Interaction between decision-making and interoceptive representations of bodily arousal in frontal cortex
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Decision-making and representations of arousal are intimately linked. Behavioral investigations have classically shown that either too little or too much bodily arousal is detrimental to decision-making, indicating that there is an inverted “U” relationship between bodily arousal and performance. How these processes interact at the level of single neurons as well as the neural circuits involved are unclear. Here we recorded neural activity from orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) of macaque monkeys while they made reward-guided decisions. Heart rate (HR) was also recorded and used as a proxy for bodily arousal. Recordings were made both before and after subjects received excitotoxic lesions of the bilateral amygdala. In intact monkeys, higher HR facilitated reaction times (RTs). Concurrently, a set of neurons in OFC and dACC selectively encoded trial-by-trial variations in HR independent of reward value. After amygdala lesions, HR increased, and the relationship between HR and RTs was altered. Concurrent with this change, there was an increase in the proportion of dACC neurons encoding HR. Applying a population-coding analysis, we show that after bilateral amygdala lesions, the balance of encoding in dACC is skewed away from signaling either reward value or choice direction toward HR coding around the time that choices are made. Taken together, the present results provide insight into how bodily arousal and decision-making are signaled in frontal cortex.
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Our current bodily state, whether it be thirst or a racing heart, affects ongoing cognitive processes. Bodily arousal is fundamental to representations of our bodily state and can have a marked influence on decision-making (1–3). At moderate levels, bodily arousal can increase the chance of survival by invigorating responding, whereas at higher levels, it promotes defensive behaviors such as freezing when threat of predation is imminent (4, 5). Consequently, altered generation and assessment of bodily arousal is thought to contribute to a host of psychiatric disorders such as anxiety disorders and addiction (6–8).

The influence of bodily arousal on behavior can be accounted for by viscerosensory feedback from the body reaching the brain. Central representations of current bodily state including arousal are known as interoception, and these representations are thought to be essential for maintaining homeostasis (9). Several brain areas including the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), anterior insular cortex, hypothalamus, and amygdala are implicated in interoception, signaling bodily arousal as well as other aspects of physiological state, such as hydration and temperature (10–13). The network of areas spanning frontal and limbic structures highlighted previously as central to interoception overlaps extensively with the parts of the brain that are essential for reward-guided decision-making, and these shared neural substrates are likely where these two processes interact (14, 15). Notably, lesions or dysfunction within frontal cortex and limbic areas in either humans or monkeys is associated with altered bodily arousal, interoception, and decision-making (16–18). Thus, optimal levels of bodily arousal are essential for appropriate responding to appetitive or aversive stimuli and likely require flexible adjustment of population-level neural representation in frontal and limbic structures (19).

Despite the appreciation that limbic and frontal structures are critical to both decision-making and interoception, how these processes interact in the frontal cortex at the level of single neurons is poorly understood. This is because single-neuron investigations of choice behavior have rarely considered or even attempted to measure the influence of bodily arousal on decision-making. Even less certain is how heightened states of bodily arousal affect interoceptive representations at the level of single neurons and subsequently influence choice behavior.

To address this, we analyzed a rare dataset: electrocardiogram (ECG) data were recorded simultaneously with single-neuron recordings in OFC and dACC in macaque monkeys performing a reward-guided task both before and after excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala (20). In this previous study, we demonstrated that the decisions of monkeys were guided by the reward size associated with each option. In addition, we found that the reaction times (RTs) to choose rewarded options reflected the expected amount of reward. Correspondingly, the activity of a large proportion of single neurons in OFC and dACC preferentially encoded reward value. Here, our aim was to examine the potential interaction between factors that guide decision-making on a trial-by-trial basis (i.e., reward value and choice direction) and
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representations of bodily arousal in frontal cortex. For this purpose, we define heart rate (HR) as bodily arousal and its neural representation as interoception. The HR during the fixation period of each trial (baseline HR) was used as a proxy of the current bodily arousal (21). Selective lesions of the amygdala caused a tonic increase in baseline HR, which was seen both during reward-guided behavior (22) and at rest. Here, we report that this increase in HR altered the influence of bodily arousal on decision-making, whereby heightened bodily arousal was associated with slower responding. At the same time, single neuron correlates of baseline HR increased in dACC, but not OFC, after amygdala lesions, altering the balance of coding away from decision-relevant processes and toward representations of bodily arousal. Taken together, this pattern of results suggests that bilateral amygdala lesions caused a state of hyperarousal, which impacts decision-making through adjustments in population coding in dACC.

