL63 S protein structure (17–20). This connector domain contains the epitope for G4, which is the first reported S2-specific antibody that neutralizes MERS-CoV (31). The local resolution of the maps used for analysis of the antibody interface with S2 exceeds 3.7 Å. The majority of the G4 epitope (710 Å² of 880 Å² total buried surface area) consists of a glycosylated, solvent-exposed loop that extends out from two β-strands and is ensheathed by the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) of G4 (Fig. 5A). The binding of G4 was stoichiometric, allowing comparison of the bound and unbound G4 epitope. In unbound protomers the loop was poorly ordered, suggesting that it is flexible in solution (Fig. S4). Arg1179 is critical for G4 binding, as it forms a cation–π interaction with HCDR2 Tyr53 as well as a salt bridge with HCDR1 Asp31 (Fig. 5B). In addition, G4 binding results in the formation of two hydrophobic cores that include S2 residues outside of the extended loop. These interactions may stabilize the connector domain, which is likely flexible given the poorly resolved density observed in other betacoronavirus S protein structures.

Although the epitope of G4 is contained within the relatively conserved S2 subunit, the exposed loop to which it primarily binds is variable in both sequence and length, even among lineage C betacoronaviruses (Fig. 5C and D). The variability in this loop is reminiscent of the variable loops found in HIV-1 gp120, which arise as a result of pressure exerted by the host antibody response. Indeed, we were able to isolate MERS-CoV escape variants by growing the virus in the presence of G4, and the escape mutations (T1175I/P, R1179G/M/T, and S1185L) accumulated within and around this variable loop (Fig. 5B and Table S4). In addition to the sequence and length variability, this loop always contains at least one potential N-linked glycosylation site (Fig. 5C), which, like the HIV-1 gp120 variable loops, helps to shield the exposed loop from antibody recognition. G4 circumvents the glycan mask via its angle of approach, which is directed up from the viral membrane (Fig. 4A). This allows G4 to recognize the membrane-proximal face of the loop and avoid the glycan moieties attached to Asn1176. The requirement
for this constrained approach likely comes at a cost to the binding affinity, as G4 has a higher affinity to deglycosylated MERS S-2P (Fig. S5). Because this loop is variable among betacoronaviruses, it should likely be eliminated in vaccine antigens designed to elicit a broadly neutralizing response against S2. As a proof of concept, we expressed a MERS S-2P variant wherein this loop was replaced with a Gly-Ser linker. This variant expressed at comparable levels to the native S protein but had greatly reduced binding to G4 (Fig. S5).

Receptor-Accessible S Protein Conformations. As mentioned above, during our cryo-EM studies we observed distinct subpopulations of S proteins that differed in the arrangement of the S1 apex, and processing these subpopulations separately revealed four distinct S1 crown configurations (Fig. 6A, 6B, and Movies S1 and S2). We identified a small subpopulation of cryo-EM data (5.4%) in the tightly packed “closed” conformation, indicating that neither the 2P substitutions nor G4 binding prevent sampling of this conformation. Indeed, the 2P substitutions do not interact with the RBD and allow Arg1057 in HR1 to maintain its interaction with Tyr577 in the RBD (Fig. 6F). In the remaining three subpopulations of our cryo-EM data (totaling 94.6%), “open” trimers are observed with one, two, or three RBDs in an “out” conformation that extends away from the spike and does not interact with S2 or the glycosylated surface of the neighboring NTD (Fig. 6A and C). In this extended configuration, the receptor-binding determinants are exposed at the apex of the complex and are fully accessible for interaction with DPP4 (Fig. 6D).

The four trimer configurations observed in our data suggest a potential mechanism for receptor-induced triggering that involves sequential activation of promoters. In this model, we speculate that DPP4 binding to transiently exposed RBDs functions as a molecular ratchet that drives the trimer to the three-RBD-out, open conformation (Fig. 7). This conformation is likely intrinsically unstable because the RBDs no longer help mediate trimerization of S1 or sterically inhibit refolding of S2 by sitting atop the central helix. In support of this model, we note that the three-RBD-out conformation is only observed in 0.3% of our cryo-EM data. Further experiments will be required to test this proposed model of receptor-induced triggering, but sequential activation of promoters in a class-I fusion protein has recently been demonstrated for Moloney murine leukemia virus (39).

