Fast oxidation of sulfur dioxide by hydrogen peroxide in deliquesced aerosol particles
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Oxidation experiments with high solute strength aerosol face significant challenges due to the need for online measurement of the reaction kinetics using aerosol particles, and the necessity for good control of AWC and aerosol pH (27). Sulfate formation rates for many aqueous SO2 oxidation pathways involving O3, O2+TMI, and NO3 are strongly pH-dependent (6) and are subject to 1 to 2 orders of magnitude change if the pH changes by 1 unit because the solubility and effective Henry’s law constant of SO3 positively depend on pH (28). This sensitivity of sulfate formation rates to pH poses experimental challenges in controlling aerosol pH because product hydrogen ions (H+) will perturb the aerosol pH. As an exception, the rate of aqueous oxidation of dissolved SO2 by H2O2 is largely pH-independent for pH above 2 because the effects arising from the pH dependence of the SO2 solubility and the reaction rate constant offset each other (10). This characteristic makes the SO2−H2O2 reaction a useful system to isolate the effects of solute strength from aerosol pH on the sulfate formation rate.

Here, we study pH-buffered submicrometer, deliquesced aerosol particles in an aerosol flow tube to create high solute strengths, enabling direct investigation of the kinetics of aqueous oxidation of dissolved SO2 by H2O2 in aerosol particles. Six types of seed aerosols were investigated, with aerosol pH buffered at 2.3 to 4.8 as calculated using the extended aerosol inorganics model (E-AIM) (29, 30) and a Pitzer activity coefficient model (31): A) a mixture of sodium chloride (NaCl)/malonic acid/sodium bimalonate (molar ratios 20:1:1, 6:1:1, 2:1:1 and aerosol pH 2.3 to 2.5); B) NaCl/sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate (molar ratios 20:1:1, 6:1:1, 2:1:1

Significance

Atmospheric sulfate aerosol particles contribute significantly to poor air quality and direct forcing of the Earth’s climate. However, air pollution and climate models simulate the formation of sulfate using acid rain chemistry known to be appropriate only for cloudwater conditions. By measuring the oxidation of sulfur dioxide (SO2) by hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) directly in hygroscopic, pH-buffered aerosol particles with high solute strength characteristic of many tropospheric conditions, we show that sulfate formation occurs significantly faster than under the cloudwater conditions previously explored. In part, ionic strength and general acid catalysis effects drive the fast chemistry. These results indicate that the H2O2-driven oxidation of SO2 in aqueous aerosol particles can contribute to the missing sulfate source during severe haze pollution events.
and aerosol pH 4.8); C) sodium nitrate (NaNO₃)/malonic acid/sodium bimalonate (molar ratio 20:1:1 and aerosol pH 2.8); D) NaNO₃/sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate (molar ratio 20:1:1 and aerosol pH 4.0); E) malonic acid/sodium bimalonate (molar ratio of 1:1 and aerosol pH 2.8); and F) sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate (molar ratio of 1:1 and aerosol pH 3.9).

In part, the individual aerosol particles components were chosen to be representative of species found in the atmosphere. More importantly, they satisfy the demands of the experiment (see detailed explanation in SI Appendix, section S1) by providing different aerosol pH and different AWC (32), and by enabling explicit examination of the effects of aerosol pH and solute strength on the sulfate formation rate. Aerosol mass spectrometry (33) (AMS) quantitatively characterized the composition of seed aerosols and the sulfate that forms. A scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) was used to measure particle-size distributions and to determine the AWC. All experiments were performed at 21 to 25 °C and high relative humidity (RH) (73 to 90%) to ensure that the seed aerosol particles are deliquesced; see Methods and SI Appendix, section S1 for details on the experimental conditions, choice of aerosol systems to study, instrument operation, and data analysis.

The overall goal of this work is to measure the sulfate formation rates on aerosol particles with high solute concentrations, to enable comparison with the literature parameters that have previously been obtained in bulk solutions. The results will provide an improved quantitative understanding of sulfate aerosol formation during severe haze pollution events.

