Shifting plant species composition in response to climate change stabilizes grassland primary production
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The structure and function of alpine grassland ecosystems, including their extensive soil carbon stocks, are largely shaped by temperature. The Tibetan Plateau in particular has experienced significant warming over the past 50 y, and this warming trend is projected to intensify in the future. Such climate change will likely alter plant species composition and net primary production (NPP). Here we combined 32 y of observations and monitoring with a manipulative experiment of temperature and precipitation to explore the effects of changing climate on plant community structure and ecosystem function. First, long-term climate warming from 1983 to 2014, which occurred without systematic changes in precipitation, led to higher grass abundance and lower sedge abundance, but did not affect aboveground NPP. Second, an experimental warming experiment conducted over 4 y had no effects on any aspect of NPP, whereas drought manipulation (reducing precipitation by 50%), shifted NPP allocation belowground without affecting total NPP. Third, both experimental warming and drought treatments, supported by a meta-analysis at nine sites across the plateau, increased grass abundance at the expense of biomass of sedges and forbs. This shift in functional group composition led to deeper root systems, which may have enabled plant communities to acquire more water and thus stabilize ecosystem primary production even with a changing climate. Overall, our study demonstrates that shifting plant species composition in response to climate change may have stabilized primary production in this high-elevation ecosystem, but it also caused a shift from aboveground to belowground productivity.

Climatic change impacts the structure and function of terrestrial ecosystems, particularly in cold regions (1–6). The amount of net primary productivity (NPP) in an ecosystem influences carbon feedback between the terrestrial biosphere and the atmosphere. A growing number of experimental studies have explored how plant community composition and primary productivity respond to climate change (4, 7–9); however, fewer studies have done so in concert with companion long-term observations to explore how changes in community composition that are triggered by climate change may influence ecosystem function (but see refs. 9 and 10).

Alpine ecosystems are considered among the most sensitive ecosystems to climate change (8, 11), and 60% of the Tibetan Plateau is alpine (12). Over the past 50 y, annual temperature on the Tibetan Plateau has increased dramatically at a rate twice the global average (13, 14). Furthermore, data indicate that since 2000, the rate of warming may be accelerating even more than during the previous 40 y (15, 16). Alpine grasslands are susceptible to warming, are diverse, and harbor large stocks of carbon in the soil that if released, could lead to a positive feedback for climate warming (17–19).

The ubiquitous alpine grasslands on the Tibetan Plateau are important for the livelihood of native nomads and potentially susceptible to climate change, and several approaches have been taken to address the impact of climate change on this high-altitude, alpine ecosystem. Both process-based ecosystem models (11, 20) and long-term time-series datasets of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (21, 22) suggest increasing vegetation growth over the past several decades, although with pronounced geographical heterogeneity. However, these approaches, while informative at large spatial scales, do not provide detailed fine-scale information or insight into potential mechanisms. Long-term observations at the local plot scale have shown that NPP in alpine grasslands appeared to be insensitive to changes in either precipitation or air temperature from 1980 to 2000 (23). An observational approach such as the one taken in that study cannot explicitly address the underlying mechanism explaining why NPP was unresponsive to climate changes, however (10). Finally, a number of manipulative warming experiments on the Tibetan Plateau, using either open-top chambers or infrared heaters, have reported inconsistent results, some showing increases in NPP and grass biomass (24), while others...

Significance

Climate change is altering the structure and function of high-elevation ecosystems. Combining long-term observations with manipulative experiments is a powerful, yet rarely used way to test the sensitivity of such ecosystems to climatic change. Here, experimental evidence and meta-analysis confirm long-term observations that demonstrate climate warming and associated drying did not change net primary production, but did lead to a shift of allocation belowground. This observed shift was caused by a change in community composition. Although alpine grassland productivity appears to be resistant to warming, deeper root systems in response to warming could alter the amount of soil organic carbon stored in the subsoil, indicating that rooting depth should be taken into account when predicting soil organic carbon stocks under warming.
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finding decreased NPP or grass biomass (25). In addition, although experiments can be useful for exploring mechanisms and providing boundaries of possible change, they cannot capture the legacy effects induced by the background warming and do not necessarily reflect reality (26). Given these uncertainties, one important way forward is to combine long-term observational studies with experimental manipulations to examine the long-term patterns as well as the detailed mechanisms of community and ecosystem responses to climatic change.