Results

Choice Behavior. Three monkeys (monkey H, N, and V) performed a two-choice reward-guided task for fluid rewards (Fig. 1A and B). Behavior was assessed before and after bilateral excitotoxic amygdala lesions (Fig. 1C) and has been reported previously (20). In brief, all monkeys successfully (>\textasciitilde 93\%) chose the option that led to the greatest amount of reward on almost every trial. Importantly, performance was not impacted by bilateral excitotoxic amygdala lesions, which was by design, as we wanted to ensure similar levels of performance before and after lesions (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

Heart Rate at Rest and during a Reward-Guided Task. Here, we wanted to establish how the current state of bodily arousal influences decision-making. As an estimate of bodily arousal on a moment-to-moment basis in the task, we computed the HR during the fixation period (baseline HR) of each trial (21) (Fig. 1A). No stimuli were presented during this period: therefore, sensory and motor responses could not influence the baseline HR. This also ruled out the effect of the cardiovascular reflex on HR associated with breath-holding during liquid intake, which might have obscured our results (23). Baseline HR was strongly correlated with HR in the other time periods analyzed (\textit{P < 0.01}, rank-sum test, SI Appendix, Fig. S2A), confirming that it is a robust predictor of bodily arousal across the whole trial.

As reported previously (22), the baseline HR significantly increased after bilateral amygdala lesions in all three monkeys (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). This increase in baseline HR held both when monkeys were engaged in the behavioral task as well as at

---

**Fig. 1.** Reward-guided task and excitotoxic amygdala lesions. (A) The sequence of events in a single trial of the two-option reward-guided task. Event timing and analysis periods are highlighted as well as dual neurophysiology and ECG recordings. A monkey could initiate a trial by placing its hand on a central button and fixing its gaze on a fixation spot in the center of the monitor. On each trial, monkeys were presented with two stimuli from a given stimulus set. After the fixation spot brightened (“Go” signal), the monkey could choose the left or right stimulus by moving its hand to the left or right button, respectively. After 500 ms, the reward amount assigned to the chosen stimulus was delivered. (B) The two stimulus sets used and corresponding reward amounts. (C) Bilateral excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala in monkeys H, N, and V as assessed by T2-weighted MRI scans taken within 5 d of infusions of excitotoxins (Top) and Nissl-stained sections at matching levels after histological processing (Bottom).
rest \( P < 0.01, F_{(1, 111)} = 21.2, \) one-way ANOVA, SI Appendix, Fig. S2B]. This indicates that bilateral amygdala lesions caused a tonic increase in bodily arousal, regardless of whether the monkeys were engaged in a task or not. The increase in baseline HR is critical to interpreting the results that follow, as it indicates that effects on neural activity are associated with a general increase in bodily arousal.

**Interaction between Reward-Guided Behavior and Bodily Arousal.** Although the heightened bodily arousal following amygdala lesions did not disrupt choice accuracy, it might nevertheless have influenced choice behavior as indexed by RTs. According to the Yerkes-Dodson law, moderate levels of bodily arousal should facilitate behavioral performance \( (24, 25) \). We thus reasoned that baseline HR should negatively correlate with RTs during the task in intact monkeys (Fig. 2A). To investigate this, we first compared the RTs in trials with higher baseline HR and compared them with those from trials with lower baseline HR using a median split. Before amygdala lesions, the difference in RTs (subtraction from high to low HR trials) tended to be lower than zero, indicating that the monkeys made faster response when the baseline HR was high (Fig. 2B, Left). To further quantify this effect, we performed a linear-regression analysis with reward size, baseline HR, and their interaction term. There was a negative relationship between both baseline HR and RTs (rank-sum test, \( P < 0.01, df = 414 \)), while the interaction between these variables was not significant \( (P = 0.72, df = 414) \) (Fig. 2C, Left). Note that all monkeys exhibited betas of HR below zero when the effect of reward was partialled out (i.e., the effect of reward size and its interaction with HR were assessed in the same model), although this effect was slight in monkeys N and H. Thus, both reward size and baseline HR independently facilitated RTs in intact monkeys, such that the monkeys responded faster when reward size was larger or baseline HR was higher.

If higher HR is associated with faster RTs under normal conditions, we reasoned that tonically higher HR caused by amygdala lesions might have shifted subjects to the other side of the Yerkes-Dodson curve, such that baseline HR would positively correlate with RTs (Fig. 2B). Indeed, after amygdala lesions, the difference in RTs between periods of high and low HR increased in all reward conditions, suggesting that increased HR had a broad impact on RTs (Fig. 2B, Right). Two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs (amygdala lesions: preoperative or postoperative \( \times \) reward size: 1, 2, 4, 8 drops) confirmed this, as there was an effect of amygdala lesions \( P = 0.037, F_{(1,169)} = 4.4 \) but no effect of reward size or their interaction on RTs \( P > 0.40 \).

We then conducted a multiple-regression analysis to further investigate these effects. Notably, the relationship between baseline HR and RTs was changed after lesions and the average beta value was now higher than zero \( (P = 0.039, df = 424, \) Fig. 2C, Right) \). The beta value for baseline HR increased in all monkeys after lesions \( P < 0.01, F_{(1, 415)} = 11.8, \) Fig. 2D, Right). The effect of reward size on RTs was maintained \( (P < 0.01, df = 424, \) Fig. 2C, Right) and, if anything, was greater after lesions \( P < 0.01, F_{(1, 415)} = 30.8, \) Fig. 2D, Left). Importantly, the direction of these effects was consistent across all the monkeys. Thus, bilateral amygdala lesions altered the impact of arousal and concurrently enhanced the influence of reward value on RTs.

