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Drought stress is a major obstacle to crop productivity, and the severity and frequency of drought are expected to increase in the coming century. Certain root-associated bacteria have been shown to mitigate the negative effects of drought stress on plant growth, and manipulation of the crop microbiome is an emerging strategy for overcoming drought stress in agricultural systems, yet the effect of drought on the development of the root microbiome is poorly understood. Through 16S rRNA amplicon and metatranscriptome sequencing, as well as root metabolomics, we demonstrate that drought delays the development of the early sorghum root microbiome and causes increased abundance and activity of monoderm bacteria, which lack an outer cell membrane and contain thick cell walls. Our data suggest that altered plant metabolism and increased activity of bacterial ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter genes are correlated with these shifts in community composition. Finally, inoculation experiments with monoderm isolates indicate that increased colonization of the root during drought can positively impact plant growth. Collectively, these results demonstrate the role that drought plays in restructuring the root microbiome and highlight the importance of temporal sampling when studying plant-associated microbiomes.

Significance

Drought remains a critical obstacle to meeting the food demands of the coming century. Understanding the interplay between drought stress, plant development, and the plant microbiome is central to meeting this challenge. Here, we demonstrate that drought causes enrichment of a distinct set of microbes in roots, composed almost entirely of monoderm, which lack outer membranes and have thick cell walls. We demonstrate that under drought, roots increase the production of many metabolites, and that monoderm inhabiting the drought-treated rhizosphere exhibit increased activity of transporters connected with some of these same compounds. The discovery of this drought-induced enrichment and associated shifts in metabolite exchange between plant and microbe reveal a potential blueprint for manipulating plant microbiomes for improved crop fitness.
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Furthermore, we show that rewatering early drought-stressed plants leads to a rapid return to a normal but delayed progression of microbiome development. We observe that drought leads to reduced microbial diversity within the root-associated microbiome and increases the relative abundance of nearly all monoderm bacteria, which differ from dierm bacteria in that they lack an outer membrane and typically have thicker cell walls (28). We present evidence that in the rhizosphere, drought is correlated with increased transcription of many monoderm transporter genes associated with specific amino acids and carbohydrates. Conspicuously, we also observe increases in the production of many of these same compounds within the plant root, suggesting that drought-induced shifts in root metabolism are connected with shifts in root-associated microbiome composition. Finally, we performed in vivo colonization experiments that indicate that drought causes increased root colonization of monoderm strains, and that this colonization is correlated with increased root biomass, specifically under drought stress. Thus, we show that drought significantly impacts root bacterial composition and activity in a time-dependent manner with potential implications for crop fitness.

**Results**

**Sorghum Microbiome Development Is Delayed by Drought Stress.** To explore the effects of sorghum development on root-associated bacterial communities, and to determine how drought influences microbiome recruitment, we conducted a large-scale field experiment in Kearney, located in California’s Central Valley, in which sorghum plants were sampled weekly across 17 time points (TP1–TP17) for a variety of plant- and microbiome-related traits. To account for potential interactions between cultivar-specific differences in host tolerance to drought and microbiome-related phenotypes, we chose to use two varieties of sorghum (BTx642 and RTx430) that are characterized by different drought tolerance phenotypes (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). To explore our hypothesis that drought has greater impact on the development of the early root microbiome compared with the late root microbiome, we subjected plants to one of three irrigation treatments (SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3): (i) preflowering drought, with no water applied between planting and the onset of flowering (TP0–TP8); (ii) postflooding drought, with no water applied after flowering (TP10–TP17); and (iii) control, with normal irrigation applied throughout the growing season (TPS–TP17). Flowering was induced by exposing all sorghum plants to a late supply of limiting resources. Following this initial period of colonization, a comparison of drought and control treatments revealed that both preflowering and postflowering drought treatments lead to a significantly decreased level of Shannon’s diversity within the root (~20%) and rhizosphere (~15%) relative to the control (Fig. 1B and C); by contrast, levels of Shannon’s diversity in the soil remained largely unchanged (Fig. 1C).