In the present study, we combined 32 y of observational monitoring of alpine grassland ecosystems on the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. S1A and B) with a manipulative experiment (Fig. S1C) to explore the effects of climate change on grassland productivity and community composition. In particular, we examined whether NPP and plant community composition were sensitive to climatic change in this high-elevation, cold ecosystem, and whether shifts in plant community composition amplified or stabilized changes in productivity. Finally, we explored the possible underlying mechanisms of the effects of warming on primary productivity.

Results

Long-Term Changes in Climate, Plant Productivity, and Species Composition. At the field site on the Tibetan Plateau, from 1980 to 2014, the mean annual air temperature increased by 1.2 °C (0.38 per decade) (Fig. S2A), annual precipitation did not vary systematically (P = 0.16; Fig. S2A), and the annual humidity index declined (P = 0.06; Fig. S2B). From 2002 to 2010, soil moisture at depths of 5 cm and 45 cm declined significantly (Fig. 1A). Overall, between 1980 and 2014, the site became both warmer and drier. Across the years, aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) ranged from 298 to 484 g m⁻² (average, 371 g m⁻²), but it did not vary systematically from year to year (P = 0.60; Fig. 1B). However, the relative contribution of plant functional groups (percentage of biomass) to total ANPP changed significantly; over time, the ANPP of grasses increased, that of sedges decreased, and that of forbs remained relatively stable (Fig. 1C).

Experimental Warming and Drought Effects on Plant Productivity and Species Composition. Over the 4-y experiment, both the warming and drought treatments significantly decreased growing season (May–September) soil moisture at 5 cm (Fig. 2A). However, warming did not significantly affect ANPP, belowground net primary productivity (BNPP), or total NPP (Fig. 2B). This was true across years, regardless of whether the individual year was wet and cold (2011, 2014) or dry and warm (2012, 2013) (Fig. S2C). In contrast, drought treatments decreased ANPP and increased BNPP (Fig. 2B), but without changing total NPP.

Across all 4 y, warming and drought both increased the relative abundance of grasses and decreased that of sedges and forbs (Fig. 3 and Table S1). These changes were evident in dry years (2012, 2013) but not in wet years.

To examine why different plant functional groups exhibited differing responses to the warming and drought treatments, we measured the root vertical distributions of nine species representing three functional groups (grass, forb, and sedge), which together accounted for 72% of total ANPP (Table S2). At our site, grasses generally had deeper roots (up to 85 cm soil depth) compared with sedges (up to 25 cm) and forbs (up to 30 cm) (Fig. S3). Such differences in vertical root distribution among functional groups (Fig. S3) and changes in functional group dominance with warming or drought treatments (Fig. 3) likely contributed to the shift in NPP allocation belowground, particularly to the subsoil (>30 cm). Warming did not change BNPP at 0–20 cm, but it increased BNPP at 30–50 cm (Fig. 2C and Fig. S4A). At the same time, drought significantly increased BNPP at both 0–20 cm and 30–50 cm (Fig. 2D and Fig. S4B).

Synthesis of Responses in Plant Productivity and Species Composition on the Tibetan Plateau. To examine whether the observed trend of no effect of warming on ANPP but an increase in the dominance of grasses and a decreased abundance of forbs were general patterns across Tibetan Plateau grasslands, we conducted a meta-analysis on the effect of experimental warming at nine sites distributed across the plateau (Fig. 4A and Table S3). Across all sites, experimental warming increased soil temperature by 1.8 °C and decreased soil moisture by 3.0%, but there was no strong or consistent effect of warming on ANPP; however, experimental warming at the nine sites led to shifts in community composition,
with generally more grasses and fewer forbs (Fig. 4B). In the coldest sites, such as Fenghuoshan, Beiluhe, and Guoluo (mean annual temperature < −3.0 °C), warming tended to increase ANPP. In contrast, at those sites where the temperature was not as low, such as Damxung, Hongyuan, and Kakagou (mean annual temperature, −2.8 to 2.8 °C), warming tended to reduce ANPP (Table S4).

**Discussion**

Long-term changes in climate may lead to overall changes in NPP, a pattern observed in several monitoring studies (9, 27, 28). A meta-analysis of 20 warming experiments indicated that warming increased ANPP by ~19% (29) and BNPP by 52% (30). In contrast, even though the Tibetan Plateau has been warming and drying for longer than 32 y, NPP at our alpine grassland site did not significantly change over time or with experimental warming. Surprisingly, this pattern was consistent when we synthesized results from nine other warming experiments conducted across the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 4B). Given the rapid climate change in a climate-sensitive region that is often referred to as the Third Pole, our results are particularly important for assessing ecosystem sensitivity and predicting how cold-adapted ecosystems may respond to global change.