**Fig. 2.** The influence of reward size, baseline HR, and their interaction on RTs. (A) Hypothetical relationship between baseline HR and RT. Bodily arousal facilitates behavioral performance when it is in the moderate range, whereas it inhibits performance when it is heightened. (B) Difference in RTs between trials with higher and lower baseline HR for each reward condition are plotted for preoperative (Left) and postoperative (Right) sessions. Positive value indicates larger RTs when baseline HR was higher. Red, blue, and cyan lines represent monkey H, N, and V, respectively, and black lines indicates the average of the three monkeys. (C) Average beta value from a multiple linear-regression analysis of preoperative (Left) and postoperative (Right) RTs. Diamond symbols represent the values for individual monkeys. Color convention as in B. Asterisks indicate statistical significance from zero \( P < 0.05 \) with Bonferroni correction, rank-sum test). Error bars are SEM. (D) The impact of amygdala lesions on the influence of reward size (Left) or baseline HR (Right) on RTs is shown for each monkey. Asterisk indicates \( P < 0.05 \) by one-way ANOVA.
One possible explanation for this pattern of results is that baseline HR is directly related to reward history. However, baseline HR was not associated with reward size in the preceding trial, either before or after amygdala lesions (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C), repeated-measures ANOVAs effect of lesion, \( P < 0.01, F_{(1, 1660)} = 346.8 \), effect of reward, \( P = 1.00, F_{(3, 1660)} = 0.015 \); lesion by reward interaction, \( P = 0.99, F_{(3, 1660)} = 0.025 \). In addition, baseline HR was not related to the average reward from the preceding trials (1 to 10 trials). The mean correlation coefficient (Pearson’s \( r \)) between baseline HR and average reward size did not significantly deviate from zero in sessions before (\( P = 0.28, n = 208, \) rank-sum test) or after amygdala lesions (\( P = 0.93, n = 213, \) SI Appendix, Fig. S2D). Thus, the trial-by-trial fluctuations in the baseline HR were independent of rewards received on the prior trials.

We also tested whether the impact of amygdala lesions on RTs was influenced by choice direction. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs (amygdala lesions: preoperative or postoperative \( \times \) choice direction: left or right) revealed no significant main effect of choice direction [\( P = 0.72, F_{(1,390)} = 0.11 \)] or its interaction with lesion [\( P = 0.30, F_{(1,390)} = 1.1 \)], suggesting that the impact of amygdala lesions on RTs was not influenced by the direction of choices.

**OFC and dACC Neurons Encode Decision-Making Variables and Current Bodily Arousal.** In the present task, reward value was the critical factor that monkeys used to guide their choices, but on each trial, the option associated with the greatest amount of reward could either be on the left or right side of the screen. Thus, once monkeys identified the best option, they had to decide to choose left or right. Consequently, our analyses of how decision-making and bodily arousal interacted concentrated on how neuronal representations of reward value, choice direction, and bodily arousal interact when decisions were made. Prior studies have reported that neurons in OFC and dACC encode various aspects of reward, especially reward magnitude (26–28). How reward representations in frontal cortex interact with the current state of bodily arousal is unknown. Indeed, it is possible that encoding of reward magnitude or reward probability is confounded with bodily arousal, in that bodily arousal is the primary variable encoded and this has been misinterpreted as reflecting reward value. An alternative possibility is that potential rewards and arousal states are encoded separately by neurons in frontal cortex.

To address this question, we analyzed the activity of 271 OFC (31, 105, and 135 neurons from monkeys H, N, and V, respectively) and 232 dACC neurons (102, 117, and 13 neurons from monkeys H, N, and V, respectively) recorded before amygdala lesions (Fig. 3 A and B). Here, we included both well-isolated neurons and multunit activity in our analyses (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for a full breakdown). All results reported here hold irrespective of the inclusion of multunit activity.

Fig. 3C depicts an example OFC neuron that reflected baseline HR in its firing rate (HR coding). Here, to visualize modulation of neuronal activity by baseline HR, we divided trials into “high baseline HR” and “low baseline HR” using a median split. This neuron increased its activity when baseline HR was low, especially around the time when reward was delivered (Fig. 3C, Bottom). This neuron was defined as a “negative HR coding,” as its activity showed negative correlation to baseline HR during anticipation and outcome periods (\( P < 0.05, \) multiple linear regression). The same neuron was not strongly modulated by reward value during the same period (Fig. 3C, Top). Fig. 3D depicts a neuron in dACC that had higher activity when baseline HR was high (Fig. 3D, Bottom). This neuron was defined as a “positive HR coding,” as its activity showed a positive correlation with baseline HR during the analysis epochs (\( P < 0.05 \)). Notably, the same neuron exhibited negative reward-value coding during the outcome period (Fig. 3D, Top). Note that for both reward value and HR, the value of that variable is fixed within a trial (number of drops of reward, baseline HR), and the plots show modulation of firing rate by that variable across the trial. To assess the time course of encoding, a sliding-window multiple linear regression (100-ms bin shifted in 10-ms steps) in which firing rate of each neuron was modeled with reward size, choice direction, and baseline HR was conducted (Eq. 2, see Methods) for the same example neurons (Fig. 3 E and F). This revealed that the baseline HR was encoded through the trial at a single-neuron level and was not always coupled to reward size or choice direction coding.

