On the origin of the elusive first intermediate of CO₂ electroreduction
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We resolve the long-standing controversy about the first step of the CO₂ electroreduction to fuels in aqueous electrolytes by providing direct spectroscopic evidence that the first intermediate of the CO₂ conversion to formate on copper is a carboxylate anion *CO₂− coordinated to the surface through one of its C-O bonds. We identify this intermediate and gain insight into its formation, its chemical and electronic properties, as well as its dependence on the electrode potential by taking advantage of a cutting-edge methodology that includes operando surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) empowered by isotope exchange and electrochemical Stark effects, reaction kinetics (Tafel) analysis, and density functional theory (DFT) simulations. The SERS spectra are measured on an operating Cu surface. These results advance the mechanistic understanding of CO₂ electroreduction and its selectivity to carbon monoxide and formate.

The electrocatalytic conversion of abundant CO₂ to fuels and other useful chemicals has attracted significant interest in the past decade as a promising complementary way to store excessive renewable energy (1, 2). However, commercialization of this green technology is hampered by the absence of a viable catalyst. Even though the catalyst discovery can significantly be accelerated using the emerging approach of computational catalyst design (3), its applicability to CO₂ electro-reduction has been limited mostly to explaining experimental trends, rather than to predicting new materials (4–6). This situation roots from a poor mechanistic understanding of this reaction, which starts with a poor understanding of its very first step—activation of CO₂.

In fact, it is currently unclear whether CO₂ electroreduction on different materials starts with one common or several different first intermediates and what their structures are. Specifically, Hori et al. (7, 8) have postulated that, on metals such as Au, Cu, and Ag with medium to high overpotentials for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), CO₂ is reduced through one common first intermediate, which is carboxyl *CO₂− (denotes the surface-coordinated state of the ligand):

\[
\text{CO}_2 + e^- = \text{CO}_2^-.
\]  

This first step is supported by the reaction kinetics analysis (7, 9–15).

If so, it is still unclear what the structure of *CO₂− is and how it controls the reaction pathway. As a ligand, CO₂ is very versatile in terms of its coordination to metal centers (16, 17). In the context of CO₂ electroreduction, the most often cited carboxylate structures include η²(O,O)-CO₂− (O,O-down) (18–20), η²(C)-CO₂− (C-down) (21), and η²(CO)-CO₂− (C,O-down) (22–24) (Fig. 1). As proposed by Hori et al. (7, 8), strongly adsorbed η²(C)-CO₂− or η²(CO)-CO₂− can be converted to CO after they are protonated to carboxyl *COOH (Fig. 1):

\[
*\text{CO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ = \text{COOH}.
\]  

which reductively dissociates to CO and H₂O upon proton coupled electron transfer (PCET):

\[
\text{COOH} + e^- + \text{H}^+ = \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]  

In contrast, the reaction can be directed toward formate if *CO₂− is adsorbed through oxygen(s) or weakly through carbon.
The third possibility can be the direct two-electron reduction of adsorbed bicarbonate HCO$_3^-$ (27, 28).

The aforementioned uncertainty is explained by the phenomenological complexity of CO$_2$ electroreduction, coupled with intrinsic limitations of the electrochemical and computational methods. Electrochemical surface-enhanced vibrational spectroscopy has not helped much in clarifying this issue either, even though this method has already established itself as a powerful tool to magnify our view of electrified interfaces (29). The only surface species unambiguously identified in aqueous electrolytes on Cu (30–35), Au (14), and Ag (36, 37) is carbon monoxide, while the structure of the other surface species remains highly controversial. This situation stems from the fact that the adsorbate structures have mostly been proposed based on the similarity of their one C-O stretching peak with a peak theoretically predicted or observed in other systems without corroborating by other spectral peaks and the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C and D$_2$O/H$_2$O isotope exchange effects. This approach is evidently reductionistic as it neglects the strong effects of the catalyst surface, interfacial electric field (including ionic pairing with a counterion), and hydration on the adsorbate spectrum, which can lead to great confusion (38, 39). Moreover, frequencies of the C and metal stretching vibrations involve the same CO moiety. Hence, their values are not characteristic of the species shown in Fig. 1. Another source of confusion can be uncontrollable organic contaminants, which can be spotted by the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C isotope exchange effect. Finally, to get surface enhancement, the earlier studies have been performed on model roughened surfaces, without validating their catalytic activity. As a result, even if the CO$_2$-derived adsorbates are identified correctly, it does not warrant that the reaction intermediate is among them because the latter can be formed only on an operating catalyst.

In our study, we focus on Cu because it is one of the most effective pure metal catalysts of CO$_2$ electroreduction, along with Ag, Pd, and Au (18). At the same time, Cu stands out of this group due to its low cost and unique capacity to synthesize C$_1$+ products. The interest in Cu has additionally been elevated by recent findings of its remarkably high efficiency in the synthesis of CO and formate (12, 40, 41)—two of the most feasible targets of the CO$_2$ conversion technology (2).

Paradoxically, among the large number of studies of CO$_2$ electroreduction on Cu, only three have attempted to gain insight into the first step of this reaction (12, 22, 26). In particular, Li and Kanam (12) have concluded on the basis of the experimental Tafel slope that the CO synthesis on Cu starts with the formation of carboxylate $\cdot CO_2^-$ [1]. This result is supported by a quantum chemical study of Goddard and coworkers (22), which predicts that CO$_2$ is converted to CO on Cu(100) through steps [1]–[3]. In contrast, according to Nørskov and coworkers (26), Cu(111) and Cu(100) prefer to synthesize formate because these surfaces provide a more favorable thermodynamics for the formation of formyloxyl [6] over carboxyl [5]. Thus, the chemical identity of the first intermediate of the CO$_2$ electroreduction on Cu and hence the nature of the selectivity of Cu to CO and formate remains elusive.