While some previous studies have found that NPP varies systematically with spatial or temporal variations in climate (31, 32), we posit a mechanism to explain why the response of ANPP on the Tibetan Plateau may be different from that reported previously.
The response of productivity to climate change depends on the stabilizing effect of compensatory interactions among major functional groups (33, 34). In both long-term monitoring and experimental manipulation, climate warming and associated drying led to increases in deep-rooted grasses and forbs and unchanged or reduced production of shallow-rooted sedges. In this alpine ecosystem, water content is higher in the subsoil than in the surface soil during drought periods; thus, an increase in the abundance of deep-rooted grasses could enable the aggregate community to access more water. An increase in soil moisture availability could buffer the community during dry periods and lead to a stabilization of ANPP over time—even under drier conditions. This proposed mechanism is supported by the results of a study from temperate grasslands in Inner Mongolia, where the community-level stability in primary production over time arose from compensatory interactions among major functional groups (33).

Aside from the foregoing mechanism, we cannot rule out the possibility of other nonmutually exclusive mechanisms. For instance, it could be the case that NPP is stable under climate warming because of phenological changes that occur over the growing season; that is, an increase in NPP in the beginning of the growing season is canceled out by lower NPP at the end of the growing season (35, 36). Another possible mechanism in our study site is a mesic alpine grassland subject to seasonal droughts where the temperature is not severely limited, so a neutral response to a 4-y drought experiment and 32 y of increasingly warmer and drier climates might differ when extended to long-term successional scales. Importantly, the changes documented in our study do not include shifts in functional group composition—for example, from grasses to shrubs—that may constrain the responses of ANPP to altered precipitation (43). Over the long term and with succession, the plant community should converge on a potential water use efficiency under drought conditions (44); thus, with reduced precipitation, ANPP should be reduced as well. This may explain why short-term productivity may be less responsive to warming than responses, coupled with succession, over longer periods.

Our results have important implications for ecosystem carbon dynamics in response to climate change. At this site, subsols store approximately 50% of total soil organic carbon in the top 1 m. A shift in species composition to deeply rooted species warming on plant growth and the negative effect of warming on soil moisture. In cold or humid grassland ecosystems, warming tends to increase production; for instance, warming stimulates ecosystem production by increasing shrub production in tundra (40) and by increasing C4 grass production in tallgrass prairie (41). In contrast, however, in ecosystems that face seasonal water shortages, warming tends to decrease production; for example, warming decreases ecosystem production by reducing grass productivity in semiarid grassland (42) and reduces subalpine meadow production by reducing shallow-rooted forbs (9). Our study site is a mesic alpine grassland subject to seasonal droughts where the temperature is not severely limited, so a neutral response of primary production to climate warming is not surprising.

It should be noted that the productivity responses to a 4-y drought experiment and 32 y of increasingly warmer and drier climates might differ when extended to long-term successional scales. Importantly, the changes documented in our study do not include shifts in functional group composition—for example, from grasses to shrubs—that may constrain the responses of ANPP to altered precipitation (43). Over the long term and with succession, the plant community should converge on a potential water use efficiency under drought conditions (44); thus, with reduced precipitation, ANPP should be reduced as well. This may explain why short-term productivity may be less responsive to warming than responses, coupled with succession, over longer periods.

Our results have important implications for ecosystem carbon dynamics in response to climate change. At this site, subsols store approximately 50% of total soil organic carbon in the top 1 m. A shift in species composition to deeply rooted species warming on plant growth and the negative effect of warming on soil moisture. In cold or humid grassland ecosystems, warming tends to increase production; for instance, warming stimulates ecosystem production by increasing shrub production in tundra (40) and by increasing C4 grass production in tallgrass prairie (41). In contrast, however, in ecosystems that face seasonal water shortages, warming tends to decrease production; for example, warming decreases ecosystem production by reducing grass productivity in semiarid grassland (42) and reduces subalpine meadow production by reducing shallow-rooted forbs (9). Our study site is a mesic alpine grassland subject to seasonal droughts where the temperature is not severely limited, so a neutral response of primary production to climate warming is not surprising.

