DNA methylation is a major epigenetic modification found across species and has a profound impact on many biological processes. However, its influence on chromatin accessibility and higher-order genome organization remains unclear, particularly in plants. Here, we present genome-wide chromatin accessibility profiles of 18 Arabidopsis mutants that are deficient in CG, CHG, or CHH DNA methylation. We find that DNA methylation in all three sequence contexts impacts chromatin accessibility in heterochromatin. Many chromatin regions maintain inaccessibility when DNA methylation is lost in only one or two sequence contexts, and signatures of accessibility are particularly affected when DNA methylation is reduced in all contexts, suggesting an interplay between different types of DNA methylation. In addition, we found that increased chromatin accessibility was not always accompanied by increased transcription, suggesting that DNA methylation can directly impact chromatin structure by other mechanisms. We also observed that an increase in chromatin accessibility was accompanied by enhanced long-range chromatin interactions. Together, these results provide a valuable resource for chromatin architecture and DNA methylation analyses and uncover a pivotal role for methylation in the maintenance of heterochromatin inaccessibility.
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DNA methylation is a conserved epigenetic mark that plays important roles in diverse biological processes, including gene regulation, transposable element (TE) silencing, imprinting, and X chromosome inactivation in eukaryotes. In plants, cytosine methylation occurs in three sequence contexts: CG, CHG, and CHH (where H refers to A, T, or C) (1–3). Methylation is mediated by METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1) (4) and DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLASE 2 (DRM2) (5), orthologs of mammalian DNMT1 and DNMT3, respectively, as well as by two plant-specific DNA methyltransferases, CHROMOMETHYLASE2 (CMT2) (6) and CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) (7). MET1 cooperates with a conserved cofactor VIM to maintain preexisting CG methylation during DNA replication (8), whereas DRM2, CMT3, and CMT2 control the maintenance of non-CG methylation (1). De novo DNA methylation is mediated by the plant-specific RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway that depends on DNA-dependent RNA polymerases, Pol IV and Pol V (1, 9, 10).

Pol IV produces transcripts (P4-RNAs) that are converted into double-stranded RNAs by RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 2 (RDR2). These transcripts are diced into 24-nucleotides (nt) small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) by DICER-LIKE 3 (DCL3) and subsequently loaded into ARGONAUTE 4 (AGO4) or its homologs, AGO6 and AGO9 (11–13). Pol V produces long noncoding RNAs at target sites that pair with AGO4/siRNA complexes (12). Pol V chromatin occupancy requires the DNA-methylation reader proteins SUVH2 and SUVH9 [homologs of SU(VAR)3-9], as well as the DDR complex (13, 14). This latter complex consists of RNA-DIRECTED DNA METHYLATION 1 (RDM1), DEFECTIVE IN MERISTEM SILENCING 3 (DMS3), and DEFECTIVE IN RNA-DIRECTED DNA METHYLATION 1 (DRD1) (15, 16). The RdDM pathway ultimately recruits DRM2 to specific genomic sequences for de novo DNA methylation, as well as maintenance of non-CG methylation (17). Several other factors are involved in the RdDM pathway, though their functions remain less well characterized (18–21).

In eukaryotic organisms, genomic DNA forms chromatin, condensed arrangements of nucleoprotein complexes. The basic unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which consists of ~147 base pair (bp) of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer composed of one H3/H4 tetramer and two H2A/H2B dimers (22). DNA methylation is preferentially distributed over nucleosome regions and is less enriched over flanking nucleosome-depleted DNA, suggesting a link between nucleosome positioning and DNA methylation (23). Furthermore, DNA methylation has an important role for methylation in the maintenance of heterochromatin inaccessibility.

Significance

Plant DNA methylation, which occurs in three sequence contexts (CG, CHG, and CHH, where H refers to A, T, or C), is established and maintained by different mechanisms. In this study, we present genome-wide chromatin accessibility profiles of Arabidopsis mutants that are deficient in CG, CHG, and/or CHH methylation. Through a combination of DNA methylation, chromatin accessibility, and higher-order chromosome conformation profiling of these mutants, we uncover links between DNA methylation, chromatin accessibility, and 3D genome architecture. These results reveal the interplay between CG and non-CG methylation in heterochromatin maintenance and suggest that DNA methylation can directly impact chromatin structure.
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important role in heterochromatin condensation and silencing (24). Indeed, mutations in both MET1 and the nucleosome remodeler DDM1 (DECREASE IN DNA METHYLATION 1) result in genome-wide loss of CG methylation, accompanied by visible chromatin decondensation at chromocenters, suggesting a connection between DNA methylation and chromatin compaction (25). However, whether CG, CHG, and CHH methylations have distinct relationships with chromatin accessibility, how they further affect the three-dimensional (3D) architecture of the genome, and the relationship between DNA methylation, chromatin accessibility, and transcription are unclear.