To bridge this gap, we take advantage of SERS. In contrast to its more often used rival, surface-enhanced infrared absorption spectroscopy (SEIRAS), SERS can be measured on an actual catalyst. In addition, SERS can access a much broader spectral range, which allows for more reliable interpretation of the adsorbate structure. Moreover, SERS spectra are absolute, while SEIRAS spectra are differential and therefore are distorted by the negative peaks of the species present on the electrode surface at the reference potential.

To verify our assignment of SERS peaks, we use the D$_2$O/H$_2$O and $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C isotope exchange, as well as electrochemical Stark effects. The latter presents the shift of the vibrational frequency of the adsorbate with electrode potential. To the first order of the theory, the frequency shift $\Delta \nu$ by electric field $\vec{F}$ can be written as $\Delta \nu \approx -\mu \cdot \Delta \vec{F} \cdot \cos \varphi$, where $\Delta \vec{F}$ is the change in the local electric field, $\Delta \mu$ is the change in the vibrating dipole moment between the ground and first excited vibrational states, and $\varphi$ is the angle between the vectors of the dipole and the field (42). Hence, the sign of the Stark effect characterizes the orientation of the adsorbate with respect to the applied electric field $\vec{F}$. A change in the Stark tuning rate ($\Delta \nu/\Delta \varphi$, where $\Delta \varphi$ is a change in the electrode potential) suggests that the adsorbate or its local environment is perturbed by a certain surface reaction, which can help identify peaks that characterize the same adsorbate. We further corroborate the spectroscopy-based conclusions with the Tafel analysis of the reaction kinetics and with DFT simulations.

Using this advanced approach, we validate Horii's hypothesis that CO$_2$ electroreduction starts with a common first intermediate, which is carboxylate. We identify the structure of this intermediate as $\eta^4(C,O)-CO_2^-$. Given that it can form at potentials significantly anodic of the onset of CO$_2$ electroreduction, and conclude that the electrocatalytic activity of the metal ties with strengths of the metal–C and metal–O bonds of $\eta^4(C,O)-CO_2^-$.}

**Materials and Methods**

A roughened Cu electrode was prepared from a 0.5-mm-thick copper foil (99.9985% metals basis) by one oxidation–reduction cycle as reported in SI Appendix, section S1. The surface morphology was assessed using SEM (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The roughness factor of 17 ± 3 of the surface was estimated using the dependence of the electric double-layer capacitance on the scan rate in cyclic voltammograms (CVs) (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). The surface crystallographic composition, as inferred from the hydroxyl adsorption peaks in CVs, is dominated by the (100), (110), and (111) planes (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). The electrocatalytic activity of the Cu surface was characterized using CVs (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B) and preparative electrolysis (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). The electrolysis products were measured using NMR and gas chromatography. SERS spectra were acquired in a laboratory-made one-compartment three-electrode spectrotroelectrochemical cell using a LABRAM-ARAMIS confocal Raman microscope with a HeNe (633-nm) laser. DFT calculations were performed using a plane wave DFT code (The Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package, VASP-5.3.3). Details of the experimental and theoretical methods are provided in SI Appendix, section S1.
Results and Discussion

Catalytic Activity of the Roughened Cu Surface. All potentials are reported in the Ag/AgCl reference scale. The CO2 reduction activity of the Cu surface was characterized using preparative electrolysis in CO2-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 (pH 6.8) at potentials from −1.05 to −1.27 V, where we detected synthesis of formate (43),

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2e^- + H^+ = \text{HCOO}^{-}, E_0 = -0.63 \text{ V (Ag/AgCl)}, \]

at a Faradaic efficiency from 1 to 1.7% (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A), although traces of formate were found at −0.95 V. At the same time, only H2 (no CO) was detected in the gas phase. The Tafel slope of reaction [8] is 130 ± 10 mV/decade (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B), which suggests that its rate-determining step (RDS) is step 1 (SI Appendix in ref. 15), as in the case of the CO synthesis (12).

CVs show that the electrocatalytic current, which is dominated by HER, starts at approximately −0.8 V (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B).

We deliberately selected this particular Cu surface and electrolyte for our study because more catalytically active surfaces and conditions coproduce more coadsorbed CO and other species, which complicate interpretation of the spectra. Moreover, the pathway of CO2 to formate on Cu is much more uncertain than to CO (Introduction).

Operando SERS. To validate reproducibility, we measure the dependence of SERS spectra on potential four times, each time on a freshly prepared Cu surface. We measure the spectra on an actual catalyst and report their replicates.

Comparison of the spectra measured in CO2-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S11, S13, and S15) with the reference spectra measured in Ar-saturated 0.1 M NaClO4 (SI Appendix, Fig. S9) reveals that the CO2-saturated conditions give birth to a multiplet of new peaks. In the following, we assign the main peaks to *CO, *CO3^2−, and *CO2−, specify structures of these species, and show that the effect of potential on *CO2− agrees with the status of this species as the first intermediate.

Carbon monoxide. Among the adsorbates formed on Cu under the CO2-saturated conditions, the easiest to identify is *CO. Its weak C≡O stretching peak, vCO, is observed at 2,050–2,070 cm^{-1} starting from −0.9 V (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S10), that is, only in the electrocatalytic region. The peak position corresponds to the CO molecules linearly coordinated to atop Cu sites (30, 31, 44, 45). This peak is negligibly perturbed by the D2O/H2O isotope exchange (SI Appendix, Fig. S16) and hence is not confused with the Cu–H peak of adsorbed hydrogen reported in this region (31). We are unable to distinguish the corresponding Cu–CO frustrated rotation and Cu–CO translation expected at ∼280 and 360 cm^{-1}, respectively (ref. 46 and SI Appendix in ref. 47). The 360-cm^{-1} peak is not observed even when the relative vCO intensity is higher by a factor of 3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S16). The absence of the low-frequency Cu–CO peaks of *CO in some cases has been noted earlier (SI Appendix in ref. 47) but is not understood as of yet.