In the overall population of recorded neurons, the activity of a substantial number of neurons reflected the current level of bodily arousal as well as variables directly related to the decision-making process. During the decision period, reward value and choice direction were encoded by 35.4% and 10.7% of OFC and 28.0% and 22.0% of dACC neurons, respectively (Fig. 4A). In this period, OFC tended to encode reward value more strongly than dACC (96/271 and 65/232 in OFC and dACC, respectively; \( P = 0.076, \chi^2 = 3.2, \chi^2 \), test), while dACC encoded choice direction more strongly than OFC (29/271 and 51/232 in OFC and dACC, respectively; \( P < 0.01, \chi^2 = 11.9 \)). During the same period, 15.5% of OFC (42/271) and the same proportion of dACC (36/232) neurons showed HR coding (\( P < 0.05, \) Fig. 4F). The proportion of HR coding was consistent across each monkey tested (\( P > 0.53, \) two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test).

To address the dynamics of reward value, direction, and HR coding during a trial, we computed the proportion of significant neurons for each epoch (\( P < 0.05, \) Fig. 4B). As reported previously, more OFC and dACC neurons encoded reward value than choice direction (20). Although reward-value coding tended to be stronger in OFC during the decision period, no significant difference across areas was observed during anticipation and outcome periods (\( P > 0.10, \chi^2 \) test) (Fig. 4B, Top). Choice direction was preferentially encoded in dACC, and the difference across areas was pronounced during the decision and anticipation periods (\( P < 0.01, \chi^2 \) test) (Fig. 4B, Middle). These results highlight the different roles of OFC and dACC in the decision-making process, in which the former represents reward expectation and outcome and the latter represents choice direction as well as reward.

Encoding of HR was found in a substantial proportion of OFC and ACC neurons. In the majority of analysis windows, the proportion of neurons significantly exceeded chance in both areas (\( P < 0.05 \) with Bonferroni correction, \( \chi^2 \) test) (Fig. 4B, Bottom). While overall HR coding was indistinguishable between areas, HR coding during the fixation period was greater in OFC than in dACC (\( P = 0.0018, \chi^2 = 9.8, \chi^2 \) test). A full description of the proportion of neurons encoding reward value, choice direction, and baseline HR is presented in SI Appendix, Table S2.

To directly assess whether or not there was an interaction between the encoding of bodily arousal and reward or, separately, between the encoding of bodily arousal and choice direction at the level of single neurons, we first looked at the proportion of neurons that encoded both reward size and baseline HR during the same analysis window. The chance level was computed by multiplying the proportion of reward-value and HR coding neurons. The number of neurons encoding both factors did not exceed the chance level in either area (Fig. 4C, \( P > 0.41, \chi^2 \) test). Next, we tested the proportion of neurons that encoded both choice direction and baseline HR during the same analysis window. Again, the number of neurons encoding both factors did not exceed the chance level in either area (Fig. 4D, \( P > 0.38 \)). These analyses indicate that the representation of current bodily arousal, choice direction, and reward value are encoded by largely independent populations of neurons in OFC and dACC.

**Bilateral Amygdala Lesions Increase the Proportion of HR Coding Neurons in dACC.** Our analysis of HR demonstrated that bilateral lesions of amygdala increased the general level of bodily arousal and altered the relationship between bodily arousal and
RT. Here, we looked for how this change in bodily arousal might have altered encoding of HR and its interaction with reward value and choice direction. Following bilateral excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala, we recorded the activity of 239 neurons in OFC (142 and 97 neurons from monkeys N and V, respectively) and 190 in dACC (83 and 107 neurons from monkeys H and N, respectively). Recordings were conducted using identical procedures to those used before amygdala lesions, and recording locations overlapped with those targeted before amygdala lesions (Fig. 3 A and B).

Following amygdala lesions, the proportion of neurons encoding baseline HR was unchanged in OFC (average across all epochs, 15.6% to 15.2%) but was increased in dACC (average across all epochs, 14.4% to 21.3%) (Fig. 3C). Two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs with factors of lesion (preoperative, postoperative) and area (OFC, dACC) revealed a significant interaction of lesion by area \( [P < 0.01, F_{(1,5188)} = 13.3] \), indicating that amygdala lesions altered the balance of encoding of HR across areas. This effect was especially pronounced in the fixation period where the proportion of dACC neurons encoding baseline HR increased by ∼12% (10.8% to 22.6%, \( P = 0.0016, \chi^2 = 10.9 \)) (Fig. 3C, Center).

