Spectroscopic ruler for measuring active-site distortions based on Raman optical activity of a hydrogen out-of-plane vibration
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Photoactive yellow protein (PYP), from the phototrophic bacterium Halorhodospira halophila, is a small water-soluble photoreceptor protein and contains p-coumaric acid (pCA) as a chromophore. PYP has been an attractive model for studying the physical chemistry of protein active sites. Here, we explore how Raman optical activity (ROA) can be used to extract quantitative information on distortions of the pCA chromophore at the active site in PYP. We use 13C-pCA to assign an intense signal at 826 cm−1 in the ROA spectrum of PYP to a hydrogen out-of-plane vibration of the ethylenic moiety of the chromophore. Quantum-chemical calculations based on density functional theory demonstrate that the sign of this ROA band reports the direction of the distortion in the dihedral angle about the ethylenic C=C bond, while its amplitude is proportional to the dihedral angle. These results document the ability of ROA to quantify structural deformations of a cofactor molecule embedded in a protein moiety.

Significance

Many biological cofactors, including light-absorbing chromophores in photoreceptors, are modulated upon insertion into a protein binding pocket by both electrostatic and steric interactions. The electrostatic component of these effects, including hydrogen bonding and charge–charge interactions, has been studied in some detail (1, 2). The steric contribution can cause structural distortions in the cofactor, and such effects have been considered to be crucial for biological function but are less well understood. Proposed functional roles for cofactor distortions include the out-of-plane distortion of chromophores as a key factor in controlling their absorption spectra (3, 4). Furthermore, photoexcitation of these proteins produces primary high-energy intermediates with structurally perturbed chromophores (5–8), which drive subsequent protein conformational changes (9, 10). Such structural distortions have proven difficult to measure experimentally.

Recent progress in Raman optical activity (ROA) spectroscopy has revealed this technique as a promising avenue to derive structural details on the distortion of a chromophore within a protein environment (11–15). ROA measures the difference in Raman scattering intensity between right (I R) and left (I L) circularly polarized incident light, which provides information on molecular chirality (16–18). The sum of I R and I L corresponds to the Raman spectrum. A protein environment can distort an achiral chromophore into a chiral conformation, and ROA spectroscopy provides an approach to derive detailed structural information of the chromophore in the protein under physiological solution conditions. This method can be extended to a structural studies of short-lived intermediate (15). These studies suggested that the hydrogen out-of-plane (HOOP) mode is especially sensitive to the distortion of the chromophore. We recently reported that preresonance conditions are ideal for measuring structurally informative ROA spectra, since chromophore signals are substantially enhanced without the disruption of the ROA effect that occurs under full-resonance conditions (14, 19). Here, we aim to further develop the use of preresonance ROA spectroscopy in determining chromophore distortions in photoactive yellow protein (PYP).

PYP is a small water-soluble blue light photoreceptor protein from the phototrophic bacterium Halorhodospira halophila, and it provides an attractive model system for studying the physical chemistry of protein active sites (20–22). It contains a p-coumaric acid (pCA) chromophore, which is covalently linked to Cys69 through a thiol ester bond (23, 24). As shown in Fig. 1, the pCA is in the trans conformation and its phenolic oxygen is deprotonated in the initial dark state, pG. The chromophore is out-of-plane distorted in its active site, although the distortions of the pCA chromophore vary significantly among the available high-resolution crystal structures of the pG state (25–32). In Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1, we use three dihedral angles τ(C3–C4–C7–C8), τ(C4–C7–C8–C9), and τ(C7–C8–C9–O2) to characterize the chromophore distortions. The values of these angles exhibit a fair amount of scatter among these crystal structures, as displayed in D and E. The fact that the values do not appear to be converged even below 1-Å resolution documents that these dihedral angles are difficult to determine using state-of-the-art high-resolution X-ray and neutron diffraction approaches. PYP exhibits several intense ROA bands ascribed to the ethylenic HOOP modes (12, 14). Here, we report
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how these HOOP modes can be used to extract information on distortions of the pCA chromophore in its active site.