Results
The kinetics of aqueous oxidation of dissolved SO₂ by H₂O₂ in a mixture of NaCl and malonic acid buffer aerosol particles (molar ratio of 20:1:1) at pH 2.5 are shown in Fig. 1. Results for the other aerosol types are qualitatively similar and shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S3. The partial pressures of SO₂ and H₂O₂ were kept constant and in excess in each experiment so that the aerosol sulfate that forms is solely dependent on the reaction time. The dissolved sulfate concentrations, [SO₄²⁻] (molality units), show strong linear correlations (r² > 0.96) with the reaction time (Fig. 1 A and C). As well, the slopes of the sulfate formation rate versus initial SO₂ and H₂O₂ concentrations using log-log plots (Fig. 1 B and D) are close to unity (1.03 ± 0.14 and 1.19 ± 0.03, respectively), suggesting first-order reactions in dissolved SO₂ and H₂O₂. Except for some data points associated with the particles containing NaCl or NaNO₃, the estimated buffer capacity is higher than the amount of H⁺ formed (SI Appendix, section S1). It is possible that HCl or HNO₃ evaporate from the particles under those conditions, removing acidity. Nevertheless, the strong correlations in Fig. 1 A and C show no signs of a slower reaction when a large amount of sulfate forms.

Overall, these observations are consistent with the assumed mechanism for the reaction (34):

\[
\text{HSO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 = \text{HOOSO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}, \quad \text{[R1]}
\]

\[
\text{HOOSO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ = \text{HOOSO}_3^-, \quad \text{[R2]}
\]

\[
\text{HOOSO}_3^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + \text{SO}_4^{2-}. \quad \text{[R3]}
\]

As well, it is known that weak acids, HX, can act as proton donors to promote the reaction through general acid catalysis:

\[
\text{HOOSO}_2^- + \text{HX} \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{X}^- . \quad \text{[R4]}
\]

The overall rate expression (34, 35) valid for pH > 2 is

\[
\frac{d\text{SO}_4^{2-}}{dt} = \left(k + k_{R3}K_{R2}k_{H3O}P_{H_2O_2}P_{H_2O}\right) \frac{[\text{SO}_2]}{C_1}, \quad \text{[1]}
\]

where \(k = k_{R1}K_{R1}K_{R2}\), and \(k_{R1}\) and \(K_{R1}\) are reaction rate coefficient, \(K_{R1}\) and \(P_i\) are thermodynamic dissociation constant of H₂SO₃, H⁺, and P_i, respectively. \(k_{R1}\) is the overall rate constant for the general acid catalysis mechanism. We note that Eq. 1 is only applicable to ideal solutions. Known modifications to Eq. 1 for ionic strength effects are presented in SI Appendix, Table S4.

To convert the measured sulfate formation rate to units of μg m⁻³ h⁻¹, which is used for comparison to field measurements, the following equation was used:

\[
\frac{d\text{SO}_4^{2-}}{dt} \left(\mu g \text{ m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\right) = 3,600 \ \text{s} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot 96 \ \text{g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \frac{d\text{SO}_4^{2-}}{dt} \left(\text{molal} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\right) \cdot \frac{A\text{WC}}{\rho_w}, \quad \text{[2]}
\]

where AWC is in units of mg m⁻³ and \(\rho_w\) is the water density in kg L⁻¹. Note that in previous studies (6, 19), an equivalent expression was employed with the sulfate formation rate in units of M s⁻¹. While this is appropriate for dilute conditions, for solutions with high ionic strength the aerosol liquid water volume is substantially smaller than the total aerosol volume and so Eq. 2 is more accurate. As a result, we determine the SO₂- and H₂O₂-dependent sulfate formation rates in molal s⁻¹, as illustrated by the slopes in Fig. 1 A and C.

Fig. 2A and B show the ratio of the measured sulfate formation rates to the modeled ones for all six types of seed aerosol as a function of total solute concentration and ionic strength, respectively. Modeled sulfate formation rates were calculated based on the literature parameters of SO₂ oxidation by H₂O₂ obtained in bulk solutions, without taking the effects of ionic strength and general acid catalysis into account; see SI Appendix, section S2 for details. Overall, the measured sulfate formation rates are higher.