Fig. 2. Operando SERS of rough Cu surface in CO2-saturated in 0.1 M NaHCO3 (pH 6.8). Spectra were measured from −0.1 V toward the cathodic direction. Panels on the Right show the enlarged and scaled by intensity peaks of adsorbed *CO3^2− and *CO2−. The enlarged peak of *CO is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S10. The color code in the Right panels is the same as in the main figure. The intensity of the spectrum measured at −1.2 V is underestimated because the SERS signal dropped due to HER. For the sake of simplicity, we label the vibrations of adsorbed ligands as the parent vibrations of the corresponding free ligands.
δ to adsorbed carbonate. Below, we refine the peak assignment to the Cu surface.

Under electrochemical conditions (33), where it has been assigned to adsorbed carbonate. Below, we refine the peak assignment to the totally symmetric C−O stretching vibration, ν1 CO3$^{2−}$, of a weakly adsorbed monodentate carbonate η$^1$−CO3$^{2−}$ (scheme in Fig. 3B).

To start with, the 1,065-cm$^{-1}$ peak is in the characteristic frequency range of the ν1 CO3$^{2−}$ vibration of carbonate (38, 48, 49). This vibration presents centrosymmetric movements of the CO3$^{2−}$ oxygen atoms with respect to the central carbon atom (scheme in Fig. 3B). In agreement with this assignment, the 1,065-cm$^{-1}$ peak is negligibly affected by the 13C/12C and D$_2$O/H$_2$O isotope exchange (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Figs. S16 and S17).

Next, the dependence of the ν1 CO3$^{2−}$ peak on potential indicates that the carbonate in question is chemisorbed. First, even though the peak intensity decreases, it remains prominent at potentials cathodic of −0.9 V (Fig. 3B). At these potentials, the electrocatalytic current is observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B) while the Cu surface is expected to be negatively charged (50). Moreover, at potentials from −0.3 to −0.9 V where the Cu surface becomes less positively charged, the peak intensity increases. Another indicator of chemisorption is the susceptibility of the ν1 CO3$^{2−}$ peak to the electrochemical Stark effect (Fig. 3B). Specifically, this peak red shifts at an initial rate of 5 cm$^{-1}$/V, which increases stepwise up to 12 cm$^{-1}$/V at −0.9 V. Both the shift and the break are reproduced qualitatively in SI Appendix, Figs. S12B and S14B. The Stark shift, which reflects a change in the local electric field of the vibrating dipole, is typically considered as an indicator of the chemisorbed state of an interfacial species (51). The reason is that such a species is exposed to a stronger interfacial electric field than the physisorbed one, which is screened by the hydration shell. Chemisorption can also explain why the adsorbed species is CO3$^{2−}$ while the dominant carbonaceous species in the electrolyte is HCO3$^−$.

Fig. 3. Effect of electrode potential on the frequencies and intensities of (A) νCO of *CO, (B) ν1 CO3$^{2−}$ of *CO3$^{2−}$, (C) νas CO2 of *CO2 and (D) νCu−C of *CO$^{−}$ in the spectra shown in Fig. 2. The black arrows in the schemes of the corresponding vibrations show the directions of their dipole moments μ. F is the local (negative) electric field at a negatively charged electrode. The shadowed areas show the region where cathodic current is observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B). Oxygen is red. Carbon is gray. Copper is blue. The potential is additionally reported vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale, which is calculated as E(RHE) = E(Ag/AgCl) + 0.209 + 0.059pH.

The νCO peak of *CO is subject to the electrochemical Stark effect with a Stark tuning rate of 40 ± 5 cm$^{-1}$/V (Fig. 3A), typical of CO chemisorbed on atop sites (44, 45). The positive sign of the rate (red shift) reflects that the C=O dipole is stretched out by the negative electric field (directed toward the surface) (scheme in Fig. 3A).

To conclude, even though the CO level in the gas phase is below the detection limit, CO is still formed in a small amount on the Cu surface.

Carbonate. At −0.3 V and more negative potentials, the SERS spectra are dominated by a strong peak at ~1,065 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3A). This peak has earlier been detected at 1,050–1,065 cm$^{-1}$ on Cu in gas phase (46) and under electrochemical conditions (33–35), where it has been assigned to adsorbed carbonate. Below, we refine the peak assignment to the 13C/12C isotope exchange at −0.5 V (Ag/AgCl) in CO$_2$-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO$_3$: (A) 13C/12C exchange and (B) D$_2$O/H$_2$O exchange. Results at −0.3 and −1.1 V are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S17.
Now we look at the position of the $\nu_1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ peak of the chemisorbed carbonate, which is at 1,060–1,068 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3B). Its proximity to $\nu_1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ of dissolved carbonate (1,063 cm$^{-1}$) (SI Appendix, Fig. S18) indicates that the adsorption strength of this carbonate is relatively weak. For comparison, strongly coordinated carbonates with bridging/bidentate and monodentate structures are characterized by $\nu_1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ below 1,040–1,050 cm$^{-1}$ (38, 48, 49). A similarly weak perturbation of the $\nu_1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ peak is observed for a carbonate weakly chemisorbed at the Fe(III)/hydroxide-water interface (39). This species has a $\eta^1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ structure in which the noncoordinated oxygen(s) atom is involved in strong noncovalent interactions (ionic pairing) with a coadsorbed hydrated alkali metal cation or hydronium (39). Hence, it is plausible that the weakly chemisorbed carbonate on Cu has a similar structure.