To explore the relative impacts of preflowering drought treatment, plant genotype, and plant age on bacterial community composition compared with other well-characterized factors, such as sample type, unnatural principle coordinate analyses (PCoAs) of Bray Curtis distances were performed. These analyses demonstrate that samples belonging to roots, the rhizosphere, and soils clustered in distinct groups (Fig. 1D). Additionally, samples showed separation according to how long they had been under weekly water (Fig. 1D, shades of green) or drought (Fig. 1D, shades of orange and red) treatment. A permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis was performed using the Bray Curtis distances for all control and preflowering drought samples. These analyses indicate that bacterial communities were influenced most strongly by sample type, time point, and treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), and that genotype and replicate were not found to significantly influence community composition in global analyses (SI Appendix, Table S2) or at any individual time point (SI Appendix, Table S3). As genotype did not significantly impact community composition, all remaining 16S rRNA analyses were conducted on aggregated data treating the two genotypes as additional replicates. Individual PCoAs performed separately for each sample type revealed that root (Fig. LE) and rhizosphere (SI Appendix, Fig. S9A) communities exhibit larger and more dynamic compositional changes than do soil communities (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B), as indicated by a greater separation between time points and greater combined variation explained by the first two axes in the PCoA plots (roots = 65.4%, rhizosphere = 54.2%) compared with soil samples (soils = 29.5%). The “arch”-like shape of the plots for root and rhizosphere samples is characteristic of microbial environments in which there is a high degree of turnover.
Drought impacts root microbiome development. Mean Shannon’s diversity across the soil (A), rhizosphere (B), and roots (C) at each time point under preflowering drought (orange lines), postflowering drought (yellow lines), and control (blue lines) treatments. The shaded areas above and below each line represent standard deviation from the mean. The orange- and yellow-shaded regions demarcated by vertical dashed lines indicate the periods in which preflowering drought and postflowering drought were applied, respectively. (D) PCoA of Bray Curtis distances for all control and preflowering drought root samples colored by time point. Individual time points (TP1–TP17) are represented by distinct colors, with initial time points (TP1–TP3) shown as shades of blue and purple. (E) PCoA of Bray Curtis distances for all control and preflowering drought root samples colored by treatment, suggesting that the effect of drought on the root microbiome exceeds the effect imposed by plant development. (F–H) Heat maps of the mean pairwise Bray Curtis dissimilarity between all root sample replicates within the specified pairs of treatments and time points. A comparison of control samples versus control samples (F), a comparison of preflowering drought versus control samples (G), and a comparison of preflowering drought versus preflowering drought samples (H) are shown. Shades of green and pink represent low and high Bray Curtis distances, respectively. The orange and green lines indicate the mean sampling times in drought and control treatments, respectively, while the black lines represent the rewatering event at the end of drought treatment. (G, Lower Left) Red rectangle highlights the strong similarity between drought-treated samples at TP3–TP8 and the control treated samples belonging to TP3.

along an environmental or temporal gradient (36), and suggests that the plant microbiome exhibits strong niche differentiation during development and may be subject to dramatic shifts in biochemistry.

These analyses also revealed that root and rhizosphere communities exhibited a stalled progression of bacterial community development under drought treatment relative to control samples (Fig. 1E and SI Appendix, Fig. S9A), as indicated by the distinct relative positions of drought and control samples at each time point (denoted by unique colors). However, despite these differences in the timing of microbial community development, both sets of samples follow a common trajectory. This delay is also evident in a heat map of the relative Bray Curtis dissimilarities between drought- and control-treated root samples across all time points (Fig. 1G), which demonstrates that throughout the preflowering drought treatment (TP3–TP8), the compositional profiles of drought-stressed plant roots most closely resemble root microbiomes of younger control plants from TP3 (Fig. 1G, red rectangle). The delayed transition in the root microbiome could potentially be explained by a drought-induced slowing of plant development, as we observed that preflowering drought also resulted in later flowering times and decreased plant growth rates (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). However, a side-by-side comparison of between-time-point community dissimilarity within replicates of control (Fig. 1F), and a similar comparison within replicates of preflowering treatments (Fig. 1H), revealed a much greater distinction between roots collected before and after watering in drought-stressed plants than between roots collected before and after flowering in the control treatment, suggesting that the effect of drought on the root microbiome exceeds the effect imposed by plant development.

