Correction

EARTH, ATMOSPHERIC, AND PLANETARY SCIENCES

The authors note that they included monthly atmospheric methane concentrations maps, starting in May 2020 and terminating in May 2021. However, the satellite devices measuring concentrations of atmospheric methane do not operate at such high latitudes during the winter months. The maps for the period November 2020–February 2021, presented on Fig. 1, repeat the signal from October 2021. Thus they should not be taken into consideration. The authors thank Ilse Aben (Netherlands Institute for Space Research) and Benjamin Poulter (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) for pointing out this issue. This does not affect the results or conclusions of the article. The corrected Fig. 1 and its legend appear below. The online version has been corrected.

![Atmospheric methane concentrations in North Siberia during 2020–2021](https://pulse.ghgsat.com/)

Note two elongated maxima of methane concentration (arrows) coinciding with carbonate outcrop areas (Fig. 2), and region-wide concentration increase in March to April 2021. See Fig. 2 for location. Curve shows monthly means of 2-m temperature in Siberia (55°N–76°N, 70°E–180°E) during the study period (https://climatereanalyzer.org/).
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Anthropogenic global warming may be accelerated by a positive feedback from the mobilization of methane from thawing Arctic permafrost. There are large uncertainties about the size of carbon stocks and the magnitude of possible methane emissions. Methane cannot only be produced from the microbial decay of organic matter within the thawing permafrost soils (microbial methane) but can also come from natural gas (thermogenic methane) trapped under or within the permafrost layer and released when it thaws. In the Taymyr Peninsula and surroundings in North Siberia, the area of the worldwide largest positive surface temperature anomaly for 2020, atmospheric methane concentrations have increased considerably during and after the 2020 heat wave. Two elongated areas of increased atmospheric methane concentration that appeared during summer coincide with two stripes of Paleozoic carbonates exposed at the southern and northern borders of the Yenisey-Khatanga Basin, a hydrocarbon-bearing sedimentary basin between the Siberian Craton to the south and the Taymyr Fold Belt to the north. Over the carbonates, soils are thin to nonexistent and wetlands are scarce. The maxima are thus unlikely to be caused by microbial methane from soils or wetlands. We suggest that gas hydrates in fractures and pockets of the carbonate rocks in the permafrost zone became unstable due to warming from the surface. This process may add unknown quantities of methane to the atmosphere in the near future.

Results

The two elongated methane concentration maxima correlate well with two stripes characterized by outcrops and stone runs of carbonate rocks (Fig. 2). In these two areas, soil is thin to nonexistent, i.e., the carbonate rocks crop out at the surface, vegetation is scarce, and the proportion of wetlands is low. The northern lineament coincides with the Early Paleozoic Siberian passive-margin carbonate succession. The southern lineament mimics outcrops of Paleozoic carbonates covering the rim of the Siberian Craton. The area between the two lineaments is occupied by Late Paleozoic and Mesozoic, predominantly clastic sedimentary rocks of the Yenisey-Khatanga Basin (8). The region is underlain by continuous permafrost about 700 m thick in the Yenisey-Khatanga Basin (9).

We studied the evolution of methane concentrations using PULSE, an interactive map of atmospheric methane concentrations launched in 2020 and based on satellite spectroscopy. PULSE shows monthly concentration averages with a 2 x 2-km resolution. The map for a certain date shows concentration averaged over the preceding month. Absolute concentrations are only approximate, but spatial and temporal concentration gradients are well displayed. In May 2020, methane concentrations were low (~1,800 ppb) and rather uniform in the area of interest. On June 26, near the climax of the heat wave (T curve in Fig. 1), the southern lineament was for the first time clearly visible as an elongated maximum in methane concentration.

In August, the southern maximum was strongest and the northern maximum appeared, and in the following became approximately as strong as the southern one. The situation remained unchanged until March 2021, when concentration started rising across the entire area and the two maxima partly disappeared in the increased background concentrations. On April 10, 2021, almost the entire area showed concentrations around 1,900 ppb. Comparison of the maps for May 16, 2020, and May 15, 2021, shows the significant...
increase of methane concentration within 1 y, focused on northern Siberia.