Apart from the $\nu_1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ peak, $\eta^1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ is expected to generate two $\nu_2\text{C-O}$ peaks that originate from the doubly degenerate $\text{C-O}$ stretching vibration $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ at $\sim$1,400 cm$^{-1}$ of free $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ($D_{3h}$) (SI Appendix, Fig. S18). We associate these peaks with weak features at 1,350 and 1,430–1,450 cm$^{-1}$, which are resolved in the spectra where the masking peaks of the coadsorbed carboxylate are suppressed (SI Appendix, section S4 and Figs. S19C, S20, and S21). Noteworthy is that earlier SEIRAS studies have assigned the high-frequency $\nu_2\text{C-O}$ of adsorbed carbonate to a peak at $\sim$1,540 cm$^{-1}$, which is most pronounced at potentials anodic of the electrocatalytic region (30–32, 35). This peak is also observed by SERS (Figs. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S11, S13, and S15).

As of now, carbonate adsorption on the metals that are active in CO$_2$ reduction is poorly understood. Since carbonate is a hard base, it is anticipated to form mostly ionic (electrostatic) bonds with the metal. The most straightforward pathway to form such a bond is through ligand exchange of HCO$_3^-$ with adsorbed water/ hydroxyl followed by deprotonation (38, 52). Alternatively, $\eta^1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ can be formed by activation of CO$_2$ on the surface oxygen (the Morey–Messmer mechanism) (46, 48, 49, 53), suggesting that $\eta^1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ establish surface equilibrium. Carbonate adsorption can be stabilized by surface defects (53). The break in the Stark tuning rate of the carbonate peak in the electrocatalytic region can be attributed to a decrease in the local surface concentration of $\eta^1\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ by negative surface charge and hydrogen adsorption. A decrease in the local surface concentration of randomly adsorbed dipoles pulls their collective vibrational frequency down because it makes their dipole–dipole coupling weaker (54).

Obviously, further experimental and DFT studies are required to shed light on the carbonate adsorption on CO$_2$ electroreduction catalysts.

**Carboxylate.** In the context of the CO$_2$ electroreduction, of particular interest are peaks at $\sim$1,540 and 350 cm$^{-1}$, which are best resolved at potentials from $\sim$0.3 to $\sim$0.9 V (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S11, S13, and S15). These peaks also persist at potentials cathodic of approximately $\sim$0.9 V where the Cu surface synthesizes formate (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), albeit the 350 cm$^{-1}$ peak has a very low signal-to-noise ratio of 2–3 (Fig. 2, Insets and SI Appendix, Fig. S11, Insets). The 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak has earlier been assigned to adsorbed carbonate (30–32, 35). We confidently discard this assignment in view of the arguments presented in SI Appendix, section S4. In the following, we prove that the 1,540 and 350 cm$^{-1}$ peaks are vibrational fingerprints of $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$ (Fig. 1).

Before we proceed further, we should mention that the 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak falls into the characteristic frequency range not only of the high-frequency $\nu_2\text{C-O}$ vibration of carbonate complexes, but also of the asymmetric C–O stretching vibration, $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$, of carboxylates (17, 48, 49, 55), while the 350 cm$^{-1}$ peak is in the frequency range of the adsorbate–surface vibrations (17, 48).

Our first important finding is that the 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak correlates with the 350 cm$^{-1}$ peak in all of the spectral sets acquired (Fig. 3D and SI Appendix, Figs. S12D, S14D, S15, S19C, S20, and S21). Moreover, intensities and positions of these two peaks always change with potential in concert. Of particular importance is that breaks in their dependences are always observed at the same potential. For example, in Fig. 3 C and D, intensities of both the peaks increase as potential is scanned from $\sim$0.3 to $\sim$0.7 V, decreasing at more cathodic potentials. Similarly, the peak positions change marginally at potentials from $\sim$0.3 to $\sim$0.5 V and red shift at potentials from $\sim$0.5 to $\sim$0.9 V. A concerted response to a stimulus (in our case, electrode potential) is the main criterion used to discriminate peaks of the same species from a crowded spectrum (56). On this ground, we conclude that the 1,540 and 350 cm$^{-1}$ peaks characterize the same species.

The second important result is that both these peaks are significantly suppressed in Ar-saturated 0.05 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ (pH 11.5) (SI Appendix, Fig. S21). Under these conditions, the theoretical concentration of dissolved CO$_2$ is as low as 0.02 $\mu$m, much lower than 33 mM in CO$_2$-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO$_3$. This result suggests that the 1,540 and 350 cm$^{-1}$ peaks characterize activated CO$_2$, rather than adsorbed carbonate.

In the next step, we exclude all hypothesized protonated species from the picture (Fig. 1). The rationale is the negligible impact of the D$_2$/H$_2$O isotope exchange on the whole spectra, including the 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak (Fig. 48 and SI Appendix, Fig. S16). Notable is the 1,200–1,400 cm$^{-1}$ region characteristic of the C–OH stretching and HO–C bending peaks of $\text{COOH}$ (37, 57). Hence, the available options narrow down to carboxylates.