Fig. 1. Kinetics of aqueous oxidation of SO₂ by H₂O₂ in a mixture of NaCl and malonic acid buffer aerosol particles (molar ratio of 20:1:1) at pH 2.5. (A) Sulfate concentrations (units of molal) as a function of reaction time for initial SO₂ concentrations of 60, 155, and 328 ppb, for a H₂O₂ mixing ratio of 94 ppb (SI Appendix, Table S1, Exp 7 through 9). (B) Dependence of sulfate formation rate (molal s⁻¹) on initial SO₂ concentrations, for a H₂O₂ mixing ratio of 94 ppb. (C) Sulfate concentrations as a function of reaction time for initial H₂O₂ concentrations of 5, 94, and 544 ppb, for a SO₂ mixing ratio of 340 ppb (SI Appendix, Table S1, Exp 10 through 12). (D) Dependence of sulfate formation rate on initial H₂O₂ concentrations, for a SO₂ mixing ratio of 340 ppb. All error bars represent 1 SD; they are generally smaller than the symbol size.
than the modeled values. For the mixed NaCl and malonic acid buffer aerosol particles with low ionic strengths (I < 7 molal) and low concentrations of malonic acid (<0.6 molal) (Exp 1 through 7, and 12), the measured sulfate formation rates are 2.1 ± 0.1 to 2.9 ± 0.6 times larger than the modeled ones. Overall, we conclude for these particles types that the pH does not affect the kinetics between pH values of 2.3 and 4.8. This is consistent with the bulk solution kinetics in the literature for solution pH values above 2.

For the more concentrated solutions (I > 7 molal), the ratio of the measured to the modeled sulfate formation rate displays strongly increasing trends with increasing malonic acid concentration and ionic strength as shown in Fig. 2B. The ratio is as high as 33 ± 4 to 51 ± 9 for the malonic acid buffer aerosol particles at pH 3.9 with ionic strength of around 14 molal. We believe there are at least 2 effects driving the increase: general acid catalysis by malonic acid and ionic strength effects. In addition, there is possibility of salting-in effects of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ at high solute strengths, including the impact of high buffer concentrations, that are difficult to estimate.

To illustrate the general acid effects, the measured sulfate formation rate increases with malonic acid concentration for the mixed NaCl and malonic acid buffer aerosol particles at pH 2.3 to 2.4 at relatively constant ionic strengths of 3.9 and 6.6 molal (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 and Table S1, Exp 13 through 18).

To isolate the ionic strength effect, it is necessary to account for the general acid catalyzed enhancement. To do this, we linearly fit the two $k_{HX}$ reaction rate coefficients that we measured (SI Appendix, Fig. S6) as a function of ionic strength (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A), and use this fit to account for the general acid effect according to Eq. 1. The dependence of $k_{HX}$ on ionic strength arises because $k_{HX}$ is dependent on the pH of the general acid (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B) and the first pK$_a^*$ of malonic acid depends on ionic strength (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C), where $K_a^*$ is the stoichiometric dissociation constant. From this analysis we conclude that the general acid catalysis effect on the systems with highest ionic strength (14 molal) is negligible and that the significant increase of the sulfate formation rate is largely due to the effect of ionic strength on the proton-catalyzed mechanism and, potentially, the salting-in of reactants as well. This is consistent with previous studies at lower ionic strengths (~5 molal NaCl) that have found enhancements in the proton-catalysis reaction rate coefficient $k$ (34) and the Henry’s law constant of H$_2$O$_2$ (36) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Referring to the reaction mechanism above, the strong dependence of the rate constant on ionic strength arises by lowering the stoichiometric dissociation constant of HOOSO$_2$H (reverse of Reaction R2) (34). In particular, at high ionic strength there will be less water to stabilize the ions into which HOOSO$_2$H dissociates.