Discussion

Approximately one-third of MERS-CoV infections have been fatal, making it the most lethal coronavirus described to date (40). The MERS-CoV S protein, which mediates receptor binding and membrane fusion, is the primary antigenic target for development of coronavirus vaccines (22). The introduction of two consecutive proline substitutions at the beginning of the central helix—our 2P design—presents a general approach to produce soluble prefusion coronavirus S ectodomains and overcomes the first hurdle in subunit vaccine development. Due to restricted backbone torsion angles, proline substitution can disfavor the refolding of the linker between the central helix and HR1, which for class I fusion proteins is a key step in the transition to the postfusion conformation (41). The rigidity of the helix-loop–helix afforded by the prolines impairs or abolishes the membrane fusion activity of the S protein, as evidenced in Fig. 24. Similar results were obtained when prolines were substituted into influenza HA (42), and because class I fusion proteins have similar membrane fusion machinery, proline substitution has found broad use in subunit vaccine development. For HIV-1 Env, the 1559P substitution in gp41 helped to produce a stable ectodomain trimer designated “SOSIP” (32), which facilitated
the high-resolution structural analysis of this critical vaccine target (43–45). For RSV, an S215P substitution in the fusion (F) glycoprotein greatly increased the yield and stability of prefusion ectodomain trimers (36). This is consistent with our findings for the MERS-CoV S protein, where the 2P design resulted in a >50-fold increase in the yield of trimeric S protein in its antigenically native prefusion conformation (Fig. 1C). Unlike other structure-based engineering methods, such as the introduction of disulfide bonds or cavity-filling mutations (46), atomic-level information is not as critical for proline-based engineering, and the structure of a homologous protein with low sequence identity can serve as the template (Fig. 1A and B). Thus, the proline-based strategy should be advantageous for the development of vaccine candidates against emerging coronaviruses for which structures have not yet been determined.

Our studies also characterized the interaction of a first-in-class neutralizing antibody (G4) directed against the S2 subunit, which is more conserved than the S1 cap (31). Prior mapping of neutralizing sites on coronavirus S proteins have focused on the RBD. However, neutralization escape has been described for RBD-specific mAbs, and it has been suggested that having broader epitope coverage outside of the RBD can improve protection from MERS-CoV challenge (31). The G4 epitope is largely conserved between different MERS-CoV isolates and thus G4-like antibodies present an attractive class of MERS-CoV cross-reactive antibodies for therapeutic use. The G4 epitope is, however, variable among the larger family of betacoronaviruses (Fig. 2C), suggesting that it is subject to immune pressure. For other coronaviruses, such as MHV and SARS-CoV, S2-directed antibodies have been isolated that recognize epitopes containing the fusion peptide (47, 48), which is relatively conserved among coronaviruses. An antibody that recognizes this region of the S2 stem, in a way that avoids most of the surrounding sequence variability, may broadly neutralize diverse coronaviruses. Potent antibodies directed against the fusion peptide of HIV-1 Env have recently been described (49, 50), providing hope that similar antibodies for coronaviruses may also be obtained through antigen-specific antibody-isolation efforts. Use of our engineered prefusion S proteins as probes to sort B cells from infected donors should greatly facilitate these efforts and lead to the development of a broadly protective immunotherapeutic.