Another key result is that both the 1,540- and 350 cm$^{-1}$ peaks red shift in 13C-saturated NaH13CO3 by a factor of 1.026 ± 0.002 (Fig. 44 and SI Appendix, Fig. S17A). Hence, these two peaks are not due to any contamination. The shift of the 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak is more compatible with $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ of carbonate than $\nu_2\text{C-O}$ of carbon. In fact, the 13C shift factor of 1.026 ± 0.002 is comparable to 1.029 and 1.027 observed for $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ of sodium acetate (58) and CO$_2$ in a Ne matrix (59), respectively, but lower than 1.033 observed for the high-frequency CO$_2$-vibration of HCO$_3^-$ in water (60). This relationship is in line with a notion that a stretch of the CO$_2$ vibration involving a carbon atom connected to two oxygen atoms is typically less affected by the 13C/12C exchange than when a carbon atom connected to three oxygen atoms (60). The 13C effect on the 350 cm$^{-1}$ peak indicates that the carboxylate is attached to the surface through the C atom. Hence, the 13C/12C isotope exchange leaves only the $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$ and $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$ carboxylates for further consideration (Fig. 1).

Finally, the $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ character of the 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ peak rules out the $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$ structure (C$_2$N$_2$ symmetry). Its $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ vibrational dipole is parallel to the surface. According to the surface selection rules, such a dipole would be strongly suppressed in SERS and silent in SEIRAS, in apparent contradiction with what is observed in Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S11, S13, and S15 and refs. 30–32, 35. The same token supports the above rejection of $\eta^1\text{(O=O)}\text{CO}_2^-$.

Thus, the only species left from the hypothesized set (Fig. 1) is $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$. On this basis, we assign the 1,540- and 350 cm$^{-1}$ peaks to the $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ and $\nu_\text{Cu-C}$ vibrations of $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$, respectively. The $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ vibration presents mostly stretching of the C–O bond that points out of the surface, while $\nu_\text{Cu-C}$ is mostly stretching of the Cu–C bond (SI Appendix, Fig. S22). This peak assignment is corroborated by the dependence of these peaks intensities on potential (see below and Effect of cathodic potential), as well as by the DFT simulations [DFT Model of CO$_2$ Activation on Cu(111)]. The $\nu_2\text{CO}_3^-$ frequency of 1,515–1,545 cm$^{-1}$ of $\eta^1\text{(CO)}\text{CO}_2^-$ is significantly lower than 1,658 cm$^{-1}$ of CO$^*$ radicals in a Ne matrix.
Given that the red shift of the \( \nu_CCO_2^− \) vibrational mode correlates with the activation and charge density on a \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecule (61), this fact suggests that the carboxylate is adsorbed strongly and bears a substantial negative charge, which also agrees with the DFT data.

The Stark tuning rate of the 1,540- and 350-cm\(^{-1}\) peaks is +25,−65 and +25,−2 cm\(^{-1}\)/V, respectively (Fig. 3 C and D and SI Appendix, Figs. S12, S14 C and D, and S15). Its positive sign indicates that the dipole moments of the corresponding vibrations are parallel to the negative electric field. This alignment is evident for the non-coordinated C−O bond and hence \( \nu_CCO_2^− \) of \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) (scheme in Fig. 3C). For the Cu–C bond, the parallel alignment is predicted by DFT in the next section (scheme in Fig. 3D). The positive Stark tuning rate also excludes carbon monoxide *CO as a possible origin of the 350-cm\(^{-1}\) peak because the rate of the metal−C vibration of *CO is always negative (from −6 to −20 cm\(^{-1}\)/V) (45, 62). Additional evidence of a non-CO origin of the 350-cm\(^{-1}\) peak is provided by the absence of the *CO peak of *CO at potentials from −0.3 to −0.7 V where the 350-cm\(^{-1}\) peak is most pronounced (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S11 and S13).

The symmetric stretching \( \nu_CO_2^− \) and in-plane bending \( \delta CO_2^− \) vibrations of \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) can be associated with weak peaks at ~1,350 and 700 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 2). The main argument is that their intensities correlate with intensities of the carbonate peaks at 1,540 and 350 cm\(^{-1}\) rather than the carbonate peak at 1,065 cm\(^{-1}\) (SI Appendix, Fig. S15). The \( \nu_CO_2^− \) vibration presents mostly stretching of the C−O bond coordinated to the surface, while \( \delta CO_2^− \) presents an umbrella-like movement of the oxygen atoms (SI Appendix, Fig. S22). Hence, dipole moments of these two vibrations are almost parallel to the surface, which can explain the low intensity of their SERS peaks. Accordingly, the \( ^{13}C^{12}C^{12}C \) isotope exchange red shifts the 1,330-cm\(^{-1}\) peak by a factor of 1.013 ± 0.003, while the 700-cm\(^{-1}\) peak remains intact (Fig. 4A). It should be noted that these two peaks overlap with the \( \nu_CO_2^− \) and \( \delta CO_2^− \) peaks of coadsorbed \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \), respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S19C).

The proposed assignment of the carbonate peaks is in good agreement with literature data for alkali metal−CO_2 and macrocycle−CO_2 adducts, as well as for *CO_2 formed on metals and metal oxides from gas phase (16, 17, 48). In particular, the \( \nu_CCO_2^− \) and \( \nu_CO_2^− \) peaks of *CO_2 on transition metals have been reported at 1,530−1,660 and 1,130−1,350 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, while its C−O peak, at 650−620 cm\(^{-1}\) (16, 17, 48). The *CO_2 formed on gas phase Cu on nanoparticles and supported Cu has been associated with peaks at ~1,535 and 1,210 cm\(^{-1}\) (64, 65). Peaks at 1,529−1,529 and 1,347−1,310 cm\(^{-1}\) characterize CO_2 activated on a Co(I) macrocycle in wet acetonitrile (66). In addition, there is a good match between the \( \nu_CCO_2^− \), \( \delta CO_2^− \), and \( \nu_C−C \)−frequencies of \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) and their values predicted by DFT [DFT Model of CO_2 Activation on Cu(111) and SI Appendix, section S6].