**Results and Discussion**

The published assignment of the proposed ethylenic HOOP modes of pCA was not confirmed, and the possibility that the observed ROA bands are due to the protein moiety remained open (12). Therefore, as a first step, we used isotope labeling to confirm the assignment of one of the important HOOP modes $\gamma_8$. To conclusively test the proposed assignment to the $\gamma_8$ mode, we prepared PYP whose chromophore is labeled with $^{13}$C at the C8 carbon atom. Fig. 2 shows the preresonance Raman and ROA spectra for the pG state of wild-type PYP with 785-nm excitation (black traces $a$ and $e$, respectively). The resonance Raman spectra for a long-lived blue-shifted intermediate denoted $pB$ (also called $I_2$ or PYPM) are displayed in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. These spectra are consistent with those reported previously (12, 14, 33, 34). In Fig. 2, we also show the Raman and ROA spectra for $^{13}$C8-pCA PYP (red traces). In addition, the figure depicts the $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C difference spectra (traces $c$ and $g$). These data reveal that four different ROA signals, including the prominent band at 826 cm$^{-1}$, are clearly affected by the isotope editing. This negative ROA band was tentatively assigned to $\gamma_8$, which is a HOOP mode of the C8–H moiety (12, 35). It exhibits a 6 cm$^{-1}$ downshift upon $^{13}$C8 substitution, supporting the assignment of this band to $\gamma_8$. The effect of the isotopic substitution can also be seen at 1,556, 1,283, and ~1,050 cm$^{-1}$ in the difference ROA spectrum. These bands were ascribed to the C=C stretching mode $\nu_{13}$, HC7=C8H rocking $\nu_{23}$, and C8–C9 stretching $\nu_{29}$, respectively (12). All of these modes involve motions of the C8 atom, and the present observations are consistent with the previous assignment (12, 35).

To provide a quantitative test of these band assignments, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations and examined the effects of the $^{13}$C8 substitution of the pCA chromophore. In these calculations, we used an active-site model (model 1) that consists of deprotonated pCA methyl thiol ester as a chromophore model (12). Model 1 also includes methanol, acetic acid, and methyleneimine to mimic surrounding Tyr42, Glu46, and Cys69 residues, respectively. These components were arranged on the basis of the crystal structure (SI Appendix, Fig. S3) (27, 32). In this model, six dihedral angles (listed in SI Appendix, Table S1) are constrained based on crystal structures of PYP (27, 32). These structural constraints have been shown to be important to reproduce the main features of the observed ROA spectrum (12). The hybrid functional B3LYP and the 6-31+G** basis set were used for these calculations, and a larger basis set with an additional diffuse function (6-311+G***) only moderately affected the calculated spectra (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). In Fig. 2, we display the calculated Raman and ROA spectra (traces $b$ and $f$). The $^{13}$C minus $^{12}$C difference Raman and ROA spectra are also calculated for model 1 (traces $d$ and $h$), and most of the observed isotope effects are reproduced by the present calculation. Importantly, the assignment of the 826 cm$^{-1}$ band to $\gamma_8$ is confirmed by the observed shift of ~6 cm$^{-1}$ upon $^{13}$C8 substitution and the comparable shift of ~8 cm$^{-1}$ for model 1 (792–784 cm$^{-1}$). In addition, the assignment of the other $^{13}$C8-sensitive bands such as $\nu_{13}$, $\nu_{23}$, and $\nu_{29}$ is also confirmed by the DFT calculations.

---

**Fig. 1.** The structures of the pCA chromophore for PYP. (A) The structure and atom numbering of the chromophore. (B and C) The structures of the chromophore in two representative crystal structures (PDB ID codes 1NWZ and 2QJ7). (D and E) Dihedral angles $\tau(C3-C4-C7-C8)$, $\tau(C7-C8-C9-O2)$, and $\tau(C4-C7-C8-C9)$ as a function of crystallographic resolution. The data for 1NWZ and 2QJ7 are displayed as closed circles and squares, respectively.

**Fig. 2.** Observed and calculated Raman and ROA spectra of PYP whose chromophore is unlabeled (black) and $^{13}$C8 labeled (red). (A) The observed Raman spectra. (B) The calculated Raman spectra. (C) The observed and (D) calculated $^{13}$C minus $^{12}$C difference Raman spectra. (E) The observed ROA spectra. (F) The calculated ROA spectra. (G) The observed and (H) calculated $^{13}$C minus $^{12}$C difference ROA spectra. PYP samples were dissolved in 10 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4, and the sample concentration was 4–5 mM. The spectra were obtained with 785-nm excitation (~200 mW). The calculated spectra were based on model 1, and Gaussian band shapes with a 10 cm$^{-1}$ width were used except a 20 cm$^{-1}$ width for the highest band at 1,539 cm$^{-1}$. Raman and ROA intensities for the highest intensity bands were reduced by a factor of 2 (1,319, 1,314, 1,310, 1,268, 1,266, and 1,259 cm$^{-1}$) to make the other bands visible in the figure. The ROA spectra are magnified by a factor of 2,000.
These Raman and ROA spectra of PYP containing $^{13}$C8-pCA allow the conclusive assignment of the ROA band at 826 cm$^{-1}$ to the HOOP vibration of the ethylenic moiety. As displayed in Fig. 2, the $\gamma_8$ band is one of the main ROA bands for PYP, and its intensity is more than 50% to that of the most intense $\nu_{13}$ band. This is in sharp contrast to the case of the Raman spectra, where the intensity of the $\gamma_8$ band is only 15% of the $\nu_{13}$ intensity. The observation of the intense ROA band leads us to expect that the HOOP $\gamma_8$ mode provides a good spectroscopic ruler for the chromophore distortions.