Early Root Endosphere Communities Characterized by Dynamic Shifts in Dominant Taxa. To better understand the delayed progression that drought induces in the root microbiome, we first sought to understand the temporal dynamics of the root microbiome under normal irrigation. Phylum-level relative abundance plots revealed that the root and rhizosphere communities exhibit an initial period of dynamic recruitment (TP1–TP6), followed by a later period of relative stability (Fig. 2 C and E); similar early shifts are not observed in the surrounding soil communities (Fig. 2 A), indicating that this is not simply a result of changes in the surrounding source microbiome from which roots recruit their endophytes. In accordance with this, root and rhizosphere microbiomes exhibit relatively large compositional dissimilarity between adjacent time points in
Drought induces enrichment of monoderm lineages in early root endosphere communities. To determine how drought affects the observed developmental dynamics of the root microbiome, we next compared the phylum-level compositional profile of preflowering drought- and control-treated samples. In roots grown under preflowering drought treatment, we observed an enrichment for Actinobacteria and Firmicutes that is consistent with recently published reports (13, 14, 38) and a likely contributing factor for the observed decrease in microbial diversity within root-associated samples in this study (Fig. 2). This enrichment progressed over the course of drought treatment (6 wk) until watering resumed (Fig. 2). Strikingly, within 1 wk following rewetting, the root microbiome of previously drought-treated plants rapidly returned to a pattern of community progression observed in younger control roots (Figs. 1 E and G and 2). This rapid rewetting-induced shift in root endosphere composition is driven by a more than 200% increase in the relative abundance of the diderm lineages Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes (P < 0.001) and a similarly large decrease in the monoderm phyla Actinobacteria (P = 0.012) (Fig. 2). As the relative enrichment in Actinobacteria could be the result of an absolute increase in their abundance, or an absolute decrease in other dominant taxa, we measured the absolute abundance of several lineages in drought and control root samples through qPCR using lineage-specific primers. These results demonstrate that in this field experiment, drought treatment leads to an overall decrease in total bacterial abundance, but that Actinobacteria and Firmicutes show significantly enhanced resistance to these shifts compared to the Proteobacteria (SI Appendix, Fig. S14). Additionally, we observed that the decreases were greater for all community members at the peak of drought (TP8; SI Appendix, Fig. S14B) compared with earlier in the drought treatment (TP4; SI Appendix, Fig. S14A), which suggests that the absolute decrease in abundance is correlated with the length of drought treatment. Taken together, these results suggest that the root microbiome composition of field-grown sorghum is sensitive to drought perturbation early in plant development, and that this perturbation results in decreased abundance of the total bacterial community and a phylum-level relative enrichment of select monoderm bacterial lineages.

To identify bacterial lineages enriched within the root relative to the soil during early development in control conditions, we used a negative binomial model as in the previous analysis to select OTUs with patterns of enrichment or depletion in roots between drought and control treatments. A total of 1,029 OTUs belonging to 195 of the 380 genera observed within the root endosphere during the preflowering treatment period (TP3–TP8) were identified as having significantly altered abundance between control and preflowering drought treatments, including 66 drought-enriched genera and 129 drought-depleted genera. A phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) constructed from a representative 16S rRNA OTU sequence from each of these differentially abundant root genera offers further evidence that drought-enriched taxa are highly phylogenetically clustered, and demonstrates that drought-enriched lineages belong almost exclusively to monoderm phyla (SI Appendix, Fig. S15). Several notable exceptions to this pattern (Fig. 3, asterisks) are elaborated upon in detail in Discussion. Comparative analyses of genera containing OTUs with significant changes under drought in roots, rhizospheres, and soils yielded similar phylogenetic patterns of enrichment and depletion (SI Appendix, Fig. S16A); only 18 of 254 genera had opposing patterns of enrichment and depletion across any of the three sample types (SI Appendix, Fig. S16B). However, among the remaining 236 OTUs with enrichment patterns that were not inconsistent across the three sample types, the total number of lineages with significant changes and the magnitude of these shifts were consistently lower in soils than in either root-associated compartment (SI Appendix, Fig. S16).

As the microbial community analysis conducted above was performed on samples taken in a single field experiment, we next sought to test whether the patterns of monoderm enrichment under drought were observable in other environments. To this end, we performed a similar analysis on sorghum root samples collected from drought- and control-treated plots at our short-duration greenhouse experiment (39), which were grown for 10 wk under normal watering conditions. Percent relative abundance for the most abundant bacterial phyla. Percent relative abundance of the top 13 most abundant phyla for control A, C, and E and drought B, D, and F treatments across soils (A and B), rhizospheres (C and D), and roots (E and F). All time points (TP1–TP17) are arranged in order along the x axis in each panel.