We also performed the same analysis on reward-value coding and choice-direction coding. We found a significant interaction of lesion by area in reward-value coding \( [P = 0.034, F_{(1,5188)} = 4.5, \text{Fig. 3A} \) but not in choice-direction coding \( [P = 0.9, F_{(1,5188)} = 0.016, \text{Fig. 3B} \), which indicates that the amygdala lesions preferentially decreased reward-value coding in OFC, as was reported in our prior study (20). These results held when we used the more conservative threshold of \( P < 0.0125 \) for the detection of significant neurons (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Thus, following amygdala lesions that heightened bodily arousal in all three monkeys, there was an increase in the proportion of neurons encoding bodily arousal that was specific to the dACC.

We further investigated how representations of bodily arousal changed at the level of single neurons by looking at how
amygdala lesions altered the strength of HR coding (i.e., the effect size) over time (Fig. 5 D–G). Here, we computed the time course of beta coefficients for baseline HR (Eq. 2, see Methods). Positive and negative HR-coding neurons, defined by their sign of the beta coefficient, were analyzed separately. In OFC, HR coding was lower during the fixation, decision, and anticipation periods following lesions (Fig. 5 D and E). In dACC, HR coding significantly decreased during the outcome period but was not significantly altered in other periods (Fig. 5 F and G). Thus, whereas amygdala lesions led to a selective increase in the proportion of dACC neurons encoding the current bodily arousal, this was not associated with an increase in the strength of encoding in single-neuron activity.

Contrasting Effects of Amygdala Lesions on the Population Coding of Reward, Direction, and Bodily Arousal in OFC and dACC. Changes in the proportion of reward-value and HR coding neurons in OFC and dACC after amygdala lesions (Fig. 5 A–C) could reflect a broader change in the balance of coding within these areas at the population level. To investigate this, we performed a population-coding analysis on all of the neurons recorded both before and after lesions. We projected the beta coefficients from the regression analyses for reward value and HR coding for all recorded neurons and fitted an ellipse representing 95% CI of the data (population ellipse). Thus, the vector angle represents the relative weighting of the strength between variables. In OFC, bilateral amygdala lesions exclusively affected reward coding (Fig. 6D, Top). The sum of the scalar decreased during decision, anticipation, and outcome periods (area under the curve [AUC] > 0.90), reflecting the reduction in both reward value and HR coding. No corresponding change in vector angle was apparent (Fig. 6D, Bottom). By contrast, in dACC, amygdala lesions affected the vector angle, and this change was most prominent during the decision and anticipation periods (Fig. 6F, Bottom). During the decision and anticipation periods, the vector angle relative to reward value significantly increased (AUC > 0.90), while the sum of scalar was not changed (Fig. 6F, Top). During the outcome period, on the other hand, vector scalar significantly decreased (AUC > 0.90), and the vector angle

![Fig. 4. Proportion of neurons showing significant encoding before amygdala lesions.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014781118) Interaction between decision-making and interoceptive representations of bodily arousal in frontal cortex
did not change after amygdala lesions. These results suggest that amygdala lesions shifted the population-level neural representation toward interoceptive coding in dACC, whereas coding of all task-related information (i.e., both of decision-making and interoceptive coding) generally diminished in OFC. Next, we conducted the same analysis, but instead of projecting the beta coefficients for HR coding against reward-value coding for each neuron, we projected them against the beta values for choice direction (Fig. 6 G–J). Here, we reasoned that if the impact of increased baseline HR on RTs (Fig. 2) is related to changes in dACC, then we should expect there to be a change in the vector angle between HR and choice-direction encoding. Furthermore, this change should be specific to the decision period of the trial when subjects select an action to make. This is indeed what we observed (Fig. 6J, Bottom). In dACC, there was a change in the vector angle, but only in the decision, not the anticipation or outcome periods of the trial, indicating an increase in the population-level coding of bodily arousal around the time monkeys were deciding which option to select. In OFC, there was only a change in the scalar, similar to what we observed between HR and reward-value encoding (Fig. 6H, Top).

Despite the population-level change in coding, the correlation between reward value and HR coding was unchanged by amygdala lesions in both areas (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). The correlation between choice direction and HR coding was significantly reduced in OFC during the anticipation period (AUC > 0.90) but not changed in dACC (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). Thus, changes in population coding were not simply accounted for by the change in correlation between correlation coefficients for either reward value or choice direction and baseline HR. In summary, bilateral amygdala lesions altered the balance of coding between either reward value or choice direction and baseline HR representations in dACC, skewing representations toward the current state of bodily arousal. Thus, our data indicate that hyperarousal alters the relationship between HR and RTs by heightening the impact of bodily arousal on decision-making mechanisms in dACC.

**Discussion**

How bodily arousal influences decision-making has been a central question in psychology and neuroscience. What has not been clear is how these processes interact at the level of single neurons. Here, we found that higher HR and reward size were associated with faster RTs in macaques performing a reward-guided decision-making task (Fig. 2). Concurrently, a population of neurons in OFC and dACC encoded the current HR on each trial (Figs. 3 and 4). Notably, representations of HR were largely independent of reward value, suggesting that arousal and reward-value signals are represented separately in frontal cortex.