Finally, we should mention an array of small peaks, which evolves in the electrocatalytic region of potentials (cathodic of −0.8 V) (Fig. 2). These peaks are practically immune to the D/HO isotope exchange (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S16). At the same time, some of them (e.g., a peak at 1,600–1,690 cm\(^{-1}\)) red shift by the \( ^{13}C^{12}C^{12}C \) isotope exchange (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S17), indicating that the corresponding vibrations involve carbon. Accurate assignment of these peaks calls for a separate detailed study.

The proposed assignment of the main peaks is summarized in Table 1.

The \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) formation at anodic potentials is also consistent with its spontaneous formation predicted by DFT on free and oxide-supported Cu\(_2\) clusters (72, 73), as well as its large binding energy of −2.16 eV on Cu(111) in the presence of coadsorbed cation [DFT Model of CO_2 Activation on Cu(111)]. As potential is scanned from −0.7 to approximately −1.0 V, intensities of the \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) peaks go down (Fig. 3 C and D), which can be attributed to a slow takeoff of the \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) conversion to formate. This interpretation is supported by a small reduction peak observed in this potential range in the first CV (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B) and the traces of formate detected in the electrolyte by NMR at −0.95 V. The decrease in the peak intensities in this potential range is qualitatively consistent with the reaction rate being controlled by the second step as proposed recently on the basis of Tafel slopes for the CO synthesis on Au and Ag (74). However, this conclusion needs to be tested by a rigorous study of the reaction kinetics on the Cu surfaces that are sufficiently catalytically active in this potential range.

In the potential range from −0.3 to −1.0/−0.9 V, the C−O and Cu−C bonds of \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) are gradually activated (become weaker), as concluded from the red shifts of its \( \nu_CCO_2^− \) and \( \nu_C−C \) peaks (SI Appendix, section S5). The activation can be accounted for by the electrostatic and chemical effects induced by a negative surface charge [DFT Model of CO_2 Activation on Cu(111)]. The pattern changes qualitatively at −1.1 V in Fig. 3 C and D and at −0.9 V in SI Appendix, Fig. S14 C and D. Here, intensities of the carboxylate peaks drop dramatically and afterward slowly decrease (SI Appendix, Fig. S14 C and D). This new pattern perfectly complies with a CO_2 reduction reaction where the RDS is the \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) formation [1] as suggested by the Tafel analysis (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). Under these conditions, \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \) is expected to occupy only a minute fraction of surface sites, which explains the low intensities of the carboxylate peaks. Even though a further cathodic bias increases the formation rate of \( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− \),

Table 1. Assignment of vibrational peaks of adsorbates formed on the Cu electrode in a CO_2-saturated bicarbonate electrolyte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency, cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>340−350</td>
<td>( \nu_C-C ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 and 530−535</td>
<td>( \nu_C-O ) of Cu(I)/Cu(II) oxides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>In-plane ( \delta CO_2^− ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,070−1,060</td>
<td>( \nu_CO_2^− ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>( \nu_C-C ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,350−1,430</td>
<td>( \nu_CO_2^− ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,540−1,520</td>
<td>( \nu_CO_2^− ) of ( \eta^1(CO)_2CO_2^− )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,074−2,060</td>
<td>( \nu_CO ) on atop sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(99). Given that the red shift of the \( \nu_CCO_2^− \) vibrational mode correlates with the activation and charge density on a \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecule (61), this fact suggests that the carboxylate is adsorbed strongly and bears a substantial negative charge, which also agrees with the DFT data.
its surface concentration remains low due to its fast consumption in the second step [4a] and [4b].

Thus, the effect of potential is fully consistent with the status of \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) as the first intermediate. The lag between the \( CO_2 \) activation and the activation followed by the second reaction step provides an exciting opportunity to study spectroscopically factors that promote the first and second step of the \( CO_2 \) reduction to formate and \( CO_2 \) as well as to uncover the nature of the second step of these two reactions.

**DFT Model of \( CO_2 \) Activation on \( Cu(111) \).** To verify the SERS results and assist in their interpretation, we model the \( CO_2 \) activation on \( Cu(111) \) using a plane wave DFT method (see SI Appendix for detail). In agreement with prior theoretical (21, 69, 75) and experimental (46, 78) works, we find that clean \( Cu(111) \) does not activate \( CO_2 \) (Fig. 5A). This can be explained by the relatively high work function of \( Cu \) and the low position of its d-band with respect to the Fermi level.

In the next computational experiment, we place a relaxed \( Na^+ \) cation hydrated by eight water molecules on the top of the linear \( CO_2 \) molecule relaxed over \( Cu(111) \) (Fig. 5B). This system is dubbed as \( (CO_2+Na^+8H_2O)/Cu(111) \) hereafter. In this case, \( CO_2 \) is activated (Fig. 5B), adopting the \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) geometry, in unison with the SERS results. The \( CO_2 \) activation makes both the C-O bonds longer than in linear \( CO_2 \), the effect being much more pronounced for the surface coordinated C-O bond, while the O-C-O angle decreases to 119°. This species has a relatively large binding energy of \(-2.16 \) eV, which indicates that in the presence of the cation \( CO_2 \) interacts with the \( Cu \) surface strongly. The promoting effect of alkali metals on the \( CO_2 \) activation on metal surfaces has earlier been attributed to a decrease in the metal work function (46, 75–78) and electric polarization of adsorbed carboxylate by the cation (24).