It should be noted that the productivity responses to a 4-y drought experiment and 32 y of increasingly warmer and drier climates might differ when extended to long-term successional scales. Importantly, the changes documented in our study do not include shifts in functional group composition—for example, from grasses to shrubs—that may constrain the responses of ANPP to altered precipitation (43). Over the long term and with succession, the plant community should converge on a potential water use efficiency under drought conditions (44); thus, with reduced precipitation, ANPP should be reduced as well. This may explain why short-term productivity may be less responsive to warming than responses, coupled with succession, over longer periods.

Our results have important implications for ecosystem carbon dynamics in response to climate change. At this site, subsols store approximately 50% of total soil organic carbon in the top 1 m. A shift in species composition to deeply rooted species warming on plant growth and the negative effect of warming on soil moisture. In cold or humid grassland ecosystems, warming tends to increase production; for instance, warming stimulates ecosystem production by increasing shrub production in tundra (40) and by increasing C4 grass production in tallgrass prairie (41). In contrast, however, in ecosystems that face seasonal water shortages, warming tends to decrease production; for example, warming decreases ecosystem production by reducing grass productivity in semiarid grassland (42) and reduces subalpine meadow production by reducing shallow-rooted forbs (9). Our study site is a mesic alpine grassland subject to seasonal droughts where the temperature is not severely limited, so a neutral response of primary production to climate warming is not surprising.

It should be noted that the productivity responses to a 4-y drought experiment and 32 y of increasingly warmer and drier climates might differ when extended to long-term successional scales. Importantly, the changes documented in our study do not include shifts in functional group composition—for example, from grasses to shrubs—that may constrain the responses of ANPP to altered precipitation (43). Over the long term and with succession, the plant community should converge on a potential water use efficiency under drought conditions (44); thus, with reduced precipitation, ANPP should be reduced as well. This may explain why short-term productivity may be less responsive to warming than responses, coupled with succession, over longer periods.

Our results have important implications for ecosystem carbon dynamics in response to climate change. At this site, subsols store approximately 50% of total soil organic carbon in the top 1 m. A shift in species composition to deeply rooted species warming on plant growth and the negative effect of warming on soil moisture. In cold or humid grassland ecosystems, warming tends to increase production; for instance, warming stimulates ecosystem production by increasing shrub production in tundra (40) and by increasing C4 grass production in tallgrass prairie (41). In contrast, however, in ecosystems that face seasonal water shortages, warming tends to decrease production; for example, warming decreases ecosystem production by reducing grass productivity in semiarid grassland (42) and reduces subalpine meadow production by reducing shallow-rooted forbs (9). Our study site is a mesic alpine grassland subject to seasonal droughts where the temperature is not severely limited, so a neutral response of primary production to climate warming is not surprising.
might affect the stability of soil organic carbon in the subsoil. One possibility is that deeper-rooting species may stimulate microbial activity and soil organic matter decomposition, rendering the carbon stored in the subsoil vulnerable to climate change (45–47) (Fig. S5). Alternatively, increased deep roots may increase carbon storage in the subsoil through inputs of root material, microbial processing, and matrix stabilization (48, 49). Given that soil carbon stocks are determined by the balance between carbon input and carbon stabilization and microbial activity, predicting long-term ecosystem dynamics in this increasingly variable climate is complex.

In conclusion, we found that across a major high-elevation biome, ANPP is resistant to climate warming, in contrast to our a priori prediction. We show observationally, experimentally, and with a regional meta-analysis that while ANPP did not change with warming and drying over decades, the composition of the plant community shifted toward more deeply rooted species. Given the important contributions of roots to soil carbon formation and soil organic carbon stability, these findings could be important when predicting carbon feedbacks to the atmosphere.

Methods

Study Site. The study site is located at Haihe National Field Research Station in the Alpine Grassland Ecosystem (37°36′N, 101°19′E, 3,215 m a.s.l.) in the northeastern part of the Tibetan Plateau, in Qinghai Province. The climate is characterized by a long, cold winter and a short, cool summer. The mean annual air temperature and precipitation in 1980–2014 were −1.2 °C and 489.0 mm, respectively. Approximately 80% of precipitation was concentrated in the growing season from May to September. This mesic alpine grassland is dominated by Stipa aliena, Elymus nutans, and Helictotrichon tibeticum. The soil is classified as mollisols according to the US Department of Agriculture Soil Taxonomy. Average soil bulk density, organic carbon concentration, and pH are 0.8 g cm−3, 63.1 g kg−1, and 7.8 at 0–10 cm soil depth, respectively.