SI Appendix, Fig. S10} compared with later in the experiment and with the microbial communities of the surrounding soil. This early compositional volatility of the root microbiome is driven by significant shifts in the relative abundance of a large number of dominant phyla, including Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria (Fig. 2E and SI Appendix, Fig. S11); by comparison, the most significant phylum-level shifts in abundance later in development (TP7–TP17) are in less abundant lineages (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). While the above results suggest that later development of the root microbiome is relatively stable, evidence of temporal restructuring of the sorghum root and rhizosphere microbiome throughout plant development is observable for some microbial lineages when finer taxonomic resolution is used, as demonstrated by family-level changes in both the Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria (SI Appendix, Fig. S12) that continued throughout the experiment.

To identify bacterial lineages enriched within the root relative to the soil during early development in control conditions, we used a negative binomial model in the R package DESeq (37) to model operational taxonomic unit (OTU)-level root endosphere and soil community abundances across time points (TP3–TP8). Of the 366 genera containing OTUs with significant differences between soil and roots 35.5% showed strong enrichment within roots, and a phylogenetic reconstruction of differentially abundant lineages (SI Appendix, Fig. S13A) indicates a nonrandom distribution to this enrichment. Of the top eight most abundant phyla, the three that exhibited the highest overall preference for the root environment (Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Verrucomicrobia), as demonstrated by the percentage of genera enriched within roots compared with soils (SI Appendix, Fig. S13B), are typically considered diderm lineages. Among the three monoderm phyla (28) (Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Chloroflexi), two had the lowest relative percentages of genera enriched in roots; only Actinobacteria showed moderate levels of root enrichment relative to the other diderm phyla in our analysis. Taken together, these results suggest that early development of the root microbiome is dynamic, with large shifts in many dominant taxa, and that the root microbiome is preferentially colonized by diderm bacteria when plants are grown under normal watering conditions.
We hypothesized that the root microbiome of older plants is less sensitive to drought stress due to prior establishment of endophyte communities during early development. To determine if the shifts in root-associated microbiome composition during preflowering drought are correlated with changes in microbiome function, we performed metatranscriptome sequencing of rhizosphere samples, compared with previous analysis of preflowering drought treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S21). These results demonstrate that while drought occurring late in plant development is accompanied by a reduction in microbial diversity similar to that observed in early plant development, it has a significantly smaller impact on root microbial community composition.

To explore the degree to which OTUs exhibiting shifts in abundance during preflowering and postflowering drought overlapped, we identified OTUs with significant compositional changes between postflowering and control treatments across TP10–TP17 (n = 1,059) through negative binomial modeling. A comparison of this list of postflowering drought-altered OTUs with the list of preflowering drought-altered OTUs (TP3–TP8, n = 1,029) generated previously revealed that while overall phylum-level distribution patterns and total counts of OTUs per phylum appeared similar (SI Appendix, Fig. S22A), more than half of the OTUs in both sets were significantly altered in only one of the two treatments (SI Appendix, Fig. S22B). Notably, OTUs with shifts only in preflowering drought (SI Appendix, Fig. S22C, Right) accounted for threefold more read counts across all root samples than those with shifts unique to postflowering drought (preflowering = 20.7%, postflowering = 6.4%). This result may explain why OTUs with differential abundance in postflowering drought, although large in number, have relatively little impact on community composition. The data also demonstrate that the OTUs with significant shifts in both treatments (n = 491; SI Appendix, Fig. S22C) account for 67.6% of all read counts in root samples. Furthermore, a comparison of the respective fold enrichments of these common OTUs under preflowering and postflowering drought revealed that 98% (n = 41 of 42) of the OTUs enriched under drought in both treatments belonged to monoderm phyla, while 94% (n = 131 of 139) of OTUs depleted in both treatments were diderms. Collectively, these results suggest that while preflowering and postflowering drought treatments of roots exhibit conserved phylogenetic patterns of monoderm enrichment, their responses differ in magnitude and the specific OTUs exhibiting changes in abundance.

**Actinobacterial Transcription Increases in Drought-Treated Rhizospheres.** To determine if the shifts in root-associated microbiome composition during preflowering drought are correlated with changes in microbiome function, we performed metatranscriptome sequencing of the rhizosphere and soil communities at the peak of preflowering drought stress (TP8) and following rewatering (TP9). As with the amplicon data, samples from the two genotypes showed a high degree of correlation, and in all subsequent analyses, we treat the two genotypes as additional replicates (SI Appendix, Table S6). Of 556,826 genes in our dataset, we identified 13,300 drought-enriched genes (DEGs) in the rhizosphere (61% of total rhizosphere DEGs; post-flowering drought). As noted previously, comparison of the postflowering drought and control-treated communities revealed that, as with preflowering drought, median Shannon’s diversity decreased under drought in roots and rhizospheres, but not in the surrounding soil (Fig. 1 A–C). However, an exploration of phylum-level relative abundance revealed that the overall root-associated bacterial community composition during the postflowering period was relatively stable and similar to that of the control (SI Appendix, Fig. S19). PCoA of Bray Curtis distances in postflowering- and control-treated samples demonstrates a relative lack of clustering by treatment, especially for root and rhizosphere samples, compared with previous analysis of preflowering drought and control samples (SI Appendix, Fig. S20).