Discussion
The almost perfect coincidence between the stripes where carbonate rocks crop out and the elongated concentration maxima strongly suggests that the maxima result from geologically controlled methane emissions from the ground. These cannot represent microbial methane from the decay of soil organic matter because soils are thin to nonexistent, nor can they come from wetlands because there are relatively few wetlands on the carbonate rocks, nor from vegetation because there is hardly any. Consequently, the source must be thermogenic methane from the subsurface. The Paleozoic carbonates are potential hydrocarbon reservoir rocks (10). This opens the possibility that methane was emitted from gas stored in the carbonates, probably in the form of gas hydrate. The permafrost in North Siberia contains pockets and layers of gas hydrate, which have caused blowouts during drilling. Hydrates also exist metastably above the hydrate stability zone (11). The shallowest gas blowouts occurred at only 20-m depth (11). Eruption of gas from the mobilization of gas hydrates is assumed to have caused the formation of a gas eruption crater in the Patom hills, further south in Siberia but still in the permafrost zone (12). Importantly, this crater is on Neoproterozoic carbonate rocks, showing that the process of gas eruption does occur in carbonate rocks.

Ice-bonded permafrost is virtually impermeable for gases, leading to permafrost-capped gas reservoirs (9). In the hydrate stability zone, comprising the lower part of the permafrost and several 100 m below, methane and water form gas hydrate. Hydrates located above the present-day stability zone either formed due to ice load during glaciations, which raised the upper boundary of the stability zone to the ground surface, or because of a pressure increase due to freezing of water in pores and closed cavities (5, 11). We suggest that the mobilization of gas hydrate in fractures at shallow level, caused by warming from above during the heat wave, reduced the pressure on deeper gas hydrate, which was then mobilized, and so on, opening vents for increasingly deeper-seated gas. This process can occur in any fractured rock but is expected to be much faster in carbonate rocks, with their network of interconnected fractures and karst cavities, than in other rock types. This may explain why the maxima over the limestone appeared soon after the beginning of the heat wave. Rock composition may also play a role: Increased temperature in the gas-hydrate–hosting carbonates may mobilize hydrous fluid carrying dissolved CO2, which would cause CH4–CO2 replacement in analogy to the guest gas replacement technique applied to hydrate reservoirs, additionally speeding up the methane mobilization process.

The spring 2021 concentration increase is unusual because the area was still snow-covered and temperatures were low (curve in Fig. 1). Methane emissions during spring thaw are known from Arctic permafrost but these occur generally later, around end of...
May (13). The area of maximum spring 2021 concentration increase coincides with the maximum of 2020 temperature anomaly, i.e., the Taymyr Peninsula and surroundings, making a link between summer 2020 heat wave and spring 2021 methane emission plausible. The spring concentration increase, which is not restricted to the carbonates but occurred in the entire Yenisey-Khatanga Basin and surroundings, may at least partly also reflect gas hydrates from the permafrost. The reason for the delay of approximately half a year is unclear and requires further research.

To conclude, our observations hint at the possibility that permafrost thaw does not only release microbial methane from formerly frozen soils but also, and potentially in much higher amounts, thermogenic methane from reservoirs below and within the permafrost. As a result, the permafrost–methane feedback may be much more dangerous than suggested by studies accounting for microbial methane alone. Gas hydrates in Earth’s permafrost are estimated to contain 20 Gt of carbon (14). Additionally, subpermafrost natural gas reservoirs may be tapped. To clarify how fast methane from these sources can be transferred to the atmosphere, further research is urgently required, including monitoring of air composition, tracking of air movement, collection of air samples for analysis of tracers of thermogenic venting, and modeling of the hydrate destabilization process.

Materials and Methods
We used freely accessible online resources, the PULSE map for methane concentrations (https://pulse.ghgsat.com) and the Climate Reanalyzer (https://climatereanalyzer.org) for temperature and snow cover data.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or supporting information.
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