In our structures of the trimeric prefusion MERS-CoV S protein we observe zero, one, two, or three RBDs rotated to a receptor-accessible “out” position (Fig. 6). We hypothesize that this flexibility in RBD exposure plays a role in the controlled timing of receptor engagement that ultimately leads to triggering of S toward the lower-energy postfusion state (Fig. 7). In addition, transient exposure of the RBDs, which are highly immunogenic,
would help hide neutralizing epitopes from the humoral immune system. This “conformational masking” of neutralizing epitopes has also been described for HIV-1 Env (51), which like the coronavirus S proteins exists in several distinct conformations: closed ground state, CD4-accessible, and CCR5-accessible (52). For coronaviruses, this phenomenon was hinted at in the partially resolved structure of the SARS-CoV S protein, wherein a single RBD was observed in the receptor-accessible “out” conformation (20). In the structures of the S proteins from MHV and HCoV-HKU1 all three RBDs were shown to be in a tightly packed, closed configuration (17, 18). The MHV spike may not and HCoV-HKU1 all three RBDs were shown to be in a tightly packed, closed configuration (17, 18). The MHV spike may not need to transiently expose the RBDs since it binds to its protein receptor CEACAM1 via the S1 NTD (53–55), and this interaction is thought to be sufficient for entry (56). For HCoV-HKU1, a protein receptor has not yet been identified, but the S1 subunit has been shown to bind O-acetylated sialic acids (57). Additional structural and virological studies are therefore needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of S protein-mediated cell entry.

Engineering class-I viral fusion proteins in the prefusion conformation can significantly improve immunogenicity through preservation of neutralization-sensitive conformational and quaternary epitopes. This is exemplified by the failure of postfusion RSV F glycoprotein vaccine antigens and the promise of prefusion-stabilized RSV F glycoproteins (36, 46, 58). Recently, the MERS-CoV S1 monomer has been shown to elicit RBD-specific neutralizing antibodies in mice and rhesus macaques from MERS-CoV–induced pneumonia, but protection was improved in animals primed with full-length S antigens that induced neutralizing antibodies directed to non-RBD sites (31). These data, together with the observation that the RBD has positional variability, suggest that the virus has evolved multiple mechanisms to evade neutralization by RBD-specific antibodies. The RBD sequence variability is compounded by the positional variability that allows conformational masking and transient exposure of quaternary surfaces and neutralization-sensitive sites. Our demonstration here that the prefusion-stabilized MERS-CoV S trimer (S-2P) elicits more robust neutralizing antibody responses in mice than S1 monomer or S WT suggests that MERS S-2P is a preferred antigen for vaccine development and is made more attractive due to inclusion of non-RBD epitopes and favorable manufacturing characteristics.

In summary, our 2P design, structures of the MERS-CoV S ectodomain in complex with G4, and demonstration of improved expression and immunogenicity of prefusion S proteins will serve as a basis for further engineering of MERS-CoV vaccine immunogens and provide an important step in the development of broadly protective coronavirus vaccines.

**Methods**

**Production of S Protein Ectodomains.** A mammalian-codon-optimized gene encoding MERS-CoV S (England1 strain) residues 1–1291 with a C-terminal T4 fibrinogen trimerization domain, an HRV3C cleavage site, an 8xHis-tag and a Twin-Strep-tag was synthesized and subcloned into the eukaryotic-expression vector pXH. The S1/S2 furin-recognition site 748-RSVR-751 was mutated to ASVG to produce a single-chain 50 protein.

A series of proline-substituted variants was generated based on this construct and the resulting plasmids were transfected into 40 mL FreeStyle 293-F cells (Invitrogen). Three hours after transfection, kifunensine was added to a final concentration of 5 μM. Cultures were harvested 6 d later, and secreted protein was purified from the supernatant using 0.5 mL Strep-Tactin resin (IBA). Protein expression levels were then assessed by SDS/PAGE (10 μL of protein-bound resin was boiled and loaded per lane). Similar strategies were used to generate and test proline-substituted variants of SARS-CoV S (Tor2 strain, residues 1–1190) and HCoV-HKU1 S (N5 strain, residues 1–1276).

For large-scale expression, 0.5–1 L FreeStyle 293-F cells were transfected. Three hours after transfection, kifunensine was added to a final concentration of 5 μM. Cultures were harvested after 6 d, and protein was purified from the supernatant using Strep-Tactin resin (IBA). HRV3C protease (1% wt/wt) was added to the protein and the reaction was incubated overnight at 4 °C. The digested protein was further purified using a Superose 12 10/300 column (GE Healthcare Biosciences).