Similarly, PERMANOVA reveals that the amount of variation explained by postflowering drought treatment is less than 40% of that explained by preflowering drought treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 and Tables S2–S5). Finally, an analysis of mean Bray Curtis differences between drought- and control-treated roots revealed that after the first week of drought treatment, preflowering drought treatment had greater differences compared with control than did postflowering drought treatment at every subsequent time point (SI Appendix, Fig. S21). These results demonstrate that while drought occurring late in plant development is accompanied by a reduction in microbial diversity similar to that observed in early plant development, it has a significantly smaller impact on root microbial community composition.
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The drought-induced root treatment that is diminished upon rewatering. However, this analysis did reveal some differences compared with the global analysis; for instance, the increase in Firmicutes transcripts in drought-treated soils observed previously was not reproduced in the core gene analysis. As the relative abundance of Actinobacteria are unlikely to be accounted for by sporulation, which would lead to largely dormant cells with reduced transcriptional activity (39). In contrast to the rhizosphere, similar analyses in soils exhibited no increase in actinobacterial transcripts but did see increased expression of transcripts belonging to Firmicutes, another monoderm lineage (Fig. 4A). As taxonomic analyses based on global metatranscriptome datasets can be susceptible to biases resulting from the fact that not all genes are equally represented in databases and are equally good phylogenetic markers, we performed a similar taxonomic analysis using only a subset of nine core housekeeping genes (gyrA, recA, spo, spo, gyrB, gap, rhs, fs2, and secA) (40) (SI Appendix, Fig. S24). Within rhizospheres, the relative abundance profiles demonstrate largely similar patterns, including a large enrichment for actinobacterial core genes transcripts under drought treatment that is diminished upon rewatering. However, this analysis did reveal some differences compared with the global analysis; for instance, the increase in Firmicutes transcripts in drought-treated soils observed previously was not reproduced in the core gene analysis. As the relative abundance of Firmicutes transcripts in this core gene-based analysis was drastically reduced at all time points and in all treatments compared with the global analysis, we expect that the discrepancy may be accounted for by a relative lack of Firmicutes representation for the nine core genes in our taxonomic database. Taken together, these results suggest that while rhizosphere- and soil-associated microbial communities may respond similarly to drought stress in terms of taxonomic profile, they respond differently in terms of the quantity and types of genes exhibiting differential expression. This result is similar to that observed in the previous comparison of differential abundance in the 16S rRNA datasets (SI Appendix, Fig. S16), in which drought-treated root and soils yielded similar phylogenetic patterns of OTU enrichment and depletion but differences in terms of the quantity and size of these changes.

Fig. 4. Drought impacts root microbiome transcription. (A) Percent relative abundance across the top 13 phyla for all transcripts in the metatranscriptome data for which taxonomy could be assigned from rhizospheres (Left) and soils (Right) for all control and drought-treated samples at TP8 and TP9. (B) GO enrichment analysis for all genes showing enrichment under drought for both rhizospheres (Left) and soils (Right) at TP8. The values on the x axis indicate the fold enrichment ratio of the relative percentages of genes up-regulated under drought in each category relative to the total relative percentage of genes in the corresponding category within the entire dataset. Categories for which there were fewer than five differentially expressed genes were omitted. The red circles indicate categories for which the enrichment had a P value of <0.05 in a hypergeometric test (P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). (C) Relative abundance across the top 13 phyla for all transcripts for which taxonomy could be assigned and which showed differential expression by treatment from rhizospheres (Left) and soils (Right) at TP8 and TP9, separated according to GO categories (y axis). Categories for which there were fewer than five differentially expressed genes were omitted. The legend for colors used for each phylum is as in Fig. 2.
and carbohydrates (11 of 50; Fisher’s exact test: \( P < 0.0005; \) SI Appendix, Table S10), although it is worth noting that ATP-binding cassette (ABC)—type transporters are three-component systems, which may be artificially inflating this observed enrichment. In accordance with our taxonomic analysis of the metatranscriptome data, these data also revealed that the majority of DEGs in the rhizosphere (c.90%) in all functional categories belong to Actinobacteria (Fig. 4C), compared with c.50% in the soil community (Fig. 4C). Finally, to determine if the enrichment in carbohydrate and amino acid transport and metabolism gene ontology (GO) categories is a consequence of the increased relative abundance of Actinobacteria or, alternatively, a shift in Actinobacterial function, we performed an analysis of the GO functional category assignments of all actinobacterial transcripts (SI Appendix, Fig. S25B). This analysis revealed that the drought-induced shifts in rhizosphere function are driven by significant changes in gene expression within the actinobacterial lineage in almost all GO functional categories, by carbohydrate and amino acid transport and metabolism, and by increased expression of ABC transporters (SI Appendix, Fig. S25C). Taken together, these data suggest that drought has a significant effect on the transcriptional activity of the root-associated microbiome, that rhizosphere genes associated with carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism and transport show increased expression due to drought, and that the altered transcriptional activity in the rhizosphere microbiome during drought is largely due to shifts in actinobacterial activity and function.