---

**Fig. 5.** Effect of bilateral amygdala lesions on the proportion of neurons encoding reward amount, choice direction, and baseline HR. (A, Left and Center) Proportion of neurons that encoded the reward value in OFC (Left) and dACC (Center) in each of the four epochs analyzed. Solid lines represent preoperative data, and dashed lines represent postoperative data. Asterisks indicate significant difference in the proportion of neurons for each coding type in each analysis period (P < 0.05, χ² test). (Right) The average of proportion in the four epochs. Darker color represents preoperative and lighter color represents postoperative data. Asterisk indicates significant interaction of lesion by area (P < 0.05, repeated-measures ANOVAs). (B and C) Proportion of direction coding neurons (D) and HR coding neurons (C) in OFC and dACC. Conventions as in A. (D and F) The time course of effect size for HR coding in OFC (D) and dACC neurons (F), respectively. Positive HR coding (Top) and negative HR coding neurons (Bottom) are shown separately for each analysis epoch. The numerals at the top of panels indicate significant difference in effect size between preoperative and postoperative data (P < 0.05, rank-sum test) (E and G) Average effect size for each analysis period for OFC (E) and dACC (G). Asterisk indicates P < 0.05, rank-sum test.
Fig. 6. Effect of amygdala lesions on population representations of reward value and baseline HR representations in OFC and dACC. (A) Schematic figure to illustrate how a general reduction of information coding would impact the scalar of the ellipse fitted to the encoding of the two variables. After lesions, a reduction in the size of the population ellipse (95% CI of the data) reflects a reduction of both reward value and HR coding (Left). The change can be projected to the reduction in the vector scalar but not the vector angle (Right). (B) Schematic figure to illustrate how a shift in the preferred information would impact the vector angle of the population code. After lesions, a rotation of the population ellipse around the center reflects a reduction of reward-value coding and an increase of HR coding (Left). This change can be projected to the change of vector angle but not the vector scalar (Right). (C) Population representations of baseline HR and reward value in OFC neurons before (Left) and after (Right) amygdala lesions, for decision (Top), anticipation (Middle), and outcome (Bottom) periods. Ellipse represents 95% CI of the data (purple: preoperative, orange: postoperative). Long (magenta) and short (green) eigenvectors are superimposed. (D) Vector scalar (Top) and angle (Bottom) change in OFC as function of amygdala lesion. (E and F) Population representation of baseline HR and reward value in dACC neurons. Conventions as in C and D. (G–J) Population representations of baseline HR and reward value in OFC and dACC neurons. Conventions as in C–F. Asterisks indicate AUC > 0.90.
Bilateral excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala produced a tonic increase in bodily arousal, and this was associated with a marked change in how HR and reward value impacted RTs (Fig. 2). Whereas before amygdala lesions subjects’ behavior was characterized by a negative correlation between arousal and RTs, after amygdala lesions, this changed to a positive correlation. Concurrent to the change in bodily arousal and its impact on RTs, the proportion of neurons encoding the current HR increased in dACC but not OFC (Fig. 5). At the population level, increased bodily arousal was associated with a shift in the balance of encoding away from signaling decision-related variables, namely reward value or choice direction, and toward signaling HR in dACC but not OFC (Fig. 6). Thus, changes in dACC encoding of the current state of bodily arousal are associated with altered decision-making.

The Influence of Bodily Arousal on Value-Based Decision-Making. Previous work showed that amygdala dysfunction up-regulates arousal states in animals and humans (22, 29), but how amygdala-linked changes in bodily arousal after decision-making have been largely unexplored. We found that, in intact monkeys, higher HR during the first part of each trial, which we take as a measure of current bodily arousal, was negatively correlated with RTs to choose different rewarded options. We note that although we observed interindividual variability, beta values were negative in all monkeys prior to lesions when the effect of reward size was partialed out. This indicates that bodily arousal broadly facilitates responding under normal circumstances. Notably, this effect did not interact with the size of reward available on each trial, indicating that reward size and bodily arousal have distinct influences on behavior (Fig. 2). Although we recorded HR, we likely would have obtained similar results if we had recorded other measures of bodily arousal such as blood pressure, pupil diameter, or skin conductance responses. This is because these autonomic measures are highly correlated (22, 30).