![Fig. 5. Top and side views of the optimized structures of \( CO_2 \) on \( Cu(111) \) (A) linear physisorbed \( CO_2 \) and (B) \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) stabilized by \( Na^+ \) hydrated with eight water molecules, \( (CO_2+Na^+8H_2O)/Cu(111) \). Bond lengths and angles are in angstroms and degrees, respectively. Bader charges of atoms are in |e| units. Atom color codes: dark blue, \( Cu \); red, oxygen; brown, carbon; yellow, sodium. The subsurface \( Cu \) atoms are shown in the top views as transparent blue spheres. Hydrated \( Na^+ \) is removed from the top view (B) to show the coordinating \( Cu \) atoms.](https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2021/06/21/2105495118)

The predicted vibrational frequencies of \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) are in good agreement with the experimental values (SI Appendix, Table S2). In particular, the theoretical \( \nu_{\eta^2CO_2^-} \) and \( \nu_{\eta^2Cu-C} \) frequencies of 1,503 and 356 cm\(^{-1}\) are close to the experimental values of 1,515–1,525 and 345 cm\(^{-1}\) in the electrocatalytic region, respectively (Fig. 3 C and D and SI Appendix, Figs. S12 C and D, S14 C and D, and S15). The only exception is the \( \nu_{\eta^2CO_2^-} \) vibration, which is predicted to be at \(-970 \) cm\(^{-1}\) vs. \(-1,330 \) cm\(^{-1}\) observed experimentally at anodic potentials. This discrepancy can tentatively be attributed to limitations of DFT in general and our DFT methodology in particular in modeling stretching vibrations of a molecular bond that is strongly coordinated parallel to the surface (see SI Appendix for more detail).

The \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) configuration is most popular in molecular complexes of \( CO_2 \) (16). It also has earlier been predicted for \( CO_2 \) on low-index \( Cu \) surfaces either by a bias force or alkali metal placed directly on the surface, on-side of \( CO_2 \) (24, 75). Our result is that \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) forms an ionic pair with a hydrated alkali metal cation located above the adsorbed anion. In addition to the electrolyte cations coadsorbed on side, a cation coordinated on top is likely to constitute the local environment of the anion adsorbed at a negatively charged electrode surface. The position of the cation is important for a better understanding of the \( CO_2 \) activation process, especially the promoting effects of cations and the role of hydrating water molecules (H-bonding) (79–82).

The Bader charge analysis (Fig. 5B and SI Appendix, Table S1) shows that the \( CO_2^- \) gains a net charge of \(-1.12|e|\), that is, close to one electron, while the C atom gains \(-1.65|e|\). The difference is explained by the depletion of the negative charge on the O atoms, especially the O atom coordinated to the surface (it loses \(-0.38|e|\)). This effect is caused by donation of \( \pi \) electrons of \( CO_2 \) to the surface (see below). In parallel, the \( Cu \) atoms in the \( Cu-C \) and \( Cu-O \) bonds acquire positive charges of \(+0.11|e|\) and \(+0.15|e|\), respectively, which additionally stabilize the adsorbed anion electrostatically.

To interpret the Bader charges, we compare \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) with free \( CO_2^- \) anion radical, which is simulated as a \( CO_2^- \)Na\(^+\) pair (without surface). In contrast to \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \), all of the atoms in \( CO_2^- \) gain negative charge (SI Appendix, Table S1). It follows that the \( O \) atoms in \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) are less basic than in \( CO_2^- \), which makes them less susceptible to the electrophilic attack including protonation to \( *COOH \). Hence, reaction [2] is very unlikely on \( Cu \) at neutral pH, given that \( COOH^- \) (protonated \( CO_2^- \)) is rather acidic (its experimental pK\(_a\) values are as low as 1.4–3.4 (83, 84)). This result can explain the absence of carboxyls \( *COOH \) on the \( Cu \) surface in a SERS-detectable amount.

The lower basicity of \( \eta^2(CO)\) \( CO_2^- \) can also imply that, instead of previously proposed reaction [3], \( CO_2 \) is produced on \( Cu \) through the direct dissociation of the carboxylate:

\[ *CO_2^- = CO + *O^- \quad [9] \]

as observed in gas phase (46, 48) and proposed for \( CO_2 \) electro-reduction by Ikeda et al. (85). Of particular interest is that pathway [9] dynamically supplies adsorbed oxygen, which is known to promote both the \( CO_2 \) activation and reduction (69).

In general, activation of \( CO_2 \) on transition metals is described as an acid–base process where the molecule accepts electron density on its lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and simultaneously donates electron from its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to an empty orbital of the coordinating metal (16, 17, 48). The particular the \( d \) states involved depend on the metal and structure of the coordinating site(s). The frontier orbitals of bent \( CO_2 \), which are represented by the corresponding molecular orbitals of \( CO_2^- \), are shown in Fig. 6A. The LUMO presents the antibonding \( 6a_1 \) (in-plane) orbital, which descends from the degenerate anti-bonding \( 2a_1^- \) LUMO of linear \( CO_2 \). It is heavily centered on the carbon atom. According to our density of states calculations, it presents a mix of 33% \( C \) 2p and 9% \( C \) 2s with 26%
structure has not been studied in detail. Therefore, we calculate 
formation on several metals (21, 22, 24, 75, 78, 86, 87), its electronic 
two formulas. In the first one, we subtract the charge density of 
\[ \Delta \rho \text{CO}_2/\text{Cu(111)} \] system, specifically, \[ \Delta \rho \text{CO}_2/Cu(111) \] simulated as \((\text{CO}_2+\cdot \text{Na}+8\text{H}_2\text{O})/\text{Cu(111)}\), Eq. 10. (D) Redistribution of electronic density in \((\text{CO}_2+\cdot \text{Na}+8\text{H}_2\text{O})/\text{Cu(111)}\) system by \(\text{Na} \) hydrated by \(8\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{Cu} \) (Fig. 6C). Yellow isosurfaces show the electron density gain, while cyan corresponds to the electron density loss. Atom colors: dark blue, Cu; red, oxygen; brown, carbon; yellow, sodium.