In Arabidopsis, various well-characterized DNA methylation mutants exist that show different levels or types of DNA methylation deficiencies (25). These mutants provide an excellent opportunity to explore the effects of different DNA methylation contexts on chromatin accessibility. Here, we profiled chromatin accessibility in 18 DNA methylation mutants, including met1, ddm1, fwa, nrpd1, nrpe1, nrpe1 nrpd1, dms3, dmr1 dmr2, cmt2, cmt3, cmt2 cmt3, dmr1 dmr2 cmt2 cmt3 (ddc), dms3, idn2, idn2 idl1 idl2, suvr2, ago4, and frg1 frg2. These mutants decrease methylation in different sequence contexts, with met1 leading to loss of almost all CG methylation, cmt3 reducing CHG methylation, cmt2 impacting CHH methylation, and dmr1 dmr2 cmt2 cmt3 losing virtually all CHG and CHH methylation. The fwa lines was derived from crossing a met1 homozygous mutant with wild type and selecting for a plant homozygous for wild-type MET1 alleles in the F2 population. This line has a chimeric genomic methylation pattern showing methylation losses at FWA and many other loci but has a wild-type methylation machinery (15), allowing the analysis of differentially methylated regions of the genome without the complication of possible indirect effects of mutations in DNA methylation machinery components. The other mutants impact the RdDM pathway and show decreases in DNA methylation to different degrees. Using a combination of DNA methylation profiling, chromatin accessibility profiling, and higher-order chromosome conformation profiling of these mutants, we find links between these parameters in Arabidopsis chromatin. This comprehensive characterization reveals the interplay of CG and non-CG methylation in chromatin accessibility.

Results and Discussion

Genome-Wide Chromatin Accessibility Profiles of DNA Methylation-Deficient Mutants. To investigate the relationship between DNA methylation and chromatin accessibility, we performed assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) (26) with wild-type Col-0 floral buds of Arabidopsis. We first assessed the correlation of chromatin accessibility and DNA methylation by plotting CG, CHG, and CHH methylation and chromatin accessibility levels over chromosomes divided into 100 kilobase (kb) bins. As was previously reported, we observed that genome-wide accessible chromatin was enriched at euchromatin and depleted at heterochromatin, while CG, CHG, and CHH methylations are enriched at euchromatin and depleted at euchromatin (Fig. 1A) (27). Using HMMR/ATAC (28), we defined 40,164 open chromatin peaks in wild type. We plotted CG, CHG, and CHH methylation levels over the summit of open chromatin peaks, including 1 kb of flanking sequence, and observed a gradual depletion of DNA methylation in all sequence contexts near open chromatin peaks (Fig. 1B). A higher-resolution (1 kb bins) correlation analysis also indicated that chromatin accessibility is anticorrelated with CG, CHG, and CHH methylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This anticorrelation is also clearly evident at the level of individual genes and transposons (example genome browser view in Fig. 1C). In summary, these results show that chromatin accessibility anticorrelates with DNA methylation, as has been seen in previous studies (27, 29).

To examine the relationship between different DNA methylation sequence contexts and chromatin accessibility, we conducted ATAC-seq in floral tissues of 18 representative backgrounds that are deficient in methylation in one or more sequence contexts (CG, CHG, and CHH), namely, met1, ddm1, fwa, nrpd1, nrpe1, nrpe1 nrpd1, dms3, dmr1 dmr2 cmt2, cmt3, cmt2 cmt3, dmr1 dmr2 cmt2 cmt3, dmr3, idn2, idn2 idl1 idl2, suvr2, ago4, and frg1 frg2. To quantify chromatin accessibility changes, accessibility differences relative to wild type (fold change > 2 and P value < 0.05) were computed by comparing chromatin accessibility signals in each mutant with the wild-type control in a merged open chromatin peak dataset containing Col-0 and the 18 mutants (58,446 total peaks). We identified between 69 and 4,188 more highly accessible regions (hereafter referred to as HARs) and between 21 and 2,181 less accessible regions (hereafter referred to as LARs) in individual mutants compared with Col-0 (Fig. 1D). In total, we identified 8,079 peaks that are more accessible and 4,862 peaks that are less accessible in at least one mutant. The length of the majority of HARs and LARs were smaller than 500 bp, suggesting that DNA methylation affects chromatin accessibility of only a few nucleosomes in a region (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The total number of open chromatin peaks (58,446 from all samples vs. 40,164 from Col-0) indicates that DNA methylation has a profound effect on genome-wide chromatin accessibility. We determined the chromosomal distributions of the differential peaks and found that 3,543 (43.85%) of HARs are located in heterochromatin regions, while the majority of LARs, 4,439 (89.24%), are located in euchromatin regions (Fig. 1E and F). We identify the relationship between DNA methylation changes and chromatin accessibility changes using K-means clustering. This method classified the number of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) and differentially accessible peaks. In general, we observed that backgrounds with reduced CG methylation, such as met1, fwa, and ddm1, exhibited the most dramatic impact on chromatin accessibility (Pearson correlation R = 0.84, P value = 1.6E-5, Fig. 1D and E). Mutants impacting CHG methylation, which include cmt3, dmr1 dmr2 cmt2 cmt3, and cmt2 cmt3, had a moderate impact on chromatin accessibility profiles, while cmt2 and RdDM mutants, including nrpe1 nrpd1, nrpe1, nrpe1 nrpd1, dms3, idn2, idn2 idl1 idl2, suvr2, ago4, and frg1 frg2, had the least impact on chromatin accessibility (Pearson correlation R = 0.24, P value = 0.33, Fig. 1D and E). To assess the landscape of chromatin accessibility in these mutants, we plotted chromatin accessibility variation in bins of 100 kb along chromosomes, finding that most chromatin accessibility signal changes in these mutants occurred in heterochromatin regions (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