When bodily arousal increased following bilateral excitotoxic lesions of amygdala, we found that the influence of HR on RTs was altered. We interpret this change in relation to the classic Yerkes-Dodson law (25) whereby increasing levels of arousal become detrimental to performance (24). Here, the increase in bodily arousal after amygdala lesions tended to shift the relationship between HR and RTs toward a positive correlation, meaning that increased HR slowed decisions. We interpret this effect on behavior in terms of bodily arousal, as opposed to reward size or choice direction coding in dACC across the whole population, indicative of a change in information processing. That amygdala lesions caused a specific change in the balance of coding between bodily arousal and reward value decreased in dACC (Fig. 5). Statistically, there was no change in the proportion of neurons coding choice direction. The change in HR coding in dACC was evident at the level of the whole population of recorded neurons as a change of vector angle toward signaling HR, while any changes in OFC were solely a reduction of the vector scalar (Fig. 6). Note that the enhancement of HR signaling in dACC mainly occurred at the population level rather than at the single-cell level; we did not detect a shift in the proportion of neurons coding the interaction between reward value and choice direction and HR (Fig. 5). This result is potentially important, as it speaks to predictive coding accounts of interoceptive awareness (10, 38). Here, the population-level change of interoceptive representations in dACC could reflect a general change in subjective interoceptive sensitivity, which would then lead to excess mismatch between subjective and objective representations in bodily states, which disrupts decision-making.

The change in coding in dACC suggests that the lesions and their associated increase in HR caused a shift in the balance of encoding in dACC across the whole population, indicative of a change in information processing. That amygdala lesions caused a specific change in the balance of coding between bodily arousal and choice direction during the decision period potentially pinpoints where in time bodily arousal influences RTs in particular and decision-making in general (Fig. 2). In this view, increased HR coding in the population of dACC neurons interferes with choice direction coding when animals are choosing between options (Fig. 6), slowing RTs in the period most critical for decision-making.

dACC, Visceromotor Function, and Interception. There are at least three possible accounts, not mutually exclusive, for the changes in dACC encoding of bodily arousal. The altered encoding could 1) simply the direct result of loss of input from the amygdala, 2) be related to dACC directly driving higher states of bodily arousal, or 3) be indicative of altered interoceptive representations of bodily arousal and/or their integration into decision-making.
distinguishing among these alternatives is beyond the scope of the present study. However, we favor the latter two interpretations for the following reasons. First, the part of dACC that we recorded from in the dorsal bank of the cingulate sulcus receives only sparse innervation from amygdala (39). This argues against direct amygdala lesion effects, as does the nontask specific impact of amygdala lesions on HR (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). Second, dACC has been given a role in both active sensing of interoceptive states as well as controlling autonomic arousal (11), likely through connections to hypothalamus (40, 41). Third, direct stimulation of the dACC in humans and macaques causes changes in HR (42, 43). This would appear to argue in favor of increased HR encoding in dACC being the driver of changes in arousal following amygdala lesions, in keeping with dACC as a visceromotor effector brain region. Against this, lesions of dACC in macaques and humans are not always associated with changes in peripheral physiology (11, 44), which argues in favor of dACC representing the current state of bodily arousal. The interoceptive representation in dACC could reflect mechanoreceptive signaling of HR that is carried through sympathetic and parasympathetic afferents, although it could reflect other factors, such as thirst being integrated into subjective valuations (10). In the current study, however, we did not find an interaction between baseline HR and reward history (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), providing more evidence in favor of the idea that the interoceptive representations in dACC are tracking spontaneous fluctuations in HR. Thus, changes in HR coding in dACC may reflect both increased interoceptive representations of bodily arousal as well as visceromotor effectors of bodily arousal.

**Summary.** Our results provide unique insights into how bodily arousal impacts reward-guided decision-making at both behavioral and neural levels. Our data point to interoceptive coding in frontal cortex as the mechanism through which bodily arousal impacts decision-making. This mechanism may underlie a wide array of psychological phenomena and potentially explain the cognitive biases observed in certain psychiatric diseases characterized by persistent hyperarousal (6–8). Although the task used in the current study did not directly test the relationship between choice accuracy and bodily arousal, future studies could tackle this question by using learning or gambling tasks, in which choice options are subjectively valued and therefore choices are likely more susceptible to the interoceptive influence of bodily states.

**Methods**

**Subjects, Task, Surgical Procedures, and Neuronal Recordings.** The full details of the experimental procedures were reported in a previous paper (20). Here, we provide a brief description of the procedures. Three male rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*: monkeys H, N, and V) weighing 8.5, 8.0, and 8.4 kg, respectively, were used in the study. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Animal Care and Use Committee. In the two-choice visually guided task, monkeys had to press and hold a central button and then fixate a central red spot for 500 to 1,500 ms to begin a trial (Fig. 1A). Two visual stimuli (S1 and S2) associated with different amounts of fluid reward (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, or 0.8 ml) were then sequentially presented separated by an interval of 1 s (Fig. 1B). The order and position of the stimuli (right or left of the central spot) were randomized. After a variable delay (0 to 1,500 ms), the central spot brightened (“Go” signal), and the monkeys were required to make a choice by moving their hand to the left or right response button. The amount of reward corresponding to the chosen stimulus was delivered 500 ms later.

Monkeys were implanted with a head restraint device and a recording chamber over the frontal lobe. After the preoperative recordings were completed, MRI-guided bilateral excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala were made in each monkey. Single-unit recordings were performed with tungsten microelectrodes. All OFC recordings were between 27 and 38 mm anterior to the interaural plane (Walker’s areas 11 and 13). dACC neurons were recorded between the anterior tip of the cingulate sulcus (−38 mm) and 24 mm (areas 9 and 24). The recording sites were verified by MRI with electrodes in place and histological analysis of marking lesions, and the extents of the amygdala lesions were estimated by microscopic examination of Nissl-stained brain sections (20).