Relative to modeled rates in pure water, we plot the dependence of the enhancement factor of only the proton-catalyzed sulfate formation rate on ionic strength in Fig. 2C, i.e., the general acid catalyzed sulfate formation rate was subtracted from the measured sulfate formation rate to yield the proton-catalyzed sulfate formation rate (see details in SI Appendix, section S3). This factor reflects the overall effects of ionic strength on the reaction rate coefficient $k$, Henry’s law constants of H$_2$O$_2$ and SO$_2$, and the first stoichiometric dissociation constant of H$_2$SO$_3$. We note that some data points are negative after correcting for the catalyzed sulfate formation rates for organic buffer aerosol particles at aerosol pH of 2.8 and most data for the NaCl and organic buffer aerosol particles at aerosol pH of 4.8 were negative and so are not plotted. The model results (solid line) describe the effects of ionic strength on the proton-catalyzed sulfate formation rate measured in bulk solutions (34, 36, 37). The model extrapolation is shown as a dashed red line. Light-blue- and gray-shaded areas indicate characteristic ionic strength ranges for cloud droplets and aerosol particles during urban haze episodes, respectively. All error bars are derived from the uncertainties of the measured sulfate formation rates.
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general acid effects. The enhancement factor is ~1.5 at ionic strengths of 2 to 6.5 molal. This is relatively good agreement given that we estimate that the uncertainties in our rates is on the order of a factor of 2, when considering individual uncertainties in the kinetics plots, sulfate quantification, and determination of AWC. The enhancement factor increases to 33 ± 4 to 51 ± 9 at ionic strengths of 14 molal. For comparison, the modeled enhancement factors for ionic strength of 0 to 5 molal solutions were calculated using parameters obtained in bulk solutions (34, 36, 37); see SI Appendix, section S2 for details. The model results were also extrapolated to ionic strength of up to 43 molal using the functional formula derived in the past using much more dilute solutions. The high enhancement factors at high ionic strength ranges, which are within those (13 through 43 molal) of urban pollution episodes (6), indicate that ionic strength effects on the aqueous oxidation of SO2 by H2O2 in aerosol particles should be considered in air-quality models.

**Discussion**

By directly investigating the kinetics of aqueous oxidation of dissolved SO2 by H2O2 in aerosol particles under well-controlled experimental conditions, we have examined solute strength effects on the sulfate formation rate. The major result is that there is an enhancement in the kinetics above the rate that prevails in dilute solutions typical of cloudwater. We believe that the enhancement effect is due to ionic strength effects and general acid catalysis arising from the malonic acid buffer, as well as potentially to salting-in of the reactants.

Overall, the rate of this multiphase reaction is driven by the volume of liquid water present. When clouds are present, the liquid water content is many orders of magnitude higher than in aerosol particles, even for highly polluted conditions. Under such conditions, SO2 oxidation will proceed preferentially in the cloud droplets. Similarly, this aerosol multiphase reaction is unlikely to be important in clean conditions when the aerosol liquid water content is very low. However, it has the potential to have atmospheric importance under polluted conditions.

To illustrate, Fig. 3 shows the steady-state sulfate formation rates by aqueous SO2 oxidation through different reaction pathways in aerosol particles following the approach of Cheng et al. (6). According to their work and that of Zheng et al. (17), a missing sulfate source of anywhere from 0.3 to 5 μg m−3 h−1, depending on the PM2.5 level, is needed to explain the sulfate formation during haze episodes in Beijing in January 2013 (see figure 3 of ref. 6). Based on this model (6), the NO2 reaction pathway may be the missing sulfate source if the aerosol pH is above 6 while the TMI reaction pathway will dominate sulfate formation if the aerosol pH is below 4.5. However, ionic strength effects on sulfate formation rate were not taken into account in the model of Cheng et al. (6).

By incorporating the enhancement factors of sulfate formation rate at high ionic strength (around 14 molal) into the model, the sulfate formation rate for the H2O2 reaction pathway increases from ~0.07 μg m−3 h−1 to 2.3–3.6 μg m−3 h−1. Recently, Ye et al. (18) have reported that H2O2 concentrations during Beijing haze events were more than 1 order of magnitude higher than the value (0.01 ppb) assumed in the model of Cheng et al. (6). By updating the model with a H2O2 concentration of 0.1 ppb, the sulfate formation rate for the H2O2 reaction pathway increases to between 23.2 μg m−3 h−1 and 36.0 μg m−3 h−1.