Long-Term Monitoring Observations. Plant aboveground production and functional group composition have been monitored since 1980. The climatic data were obtained from the meteorological station that was installed in 1980. Aboveground biomass was harvested every month from May to September. Five or more quadrats of 50 cm × 50 cm were randomly harvested within an area of 250 m × 230 m before 2005. Starting in 2005, a systematic sampling method was used. An area of 150 m × 150 m was equally divided into 25 blocks and marked permanently; five of these blocks on a diagonal were selected. Each selected block was further separated into 25 6 m × 6 m cells. Five 0.25 m × 0.25 m quadrats were harvested from different locations, resulting in 250 quadrats in each diagonal block.

After harvesting, all plant samples were oven-dried at 65 °C to a constant weight. In 1983–1985, 1989, 1998–2000, and 2006–2014, the living plants were further sorted into forb, grass, and sedge functional groups. The measured peak biomass served as a proxy for ANPP.

Warming Experiment Design and Measurements. A warming and precipitation manipulation experiment was established in July 2011, approximately 50 m from the long-term observational area. The manipulative experiment was a full factorial design, including two warming levels (control and warming) and three precipitation levels (drought, ambient, and wet). Each treatment had six replicates and 36 2.2 m × 2.2 m plots. The drought treatment was controlled by the nonslotted channels, and 50% of the intercepted rainwater was further sorted into forb, grass, and sedge functional groups. The soil is classified as mollisols according to the US Department of Agriculture Soil Taxonomy. Average soil bulk density, organic carbon concentration, and pH are 0.8 g cm−3, 63.1 g kg−1, and 7.8 at 0–10 cm soil depth, respectively.

For the field manipulative experiment, paired t tests were used to determine the differences in the relative abundance of functional groups and in the percentage change of BNPP at different depths between control and warming/drought. One-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s honest significant difference test were used to test differences in soil moisture, ANPP, BNPP, and NPP among control, warming, and drought plots. All statistical analyses were conducted using R 2.15.1 software (R Core Team). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05 unless stated otherwise.

Data Analyses for Monitoring and Experiment. For the long-term observations, the humidity index was obtained by calculating the ratio of precipitation to potential evapotranspiration (PET) (50), while the PET was calculated based on air temperature and relative humidity with the following function (51):

\[
\text{PET} = 0.0018 \times (25 + T_{\text{air}}) \times (100 - \text{RH}),
\]

where \(T_{\text{air}}\) is the monthly air temperature and RH is the monthly relative humidity. Linear regressions were used to analyze the interannual trends in ANPP, relative abundance of different functional groups, air temperature, precipitation, humidity index, and soil moisture.

For the field manipulation experiment, paired t tests were used to determine the effect caused by warming. The control plots were measured directly, and the warming plots were measured immediately after warming. One-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s honest significant difference test were used to test differences in soil moisture, ANPP, BNPP, and NPP among control, warming, and drought plots. All statistical analyses were conducted using R 2.15.1 software (R Core Team). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05 unless stated otherwise.

Meta-Analysis for the Warming Experiments over the Tibetan Plateau. Literature searches were conducted through the search engines of Web of Science and China National Knowledge Infrastructure. Only warming experiments conducted in alpine steppe or alpine meadow ecosystems in the Tibetan Plateau were included. For each selected study, a variety of data was collected from control and warming treatments, including ANPP, vegetation coverage, biomass of grasses and forbs, soil temperature, and moisture. Site information for all field stations, including longitude, latitude, altitude, mean annual temperature and annual precipitation, was collected as well. For experiments with data reported for multiple years, only data in the most recent year were used, to guarantee independence of the observations.

The responses of ANPP, relative abundance of grasses and forbs, soil temperature, and soil moisture to warming manipulations were assessed by meta-analysis. The size of each variable was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{lnRR} = \ln(X_r/X_c),
\]

where lnRR is the natural logarithm of response ratio and \(X_r\) and \(X_c\) are the means of the treatment and control groups, respectively (52). Metawin (Sinauer Associates) was used to calculate mean response ratios and 95% bootstrap confidence intervals (CIs). If the 95% bootstrap CI did not overlap 1, then the effect caused by warming was considered significant.
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