**ECG Data Acquisition and Preprocessing.** The detailed methods of ECG recording and analysis were described in a previous paper (22). In brief, surface ECG electrodes were placed on the monkey’s back, and leads were placed over the ribcage and scapula. The HR recording was performed throughout behavioral test sessions, concurrently with single-neuron recording. ECG signals were low-pass filtered at 360 Hz and then digitized at 1,000 samples/s. The HR was calculated from the interval between R waves identified using custom software specifically designed for macaque ECG (22).

**Data Analysis.** On each day, single neurons were isolated and activity was recorded for >100 trials before electrodes again were moved and another set of neurons was isolated. This meant that in a single day of recording there were many separate sessions where neurons were isolated. Only recording sessions in which neuronal data and ECG data were collected simultaneously were analyzed here (preoperative: n = 57, 68, 83 sessions, postoperative: n = 31, 130, 52 sessions for monkeys H, N, V, respectively). Within these sessions, analyses focused on “correct trials” where subjects chose the stimulus associated with the greater amount of reward. The RT was defined as the interval from the onset of the “Go” signal until release of the central button. For the HR and neuronal analysis, four analysis epochs were defined as follows: “fixation period” (−1,000 to 0 ms before S1 onset) in which animals keep fixation and neither option has been presented; “decision period” (0 to 1,000 ms after S2 onset) in which both options are revealed and subjects are able to decide which option to choose; “anticipation period” (−1,000 to 0 ms before reward) where subjects have made a choice and are waiting for reward; and “outcome period” (0 to 1,000 ms after reward) in which animals receive the chosen reward amount. Note that the anticipation period will overlap a little with the decision period. Also note that this is different from the 500 ms “expected reward” defined in the previous study (20). Here, RT periods were set to 1,500 ms for consistency.

To examine the change of arousal state outside the task setting, ECG was recorded in “resting” sessions in which monkeys were not engaged in any task and were sitting quietly in the primate chair while electrodes settled prior to recordings (preoperative: n = 1, 34 sessions for monkeys H, V, postoperative: n = 1, 44, 33 sessions for monkeys H, N, V, respectively). The HR during resting sessions (resting HR) was computed in the same manner as the interoceptive decision-making task, and the impact of bilateral amygdala lesions was assessed by one-way repeated-measures ANOVAs with monkey modeled as a random effect.

The baseline HR for each trial was defined as the HR during the fixation period. The continuous value of baseline HR was used for the following analyses. To test the effect of reward size and the amygdala lesions on the baseline HR, we performed two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs. To examine the relationship between the RT, reward size, and HR, we performed a multiple linear-regression analysis as follows:

\[
L = \beta_1 \times R + \beta_2 \times HR + \beta_3 \times R \times HR,
\]

where \(L\), \(R\), \(HR\), and \(R \times HR\) indicate RT, reward size, baseline HR, and interaction of reward size and baseline HR, respectively.

For the neuronal analyses, only correct trials were analyzed. We classified neurons as task-related based on a multiple-regression analysis, as follows:

\[
F = \beta_1 \times R + \beta_2 \times Dir + \beta_3 \times HR,
\]

where \(F\), \(R\), \(Dir\), and \(HR\) represent the firing rate, reward size, choice direction, and baseline HR, respectively. The neurons that showed significant coding of either the reward size, choice direction, or baseline HR during one of the analysis periods (\(P < 0.05\)) were classified as reward-value coding, direction coding, and HR coding neurons, respectively. Significant neurons were further classified as either positive coding (e.g., positive HR coding) or negative coding (e.g., negative HR coding) in accordance with sign of correlation coefficients (\(r\)) during each analysis period. We also performed a sliding-window analysis (100-ms bin, 10-ms step) to the task-related neurons using the same linear-regression model.

The population-coding analysis was performed using the activity from all recorded neurons. First, we calculated the correlation coefficients for the reward size and baseline HR using the Eq. 2 for each analysis period of every recorded neuron. Separate scatter plots were then made for each area and each analysis period before and after amygdala lesions. The ellipse representing 95% CI and its eigenvectors were drawn on each scatter plot. The population coding was then quantified as the scalar and angle of the eigenvectors; the sum of scalars was computed by adding the scalar of long eigenvectors, and the angle to value correlation coefficients for the scalar from the value-coding to the long eigenvector (Fig. 6 A and B). For the statistical comparison, we computed the vector scalar and angle for randomly sampled populations (80% of data) repeatedly (10,000 times). We
then performed receiver operating characteristic analysis and computed the AUC. Our threshold for statistical significance was when the AUC was greater than 0.90.

Data Availability. All behavioral, heart rate, and spike activity data presented in the main text and SI Appendix are part of the previously published data in Rudebeck and colleagues (20). All analyses used standard MATLAB functions and publicly available software indicated in the manuscript (22).
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