Thus, we aimed to extract information on structural deformations of the pCA from this band. We next performed systematic DFT calculations to explore what structural factors affect the ROA spectra, particularly the sign and intensity of HOOP bands. For this analysis, we focus on the three dihedral angles $\tau$(C3–C4–C7–C8), $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9), and $\tau$(C7–C8–C9–O2) to characterize the out-of-plane distortions of the chromophore. We used the active-site models analogous to model 1 and varied these three dihedral angles up to $\pm30^\circ$ from a planar structure, while the other structural parameters were not constrained. As an example, Fig. 3A–D displays the effects of varying $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9) on the simulated Raman and ROA spectra. The dihedral twist about the C7=C8 bond does not affect the overall spectral features of the Raman spectra. An exception is the $\gamma_8$ band near 800 cm$^{-1}$, and its intensity increases when the chromophore is distorted, while its frequency exhibits a small 2 cm$^{-1}$ upshift upon changing $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9) by 30$^\circ$ from a planar structure. In contrast to the Raman spectra, many of the ROA bands change its signs and/or intensities as a function of $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9). As illustrated in Fig. 3A–D, the $\gamma_8$ band is especially sensitive to this dihedral twist. These results indicate that both the position and intensity of bands in Raman spectra generally are insensitive to changes in dihedral angle. On the other hand, the intensity of bands in ROA spectra are sensitive to dihedral angles, and thus provide an opportunity to study distortions of these angles in protein active sites.

Fig. 3B–D illustrates the effects of chromophore distortions on the ROA band intensities, and the results can be summarized in the following two points. (i) The ROA intensities almost linearly change as a function of the dihedral angles. This implies that the ROA intensity reflects the extent of the out-of-plane distortions of the chromophore and its sign indicates the direction of the structural distortions. (ii) The $\gamma_8$ mode is especially sensitive to $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9). Because of this high sensitivity, even modest distortions of this angle can be experimentally detected. As summarized in SI Appendix, Table S1, the value of $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9) for model 1 is 170.03$^\circ$, which is consistent with both the direction and the degree of twist found in the crystal structures (27, 32). To confirm the dominant contribution of the twist about the C7=C8 bond, we have performed further DFT calculations for models with additional structural constraints. SI Appendix, Fig. S5 compares the $\gamma_8$ intensities with and without a constraint of $\tau$(C3–C4–C7–C8) = $-10^\circ$ or $\tau$(C7–C8–C9–O2) = $-10^\circ$. As seen in the figure, the additional structural constraints cause only minor effects on the $\gamma_8$ intensities, implying that this ROA band can be used as a marker of the dihedral twist of the ethylenic C=C bond. We conclude that $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9) is a major factor in determining the amplitude of the ROA band of the $\gamma_8$ mode, and that the amplitude of this band at 826 cm$^{-1}$ can therefore be used to gauge distortions over this angle at the PYP active site.

The HOOP modes in Raman spectra for a photoreceptor protein have been used to probe the chromophore distortions (36, 37). Thus, we next examine the effects of the structural distortion on the Raman intensities of $\gamma_8$ in SI Appendix, Fig. S6. In this work, we aimed to identify the most valuable spectroscopic readout for obtaining information on dihedral angles. In contrast to the ROA intensities, the Raman intensities exhibit a nonlinear dependence on the dihedral twist about the C7=C8 bond. In fact, the data for the $\gamma_8$ Raman band can be fitted with a parabolic curve as illustrated as a dashed line in the figure. On the basis of these data in A, we also calculated circular intensity difference (CID) $\Delta$, which is defined by Eq. 1:

$$\Delta = \frac{I_R - I_L}{I_R + I_L}.$$  

SI Appendix, Fig. S6B demonstrates that the CID value exhibits somewhat complicated dependence on $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9). This
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Fig. 3. Simulated Raman and ROA spectra of the active-site models for PYP. (A) Raman (a) and ROA (b–h) spectra are shown. The dihedral angle about the C7=C8 moiety was varied up to $\pm30^\circ$ from a planar geometry of $\tau$(C4–C7–C8–C9) = 180$^\circ$. The ROA spectra are magnified by a factor of 2,000. (b–D) ROA intensities as a function of the dihedral twists for the chromophore model of PYP. $K$ is a constant (SI Appendix). The inset shows the normal mode $\gamma_8$ for model 1.
behavior of the $\Delta$ value is due to the fact that the ROA signal is roughly proportional to a dihedral twist, while the Raman intensity exhibits a parabolic dependency. The theoretical basis for these differences is discussed in SI Appendix. These theoretical considerations also show that the ROA invariants for the distorted structure are proportional to the Raman polarizability. Thus, the large Raman intensity for $\gamma_8$ explains the high sensitivity of the corresponding ROA band. These considerations indicate that the amplitude of the ROA band of $\gamma_8$ is the most valuable experimental parameter for examining distortion of $\tau$($C_4$–$C_7$–$C_8$–$C_9$). The ROA intensity of this mode is directly proportional to this dihedral angle, providing a spectroscopic ruler for distortions of this bond.