Isolates of Actinobacteria Are Enriched in Roots Under Drought. Evidence from our field trials suggests that the increased abundance of Actinobacteria during drought is likely due, in part, to the greater absolute depletion of most other bacterial lineages, but does not rule out some form of direct positive selection by the plant through an as yet undiscovered mechanism. To better distinguish between these potential causes of enrichment and to determine if actinobacterial enrichment under drought contributes to changes in host phenotype, we performed controlled inoculation experiments in plants grown in sterile soils. In these experiments, individual bacterial isolates cultivated from field-grown, drought-stressed sorghum roots were applied individually to preresticulated soil in a gnotobiotic growth system containing 2-d-old sterilized sorghum seedlings. Three isolates, including two actinobacterial strains (Streptomyces coelicolor Sc1 and Streptomyces ambifaciens Sc2) as representatives of drought-enriched lineages and one proteobacterial strain (Pseudomonas syringae Ps1) as a representative of drought-depleted lineages, were chosen for this experiment. To quantify levels of microbial colonization of the root following 2 wk of imposed drought stress, we performed qPCR with primers specific to either Actinobacteria or Proteobacteria. In contrast to the qPCR results obtained from field-grown samples, we observed that both actinobacterial strains showed approximately twofold increases in abundance within drought-treated roots compared with control roots (SI Appendix, Fig. S28). By comparison, the Proteobacteria exhibited an approximately threefold reduction in abundance. To confirm the increased root colonization of Actinobacteria during drought, we tagged both of the Streptomyces isolates Sc1 and Sc2 with an mCherry fluorescence gene and used confocal microscopy to image colonization of root tissue during drought and control treatments in inoculation experiments performed as described above. We observed that both of the tagged strains showed roughly twofold higher levels of colonization following drought compared with control, as demonstrated by increased fluorescence density (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S29). Similarly, treatment of plants with PEG 6000, an alternative cause of osmotic stress often used to simulate drought in controlled experiments (41), also showed significantly increased colonization compared with control (SI Appendix, Fig. S30). These results demonstrate that individual Actinobacteria can have an absolute enrichment under drought in the absence of competition from other microbes, indicating that niche expansion alone is unlikely to fully account for the observed phenomenon.
Unexpectedly, both strains also induced modest but significant increases in root growth following inoculation, and this growth promotion was only observable following exposure to drought treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S31). Taken together, these data suggest that in a controlled laboratory setting and in the absence of other microbes, the observed increase in actinobacterial abundance during drought is accompanied by increased colonization, and that this increase is potentially beneficial to plant fitness.

Discussion

Our study provides a high-resolution characterization of the effects of plant development and drought on the sorghum root microbiome. We identified a high degree of microbial dynamism within the sorghum root during early development and demonstrate that early root microbiome development leads to relatively greater enrichment in diderm taxa compared with monoderm, with strong preferential enrichment for Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, as has been observed elsewhere (4). However, microbial coexistence depends, by necessity, on trade-offs, with distinct lineages having fitness advantages under different sets of conditions determined by the surrounding biotic and abiotic environments (42). We propose that within the root and rhizosphere, diderm lineages with relatively superior colonization ability under well-watered conditions are less suited to survive a set of selective pressures caused by drought. Similar examples of temporarily variable selection of community composition via environmental fluctuation have been observed in other micro- and macroecosystems (43, 44).