We also investigated the effects of ionic strength on the aqueous TMI-catalyzed oxidation rate of dissolved SO2 by O3 in aerosol particles. We find that the sulfate formation rate is slower by a factor of ~85 at an ionic strength of 2.8 molal compared to that in dilute solution; see SI Appendix, section S4 for details. By taking the impact of ionic strength on the TMI reaction pathway into consideration, we find that the sulfate formation rate for the H2O2 reaction pathway is larger than all other reaction pathways for aerosol pH levels up to 6.2. This pH value exceeds the reported aerosol pH values (4, 5) during severe haze episodes in northern China (see ref. 38 and references therein). As the sulfate formation rate for the H2O2 reaction pathway is independent of aerosol pH for pH levels above 2, the sulfate formation can be maintained at a high rate even when the aerosol particles become more acidic.

These results draw attention to the rates of formation of H2O2, that are required to sustain the aerosol-mediated SO2 oxidation. To our knowledge, the mixing ratio of H2O2 was not measured for the January 2013 severe haze conditions presented in Fig. 3 (6). Modeling of H2O2 measurements in Beijing during March 2016 was consistent with a production rate of 0.5 ppbv d−1, with more H2O2 formed via alkene ozonolysis than via the HO2 self-reaction (39).

Assuming that H2O2 production limits the rate of sulfate formation, this H2O2 production rate can account for up to 20% of the missing sulfate source in the January 2013 case and roughly 1/3 of the sulfate formed in January and February 2015 reported by Wang et al. (4).
Our results highlight the important role of high solute strength in sulfate formation in deliquesced aerosol particles. Specifically, there is the need for an improved understanding on the role of ionic strength effects on atmospheric aerosol multiphase chemistry, as pointed out by a recent review of the field of laboratory atmospheric chemistry (40). As well, the importance of general acid catalysis needs to be considered not only for the many organic acids that are present as ubiquitous aerosol constituents but potentially also for ammonium ions which are present in aerosol particles at very high concentrations. While such rate enhancements may arise under any conditions with a high aerosol liquid water content and solute concentrations, for the specific Chinese haze situation it is particularly important to assess these effects on the reactions of organic hydroperoxides, NO2 and O3 that also oxidize dissolved SO2 (41), over a range of aerosol pH. This will permit a more comprehensive assessment of the overall rate of multiphase sulfur oxidation under cloud-free conditions.

Methods

Aerosol Particle Generation. Our goal was to investigate the kinetics of aqueous oxidation of dissolved SO2 by H2O2 in high solute strength aerosol particles, achieved by generating pH-buffered polydisperse deliquesced aerosol particles using a constant output atomizer (TSI 3076) from the following solutions: A) a mixture of NaCl/malic acid/sodium bimalonate (100/0.5/0.5 mM, 30/0.5/0.5 mM, and 1/0.5/0.5 mM); B) NaCl/sodium bimalonate/ sodium malonate (100/0.5/0.5 mM, 30/0.5/0.5 mM, and 1/0.5/0.5 mM); C) NaN3/sodium/malic acid/sodium bimalonate (10/0.5/0.5 mM); D) NaNO3/sodium/malic acid/sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate (5/5 mM); and F) sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate (5/5 mM). A small fraction of the humidified aerosol flow from the atomizer was mixed with a humidified N2 flow and entered the kinetics flow tube, resulting in high relative humidity (73 to 90%) to ensure that the seed aerosol particles are deliquesced and maintain enough aerosol liquid water to enable aqueous oxidation. Additional details on generating seed aerosols are provided in SI Appendix, section S1.

Flow Tube Experiments. A schematic of the full experimental setup is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. All experiments were conducted in a vertically oriented Pyrex flow tube (length 95 cm, inner diameter 6.2 cm) at a total flow rate of 1,500 sccm at laminar flow conditions (Reynolds number ~34), in which constant flows of SO2 (10, 25, or 50 sccm carrier gas), gas-phase H2O2 (10 or 20 sccm carrier gas), and aerosol particles were well mixed. Gas-phase H2O2 was generated by bubbling N2 through an aqueous H2O2 solution (15 or 30% by weight) and injected into the central portion of the humidified aerosol flow by a movable stainless-steel injector that is inserted axially down the center of the flow tube, enabling variable reaction time in a stepwise manner. In each experiment, the aerosol liquid water volume was first characterized in the presence of SO2 and absence of H2O2 to quantify the background sulfate concentrations. Then the aqueous oxidation of SO2 was initiated by introducing H2O2. Before entering the instruments for aerosol composition characterization, the aerosol particles alternatively passed through or bypassed a diffusion dryer to remove or sustain aerosol water. Experiments with different initial concentrations of SO2 and H2O2 as well as seed aerosol types were carried out at 21 to 25 °C and high relative humidity (73 to 90%). All reported sulfate concentrations were corrected for the background sulfate concentrations. Details of the experimental setup and procedure are provided in SI Appendix, section S1.