**Production of G4 Fab.** The Fab region of the G4 heavy chain was fused with the HRV3C cleavage site and human IgG1 Fc fragment and subcloned into the eukaryotic expression vector pXHRC8400. This plasmid was cotransfected with the G4 light chain into Expi293 cells (Invitrogen), and the secreted antibody was purified using Protein A agarose (Fisher). HRV3C protease (1% wt/wt) was added to the protein and the reaction was incubated for 2 h at room temperature. The digested antibody was passed back over Protein A agarose to remove the Fc fragment, and the unbound Fab was additionally purified using a Superex 75 column (GE Healthcare Biosciences).

**Production of MERS S-2P in Complex with G4 Fab.** Purified MERS S-2P was mixed with a 1.5-fold molar excess of G4 Fab. After incubation on ice for 1 h, the complex was separated from excess Fab by size-exclusion chromatography.

**Negative-Stain EM.** MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV S-2P proteins were diluted with Tris-buffered saline as necessary and then spotted onto 400-mesh copper grids and stained with 1% uranyl acetate. Grids were imaged in a Tecnai T12 Spirit with a high tension of 120 kV and a Tietz TemCam-F416 complementary metal-oxide semiconductor camera at 52,000x magnification yielding a pixel size of 2.05 Å per pixel at 1.5 μm under focus. Images were collected using Legoim (59) and processed within the Appion workflow (60). Projection images were picked from the raw micrographs using a difference-of-Gaussians approach (61). Images were binned by two and then aligned using reference-free 2D classification with iterative multivariate statistical analysis/multi-reference alignment (62) to identify and remove amorphous projection images.
Production of MERS-CoV S1 NTD. A gene encoding MERS-CoV S1 NTD (residues 1–353) with a C-terminal HRV3C cleavage site and human IgG1Fc fragment was inserted into the eukaryotic expression vector pHiF. Three hours after transient transfection of the plasmid into FreeStyle 293-F cells, kifunensine was added to a final concentration of 5 μM. After 6 d, the supernatant was passed over a Protein A agarose column, and deglycosylation was conducted on-column by adding EndoH (10% wt/vt) at room temperature. After 12 h, the column was washed with PBS and the NTD was eluted by incubating the resin with HRV3C (1% wt/vt). The NTD was further purified using a Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare Biosciences).

Crystalization and X-Ray Data Collection. Purified G4 Fab was concentrated to 9.5 mg/mL in TBS (2 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 50 mM NaCl) for crystallization. Crystals were produced at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method by mixing 0.1 μL of protein with 0.1 μL of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M MES, pH 6.5, 0.2 M magnesium chloride, and 10% (v/v) glycerol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the SBC beamline 19-ID (Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory). Purified MERS-CoV S1 NTD was concentrated to 11.7 mg/mL in TBS for crystallization. Initial hits were obtained in the Wizard Precipitant Synergy screen (76). Crystals were obtained at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method by mixing 0.1 μL of protein with 0.1 μL of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M imidazole HCl, pH 6.5, 6.6% (v/v) PEG 8000, and 1% (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol. Crystals were soaked in reservoir solution supplemented with 20% (v/v) glycerol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the SSRF beamline BL14-1 (Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory).

Crystal Structure Determination and Refinement. Diffraction data were processed using the CCP4 software suite: data were indexed and integrated in IMOSFLM (77) and scaled and merged with AIMLESS (78). A molecular replacement solution for the MERS-G4 Fab was obtained using Phaser (79) and PDB ID 3QQ9 as the search model. The structure was built manually in Coot (68) and refined using PHENIX (71). Data collection and refinement statistics are presented in Table S3.