In contrast to prior published results (13), root samples from our field experiment exhibited an absolute decrease in actinobacterial abundance following drought treatment that grew more pronounced as drought progressed. However, drought treatment following inoculation with individual Actinobacteria in gnotobiotically grown sorghum was shown to lead to an absolute increase in abundance of Actinobacteria. Similar discrepancies have been observed in the study of drought’s impact on the abundance of total bacterial abundance in soils (45). In general, total biomass has been observed to decrease under drought (46, 47), but some studies have observed bacterial abundance to remain constant (48) or even to increase (49). A variety of confounding factors could be responsible for these inconsistencies, including the duration and intensity of the drought treatment, temperature, edaphic factors such as soil pH and physicochemistry, nutrient availability, and methods of measurement. Despite the observed differences in absolute abundance in this study, broad patterns of relative abundance remain consistent between field and laboratory experiments. In particular, the large relative decrease in the absolute abundance of Proteobacteria and increase in Actinobacteria in both the field and gnotobiotic systems are consistent with the observed changes in relative abundance observed for these lineages in our amplicon dataset.

One unexpected result from this study is the degree of resilience exhibited by the root microbiome, which undergoes, within 1 wk of rewatering, a dramatic shift from monoderm back to diderm dominance. A study of resilience in other microbial communities found that less than half of all studied microbiomes (including soil, marine, and host-associated microbiomes) subjected to either short- or long-term disturbance events were capable of compositional recovery (50). A possible explanation for the observed resilience in our study could be the relatively faster growth rates of some diderm compared with monoderm (51), which could allow even a few surviving diderm individuals to recover to predisturbance population levels upon release from the selective pressures that lead to their reduced relative abundance under drought (50).

While the cause of the monoderm enrichment under drought currently remains unknown, unexpected enrichment and depletion patterns for several lineages (Fig. 3, asterisks) suggest that this phenomenon may be associated with the structure and thickness of the peptidoglycan cell wall layer. Actinoplanes, an Actinobacteria that is a notable exception to the general drought enrichment observed for this phylum, has recently been shown to have a novel cell wall type with considerable alterations in peptidoglycan structure compared with the majority of other actinobacterial lineages (52, 53). Within the Proteobacteria, a largely diderm phylum with strong and near-exclusive depletion under drought, some members of the order Chromatiales and the family Myxococcaceae are known to possess additional or unusual layers within their peptidoglycan cell wall (54, 55); correspondingly, we observe a strong enrichment under drought for both. Similarly, OTUs belonging to Nitrospira, an atypical diderm phylum that contains a triple-layered cell wall, also exhibit enrichment under drought (56). Finally, members of the phylum Chloroflexi, which have recently been shown to contain a mixture of lineages with and without cell walls (57, 58), showed approximately equal numbers of enriched and depleted genera. These observations suggest that while the drought-induced enrichment within the root microbiome largely follows the boundary between monoderm and diderm lineages, the true discriminating factors may be the presence, thickness, and structure of the cell wall, rather than the presence or absence of an outer membrane. As the structure of the bacterial cell wall has only been thoroughly characterized for a few bacterial taxa (28), further exploration of the cell wall composition within diverse lineages is needed. Indeed, our data may suggest a potential screen for identifying genera for which cell wall architecture may differ from close relatives as candidates for further cell wall analysis.

Recent evidence has shown that exudation of specific plant metabolites can influence root microbiome composition, and that normal developmentally driven shifts in exudation profiles are correlated with changes in the plant-associated microbiome (59, 60). One possible cause of the monoderm enrichment under drought that is related to cell wall architecture is the overproduction of G3P by the plant host (Fig. 6). The absolute enrichment of Actinobacteria observed after short periods of drought in our inoculation experiments, in which roots are only exposed to a single microbial strain, is consistent with some form of positive selection. While the drought-induced increases in the production of most of the
Proposed model for selection of monoderm lineages during drought in the root-associated microbiome. (1) Early plant root development selects for diderm lineages under normal irrigation. (2) Drought induces shifts in plant root metabolism, including increases in a range of carbohydrates, secondary metabolites, and amino acids. These shifts lead to exudation of these and possibly other metabolites, which, in turn, supports growth of specific lineages. Additionally, negative selection through an as yet unknown mechanism causes decreases in the absolute abundance of all bacteria, but with greater selection against cells with diderm cell wall characteristics. (3) Rewatering leads to a release from metabolite-mediated and other unknown selective pressures, allowing for rapid growth of diderms and a return to the pattern of root microbiome development and activity observed under control conditions.