**Fig. 6.** (A) Isosurfaces of constant electron density of LUMO and HOMO of bent CO\(_2\) which are the \(6a_1\) and \(4b_2\) molecular orbitals, respectively. (B and C) The electron density difference for formation of (B) \(\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \cdot \text{Na} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{Na}^{+} \), Eq. 12, and (C) \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \)/Cu(111) simulated as \((\text{CO}_2+\cdot \text{Na}+8\text{H}_2\text{O})/\text{Cu(111)}\), Eq. 10. (D) Redistribution of electron density in \((\text{CO}_2+\cdot \text{Na}+8\text{H}_2\text{O})/\text{Cu(111)}\) system by \(\text{Na} \) hydrated by \(8\text{H}_2\text{O}\), Eq. 11. Yellow isosurfaces show the electron density gain, while cyan corresponds to the electron density loss. Atom colors: dark blue, Cu; red, oxygen; brown, carbon; yellow, sodium.

O 2p and 2% O 2s from each O atom. The HOMO is a non-bonding in-plane \(4b_2\) orbital, which descends from the degenerate nonbonding O lone pair \(1\sigma_g\). This orbital is 100% centered on the O atoms.

Even though \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \)/Cu(111), which is calculated using Eq. 10 (Fig. 6C), reproduces within the \text{CO}_2 moiety the main features associated with the \(\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \) formation (Fig. 6B). In addition, the plot shows hybridization of the \(6a_1\) orbital with the \(\text{Cu} \) \(d_{\sigma_1}\) and \(d_{\pi_2}\) \(\text{Cu} \) states, which reflects the formation of the covalent \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C} \) bond. The bond covalency (electron pairing) follows from the accumulation of electron density around the bond centers. The more diffuse volume of the accumulated electron density within the \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C} \) bond is consistent with the shorter length of this bond compared to the \text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} \) bond (Fig. 5). Because the electron density in the \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C} \) bond is closer to the \(\text{C} \) atom (Fig. 6C), the \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C} \) bond dipole is parallel to the negative electric field, which can explain the positive sign of the Stark tuning rate of the \(\nu_{\text{C} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C}} \) peak of \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \) (Fig. 3D).

The plot in Fig. 6C also demonstrates that the \(\nu_1\) electron density on the coordinating \(\text{Cu} \) atom is redistributed: Their \(d_{\sigma_1}\) electron density is depleted due to the back-donation to the LUMO, while \(d_{\sigma_1}\) states acquire electron density from the HOMO. A similar charge redistribution has been obtained for \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \) and \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \)/Cu(111) system on Pt(110) (87). Along with the ionic pairing with alkali cation and the electrostatic stabilization by the positively charged \(\text{Cu} \) atoms, the covalency of the \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{C} \) and \(\text{Cu} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} \) bonds can explain the \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \) formation in the broad range of potentials. Compared with \(\Delta \rho\) calculated using Eq. 10 (Fig. 6C), \(\Delta \rho\) calculated using Eq. 11 (Fig. 6D) shows a more massive electron depletion from the \(\text{C} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} \) bonds, suggesting their stronger activation. This result agrees with the red shift of the \(\nu_{\text{CO}_2}^{\cdots} \) peak with a cathodic bias of electrode potential (Fig. 3C). Noteworthy is the electron distribution within the carboxylate-surface bonds. Even though electron density is accumulated between the \(\text{C} \) atoms, \(\eta^2(\text{C}, \text{O})-\text{CO}_2^{\cdots} \), the negative charge lobe on the \(\text{C} \) atom is contracted toward the \(\text{C} \) atom, while the coordinating \(\text{Cu} \) atom loses \(d_{\sigma_1}\) electron density. Simultaneously, the \(d_{\sigma_2}\) density on...
Conclusions

By applying a comprehensive suite of experimental and theoretical methods, we establish that the CO$_2$ conversion to formate on Cu starts with the formation of a carboxylate intermediate with the $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ structure. This result can be generalized toward at least coinage metals (Cu, Au, and Ag), given their similarity in terms of the reaction kinetics and the electronic properties. At the same time, the formation of *COOH is unlikely on Cu at circumneutral and basic pH, which implies that $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ is converted to CO through direct dissociation [9] as in gas phase. 

$\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ is stabilized on a Cu surface due to the strong covalency of its two bonds with the surface, charge polarization in the system, as well as by the electrostatic interactions with a hydrated Na cation in the on-top position and the positively charged coordinating Cu atoms. The observed formation of $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ at potentials much more anodic of the onset of the CO$_2$ electro-reduction suggests the critical role of additional cooperative effects such as surface defects, residual surface oxide, and coadsorbed electron donors, which are yet to be understood. A negative potential activates the Cu–C and C–O bonds of $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ en route to HCOO$^-$ (Fig. 7), which is explained by the electrostatic and chemical effects. In contrast, the Cu–O bond can be stabilized, underscoring its important role in the selectivity of $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$ to CO and HCOO$^-$. These results indicate that the descriptors of the CO$_2$ conversion to HCOO$^-$ and CO are the chemical and structural properties of $\eta^1$(C,O)-CO$_2$$^-$, raising intriguing questions about the exact relationship of these properties to the selectivity, activity, and energy efficiency of the catalyst.
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