For the MERS-CoV S1 NTD dataset, molecular replacement using NTD structures from MHV and bovine coronavirus (BCoV) failed to find a solution. Therefore, a portion of the EM map corresponding to the NTD was extracted and used as the search model for molecular replacement using Phaser-MR in the PHENIX GUI following a recently published protocol (80). The solution contained only one molecule in the asymmetric unit, so noncrystallographic symmetry could not be used to improve the phases. Consequently, the core β-sheet of the BCoV NTD was manually fit into the electron density, and iterative rounds of manual building with Coot and refinement with PHENIX produced a solution for the BCoV model containing 189 residues. The structure of the NTD was then used as input for Buccaneer (82), which produced a model containing 282 residues. This model was then used as input for Buccaneer (82), which produced a model containing 341 residues. After manually adding N-linked glycans with Coot, additional electron density was observed that did not correspond to any chemicals in the crystallization buffer or cryosolution. We identified the chemical as folic acid using mass spectrometry, and placed the molecule into the density (Fig. S3). The folic acid is thought to have copurified with the NTD from the mammalian expression medium, but whether folic acid, or a closely related chemical analog, has a physiological role in the MERS-CoV infection cycle remains unknown. The final structure was refined using PHENIX, and the data collection and refinement statistics are presented in Table S3. Software used to determine X-ray crystal structures was curated by SBGrid (83).

Protein A Pull-Down Experiments. MERS S-2P was coexpressed with each antibody by cotransfecting 10 μg of MERS S-2P plasmid with 5 μg of heavy-chain plasmid and 5 μg of light-chain plasmid into 40 mL of FreeStyle 293-F cells (Invitrogen). Three hours after transfection, kifunensine was added to a final concentration of 5 μM. Cultures were harvested after 6 d, and protein was purified from the supernatant using 0.5 mL of Protein A resin (Thermo Fisher). After an extensive wash with PBS, 10 μL of protein-bound resin was boiled and analyzed by SDS/PAGE.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Experiments. The BxHis-tagged MERS S-2P protein was captured on an NTA sensor chip to ~660 response units each cycle using a...
Biacore X100 (GE Healthcare). The chip was regenerated twice after each cycle using 350 mM EDTA followed by 0.5 mM NiCl₂. After three injections of running buffer over both the ligand-bound and reference flow cells, increasing concentrations of soluble DPP4 ectodomain were injected (1.6 nM to 50 nM with a final replicate of 12.5 nM). Data were double-reference subtracted and fit to a 1:1 binding model using Scrubber2 software.

Mouse Immunizations. Animal experiments were carried out in compliance with all pertinent US National Institutes of Health regulations and policies. The National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Vaccine Research Center Animal Care and Use Committee reviewed and approved all animal experiments. Female BALB/Cj mice aged 6–8 wk (Jackson Laboratory) were immunized with MERS S1, MERS S WT, or MERS S-2P protein at 0 and 3 wk. Protein (0.1 g, 1 μg, or 10 μg) diluted in PBS was mixed 1:1 with 2x Sigma Adjuvant System. Mice were inoculated with 100 μL intramuscularly (50 μL into each hind leg). Two weeks after the final immunization, sera were collected for measurement of antibody responses.

Pseudovirus Production. Pseudovirus production, infectivity, and neutralization experiments were completed as previously described with minor adaptations (31). We synthesized cDNAs encoding spike protein using the QuikChange XL kit (Stratagene) and introduced divergent amino acids into the parental spike gene (strain England1) predicted from translated sequences of other strains: Bisha1 (GenBank accession no. KF660320), Bura1d1 (GenBank accession no. KF660330), Florida USA2 (GenBank accession no. AI248760), Indiana USA1 (GenBank accession no. AH285850), Jordan3 (GenBank accession no. KC771764), and Korea002 (GenBank accession no. AKL59401). All constructs were confirmed using sequencing. HEK293T cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO2. To produce MERS-CoV pseudoviruses, CMV/R-MERS-CoV spike plasmids were cotransfected into HEK293T cells with packaging plasmid pcMVDR8.2 and transducing plasmid pHR‘ CMV-Luc, using Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Promega). Mock pseudoviruses were produced by omitting the MERS-CoV S plasmid. Seventy-two hours posttransfection, supernatants were collected, filtered, and frozen at −80 °C.