As discussed above, the ROA intensities are roughly proportional to the three dihedral twists (Fig. 3). It is, therefore, expected that we can estimate a relative value of a dihedral angle from the observed spectra. For instance, if the $\gamma_8$ ROA intensity of a mutant PYP is one-half of that of wild type, we expect that $\tau$($C_4$–$C_7$–$C_8$–$C_9$) of the mutant is also one-half compared with that of wild type. Ideally, the amplitude of the ROA band of $\gamma_8$ would allow the determination of the absolute value of this angle. Two approaches can be considered for obtaining the absolute value of the dihedral angle of $\tau$($C_4$–$C_7$–$C_8$–$C_9$). First, the experimental determination of the absolute Raman and ROA cross-sections of this mode would allow the absolute value of this dihedral angle to be derived. This approach therefore would require high-accuracy measurements as well as theoretical predictions of the absolute cross-section. Second, the CID for the HOOP mode could be used. Since this is a dimensionless value, measurement of the absolute Raman and ROA cross-sections is not needed for an experimental determination. Care should be taken in this approach, since the dependence of the CID on the dihedral twist is not linear (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). This approach would rely on the accurate computation of the intensities of the Raman and ROA bands of a vibrational mode. The calculated CID value for $\gamma_8$ at a dihedral angle of $170^\circ$ is $-1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, while the experimentally determined value reported here is $-0.82 \times 10^{-4}$. While an accuracy of within a factor $\sim$1.5 is reasonable for current quantum-chemical calculations, the estimated value of $\tau$($C_4$–$C_7$–$C_8$–$C_9$) would have a relatively large error. Therefore, this approach will require improvements in computational methods for deriving absolute intensities of Raman and ROA signals.

Finally, we briefly discuss energies associated with the chromophore distortions. SI Appendix, Fig. S7 displays the relative energies $\Delta E$ compared with a planar geometry for the active-site models used in Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S5. It is seen from the figure that the distortion energy for the $C_7$–$C_8$ double bond is higher than that for the $C_4$–$C_7$ or $C_8$–$C_9$ single bond. For the higher-energy $C$–$C$ bond, a twist by $30^\circ$ causes a distortion energy of $\sim$16 kJ mol$^{-1}$, which is somewhat smaller than a typical energy for a hydrogen bond (20–60 kJ mol$^{-1}$) (38, 39). This analysis indicates that ROA has an ability to detect subtle structural distortions of the chromophore that can be induced by hydrogen bonding interactions with the surrounding protein moieties.

In summary, we have measured the Raman and ROA spectra of $^{13}$C-pCA PYP. The reported results provide clear evidence that the ethylenic HOOP mode $\gamma_8$ exhibits an intense ROA band for PYP. Further DFT calculations using the active-site models demonstrated that the $\gamma_8$ ROA band is specifically sensitive to an out-of-plane distortion of the ethylenic $C_7$–$C_8$ bond. The sign of the ROA band reflects the direction of the distortion, and its intensity is proportional to a dihedral twist of $\tau$($C_4$–$C_7$–$C_8$–$C_9$). These observations indicate that a HOOP ROA band provides a spectroscopic ruler for the out-of-plane distortion of the chromophore that is embedded in a protein environment. Since structural distortions of cofactors are considered to be important for many biological functions (3, 4, 8–10), ROA spectroscopy will be useful to detect this functionally important structural information.

Materials and Methods

We prepared $p$-coumaric-8-13C4-cacid ($^{13}$C-pCA) from $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde and triethylphosphonoacetate-1-13C followed by alkaline hydrolysis of the ester (SI Appendix). Production of wild-type PYP apoprotein from Escherichia coli, reconstitution of the holoprotein with the chromophore, and the subsequent protein purification were performed as reported in a previous study (40). The near-infrared ROA instrument used in this study is based on an incident circular polarization scheme described previously (11, 12). To probe the difference in the Raman and ROA spectra with 785-nm excitation was calculated using the DFT method via the Gaussian 09 program (41). The hybrid functional B3LYP and the 6-31+G** basis set were used for these calculations. In some cases, a larger basis set with an additional diffused function (6-311+G**) was used. The calculated frequencies were scaled using a factor of 0.9648 (42).
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