other amino acids and sugars do not offer a clear explanation for the specific increase in actinobacterial lineages, G3P is an important precursor to peptidoglycan biosynthesis, which is the primary component of the bacterial cell wall. Given the increased average thickness of the peptidoglycan layer in monoderms (57), it has been suggested that the availability of G3P may act as a positive regulator of growth rate in monoderm lineages (61). We noted that among the ABC transporters and metabolic pathways associated with G3P in the rhizosphere microbiome that showed increased activity during drought, the majority of these (98%) belonged specifically to Actinobacteria. Based on these results, it is conceivable that overproduction of G3P within the plant root may lead to exudation of this metabolite into the rhizosphere and apoplastic, where it is utilized by Actinobacteria to foster their growth.

However, we expect that positive selective pressures alone are unlikely to fully explain the relative increase in monoderm abundance for two reasons. First, the increase in G3P-related transcripts was only observed in Actinobacteria, and thus cannot account for the increase in relative abundance of other monoderm lineages (namely, Firmicutes and Chloroflexi). Second, our experimental evidence from the field with native soil communities suggests that while drought leads to greater absolute losses in diderm lineages compared with monoderm lineages, decreases are, in fact, observed for all bacterial lineages we tested. Based on these observations, it is plausible that the enrichment in monoderms is driven, in part, by negative selection of diderm lineages by compounds produced by the host or by other microorganisms. Actinobacteria themselves are known to produce a wide variety of antimicrobials, and many of these compounds are induced after specific environmental cues (62). Indeed, we observe that drought leads to increased activity of polyketide synthetase and cytochrome P450 genes (SI Appendix, Table S14), both of which are involved in the production of secondary metabolites such as antibiotics (63). However, the majority of antibiotics work by inhibiting the biosynthesis of cell walls and typically have larger negative impacts on the growth of monoderm lineages, which lack an outer membrane to protect them (64). Alternatively, plant tissues are known to undergo significant increases in reactive oxygen species (ROS) under drought, and monoderms have been shown to exhibit greater tolerance to the presence of ROS than diderms (50). The susceptibility of the outer membrane of diderms to oxidative damage and the relatively greater protection afforded by the thicker cell wall in monoderms (28) have been suggested as potential causes of this phenomenon (52). In support of this hypothesis, the rapid increase in diderms following rewatering seems to us to suggest relief from a negative selection with a relatively short half-life, and ROS typically degrade within short periods of time (65). Further experimentation with plants impaired in or enhanced for ROS scavenging could help to test this hypothesis.

A recent study on the effect of drought on the microbiomes of 30 angiosperms has observed a significant correlation between the relative abundance of the genus Streptomyces in plant roots and host drought tolerance (38). In accordance with this, we observed that drought stress not only increases colonization of Streptomyces isolates within the root and rhizosphere but that this colonization can increase root development during drought. In our study, inoculation was not correlated with shifts in shoot fresh weight (SI Appendix, Fig. S31), demonstrating a microbiologically induced increase in relative root-to-shoot resource allocation that has been shown in some studies to be beneficial for overall fitness during drought stress (66). However, additional experimentation with a wide range of monoderm lineages, and across a variety of soil environments, will be necessary to establish the extent to which these trends are generalizable.

Conclusions
Manipulation of the crop microbiome represents a promising strategy for addressing many of the challenges drought poses to agricultural productivity. However, to capitalize on this potential, an improved understanding of the causes, consequences, and timing of drought-induced shifts in the soil and crop microbiome is needed. This study demonstrates that drought significantly restructures root microbiome composition and functionality, especially in early development, and highlights the importance of temporal sampling when studying plant-associated microbiomes.

Material and Methods
Microbial community analysis was conducted as in the study by Naylor et al. (13) on soil, rhizosphere, and root endosphere samples of two sorghum cultivars collected from field-grown plants in Parlier, California (36.6000°N, 119.5109°W). Metatranscriptome profiling, metabolomics, qPCR, HPLC analysis, bacterial isolation and conjugation, inoculation experiments, and confocal microscopy were performed as described in SI Appendix, Material and Methods. A combined assembly of the metatranscriptome can be accessed and downloaded via integrated microbial genomes (IMG)/expert review (ER) (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) using the IMG ID 3300017790.
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