Pseudovirus Infectivity and Neutralization Experiments. Huh7.5 cells were provided by Deborah R. Taylor, US Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD, and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Pseudovirus infectivity was assessed in Huh7.5 cells plated overnight in 96-well black/white isoplates (PerkinElmer). Twofold serial dilutions of pseudoviruses were added to resting Huh7.5 cells, in triplicate. After a 2-h incubation, fresh medium was added. Cells were lysed at 72 h, and luciferase substrate (Promega) was added. Luciferase activity was measured as relative luciferase units (RLU) at 570 nm on a Spectramax. ( Molecular Devices). For neutralization experiments, serial dilutions of mouse sera (1:40, fourfold, eight dilutions) were mixed with various pseudovirus strains, which were previously titrated to target 50,000 RLU. Sigmoidal curves, taking averages of triplicates at each dilution, were generated from RLU readouts; 90% neutralization (IC₉₀) titers were calculated considering uninfected cells as 100% neutralization and cells transduced with only virus as 0% neutralization.

Cell-Surface Binding Assay. Cell-surface binding assays were performed as previously described with minor adaptations (31). HEK 293T cells were plated and maintained overnight to reach 80% confluence. Cells were transfected with plasmids expressing MERS-CoV S-WT, S-2P, or RBD expressing an influenza HA transmembrane domain, using Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Promega). After 24 h, cells were detached with 4 mM EDTA in PBS, stained with VIVID viability dye (Invitrogen), and then stained with mAbs (10 μg/mL or polyclonal sera (1:200) obtained from mice vaccinated with MERS-CoV S. Cells were subsequently stained with goat anti-mouse IgG, Alexa-488 (Invitrogen). Cells were sorted with an LSR (BD Biosciences) system. Data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.), using the following gating strategy: size & granularity > single cells > live cells (VIVID negative) > Spike (Ab positive). Fluorescence background was calculated using untransfected cells stained with each respective mAb and subtracted from the data.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry Experiments. Calorimetric titrations of G4 Fab into MERS S-2P, deglycosylated MERS S-2P, or variable-loop-deleted MERS S were performed using a PEAC isothermal titration calorimeter (ITC) (Malvern) at 25 °C. All proteins were dialyzed into PBS. Protein concentrations in the sample cell were 3.0–3.7 μM, whereas the concentration of G4 Fab in the injection syringe was 57.5 μM. Titrations consisted of 15 injections. Data were processed with the MicroCal PEAC-ITC Analysis Software (Malvern) and fit to an independent-binding model.

Viral Resistance to Antibody-Mediated Inhibition of Infectivity. MERS-CoV variants escaping G4-mediated neutralization of infectivity were selected by serial passage of recombinant MERS-CoV strain EMC/2012 in Vero 81 cell cultures (84) supplemented with progressively escalating concentrations of antibody, eventually reaching 3.6 μg/mL at the terminal passage level, PS. The starting amount of G4, 0.4 μg/mL, corresponded to the antibody concentration required to reduce −40 MERS-CoV plaque-forming units by ~70% in a plaque-reduction neutralization assay using Vero 81 cell monolayers. Culture supernatants were passed onto fresh cells 48 h postinfection and a total of 13 G4-resistant MERS-CoV strains representing parallel passage series were plaque-cloned from PS cultures on Vero 81 cell monolayers in the presence of 1 μg/mL G4. Plaque isolates were expanded in antibody-free cultures of Vero cells in 25-cm² flasks, followed by total RNA isolation from virally infected cell monolayers using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Two overlapping cDNA amplicons encompassing the entire S gene were generated by RT-PCR using SuperScript III RT (Invitrogen) and EasyA high-fidelity thermostable DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies) (40 cycles of RT product amplification). Resultant S-gene PCR products were subjected toideoxy sequencing using S-gene based primers, and reads were aligned to the native EMC/2012 S-gene sequence (GenBank accession no. X866095.2) using MacVector to identify mutations associated with neutralization-escape from G4. Cell culture-adaptive mutations in spike identified in antibody-free P10 and P20 cultures of EMC/2012 were excluded from analyses of changes arising under G4 selection.
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