Measurements. Both compact and high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometers (23) (C-ToF-AMS and HR-ToF-AMS; Aerodyne Research) were deployed to characterize the concentration and chemical composition of aerosol particles. Prior to the experiments, the ionization efficiency (IE) of the AMS was calibrated using 300-nm ammonium nitrate particles. The sulfate was measured in the form of sodium sulfate because the sulfuric acid that was generated in the reaction was buffered by sodium bimalonate or sodium malonate. Therefore, a sulfate fragmentation table without water fragments was used (42). The relative ionization efficiency (RIE) of sulfate was determined for sodium sulfate to be 0.12 and 0.24 for the C-ToF-AMS and HR-ToF-AMS, respectively, and applied to the quantification of sulfate concentrations. The difference between sulfate concentrations simultaneously measured by these 2 AMS was within 10%. A collection efficiency of unity was assumed for the deliquesced aerosol particles (43). An SMPS (TSI) was used to measure the particle-size distributions. Gas-phase monitors were used to measure SO2 (model 43i; Thermo) and H2O2 (P21114; PICARRO) gas-phase mixing ratios. Details regarding the comprehensive calibration and operation of the AMS are described in SI Appendix, section S1.

Calculation of Aerosol pH, Sulfate Molality, and Ionic Strength. The relative concentrations of the solutes in the aerosol particles were assumed to be the same as in the solution in the atomizer with no fractionation, resulting in aerosol particles pH buffered at 2.3 to 4.8, estimated using the E-AIM model (29, 30) and a Pitzer activity coefficient model (31). Here, pH is defined as the negative logarithm with base 10 of the molality-based H+ activity.

\[ \text{pH} = -\log_{10}([H^+]_{\text{mol}}) \]

where \([H^+]_{\text{mol}}\) represents the molality-based activity coefficient and molality of H+, respectively. For the mixture of NaCl and organic buffer, the molalities of all ions and neutral species were first estimated using the E-AIM model which were then input to the Pitzer model to calculate the equilibrium speciation to determine the aerosol pH. Given that the Pitzer model is developed for systems with NaCl and relatively low concentrations of malonic acid species, the aerosol pH for other systems (i.e., with no NaCl present) was estimated using the E-AIM model only. For comparison, the Pitzer model gave ~0.4 unit lower pH values for the mixture of NaCl/malic acid/sodium malonate and 1 unit higher pH value for the mixture of NaCl/malic acid/sodium bimalonate/sodium malonate compared to the E-AIM model results (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). We note that 1 unit difference of pH will not impact our conclusions that the enhancement factors for the proton-catalyzed sulfate formation rate at the highest ionic strength (~14 molal) are pH-independent (SI Appendix, section S5 and Fig. S10A).

The sulfate molality was calculated from the sulfate concentrations (µg m−3) and aerosol liquid water volume, which was determined as the volume difference between the deliquesced and effloresced aerosol particles bypassing or passing through the diffusion dryer. We note that there is an inherent assumption that the molar volumes of the solutes are the same in the dry and wet cases. For comparison, the aerosol liquid water volume was also determined by multiplying the total measured aerosol volume by the ratio of the aerosol liquid water volume to the total aerosol volume estimated using the PICARRO analyzer. Results from both techniques agreed within uncertainty for all experiments (SI Appendix, section S5 and Fig. S10B). This does not impact our conclusion that the oxidation of SO2 by H2O2 in aerosol particles can contribute to the missing sulfate source during severe haze episodes.

The ionic strength was calculated via the following equation:

\[ I = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_{i} z_{i}^{2} \]

where \(m_{i}\) and \(z_{i}\) represent the molality of each ion and its corresponding charge, respectively. The ionic strength was estimated using the E-AIM model (29) assuming the relative composition of the aerosol particles to be the same as in the solution (SI Appendix, Table S1).

Data Availability. All data are presented in SI Appendix, Table S1.
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