Relationships between DNA Methylation and Chromatin Accessibility Changes. In plants, CG methylation tends to be found within the transcribed gene bodies of particular genes, while CG, CHG, and CHH methylations are found together at RdDM sites, TEs, and heterochromatin regions (30). Previous studies have shown that MET1 deficiency leads to genome-wide CG methylation loss and partial non-CG methylation loss (25). Interestingly, most met1 CG DMRs, especially those localized within gene bodies, showed no change or even showed decreased chromatin accessibility profiles, indicating that other factors may contribute to the maintenance of chromatin accessibility at these regions (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). In agreement with previous studies, we detected an increase in CHG methylation over gene bodies in met1 (31) and also found that regions that gained CHG methylation became less accessible in met1 mutants (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B–E). To distinguish CG DMRs that affect chromatin accessibility from those that do not, we analyzed met1 ATAC-seq data using K-means clustering. This method classified met1 CG hypo-DMRs into three clusters (cluster 1: more accessible cluster, cluster 2: unchanged cluster, cluster 3: less accessible cluster) (Fig. 2A). Cluster 1 contained 4.5% (1,418 out of the 31,576) of CG DMRs that showed increased chromatin accessibility in met1 mutants (Fig. 2A). The majority of cluster 1 CG DMRs were found in heterochromatin regions, while cluster 3 DMRs tended to be at genic regions, and cluster 2 DMRs were seen in both
Distribution of HARs and LARs in euchromatin (Euc) and heterochromatin (Het) regions. (A) Number of differential peaks (DE; P value < 0.05, fold change > 2) in 18 mutants compared with wild type. (B) Distribution of HARs and LARs in euchromatin (Euc) and heterochromatin (Het) regions. (C) Number of high-confidence CG, CHG, and CHH hypo-DMRs (hcDMR) in mutants.

regions (Fig. 2 B–D). Interestingly, in addition to the loss of CG methylation at cluster 1 accessible regions, we found that cluster 1 CG DMRs showed higher overlap with \textit{met1} CHG and CHH hypo-DMRs, indicating that cluster 1 CG hypo-DMRs are also non-CG hypo-DMRs (Fig. 2 D). Consistent with this result, metaplots of DNA methylation over the three DMR clusters revealed that in addition to CG methylation loss, cluster 1 regions also completely or partially lost CHG and CHH methylation (Fig. 2 E–G). The simultaneous reduction of CG, CHG, and CHH methylation in cluster 1 CG DMRs, where chromatin accessibility is increased, suggested an interplay of CG and non-CG methylation in chromatin accessibility. Similarly, we observed that overlapped regions of CG and non-CG hypo-DMRs in \textit{met1} and \textit{ddm1} have increased chromatin accessibility (Fig. 2 J and K). Metaplots of DNA methylation in \textit{drm1} \textit{drm2} cmt2 cmt3, cmt2 cmt3, cmt3, cmt3, ago4, nrdp1, nrdp1, cmt2, dnm3, idn2, idn2, idn2, idn2, idl1, idl1, idl1, idl1, idl1, idl1, suvr2, and frgl frg2 mutants also indicated that both CG and non-CG methylation were reduced over more accessible regions (\textit{SI Appendix}, Fig. S5). The converse analysis showed a similar trend, where the ATAC-seq signal over CG, CHG, and CHH hypo-DMRs in these mutants showed that CG, CHG, and CHH hypo-DMRs became more accessible (\textit{SI Appendix}, Fig. S6). Consistent with previous studies showing the overlap of different hypo-DMRs in different mutants, HARs and LARs also showed consistent overlaps (\textit{SI Appendix}, Fig. S7) (25, 32). For example, strong RdDM mutants form a cluster, while weak RdDM mutants also cluster (\textit{SI Appendix}, Fig. S7). Overall, these results suggest that DNA methylation plays an important role in chromatin accessibility via an interplay or redundancy of CG and non-CG methylation.

To further explore the interplay of CG and non-CG methylations on chromatin accessibility, we next compared \textit{met1}, \textit{ddm1}, and \textit{ddm1} \textit{drm1} cmt2 cmt3 (hereafter termed \textit{ddcc}) in detail since these mutants showed the most dramatic changes in chromatin accessibility. Genome-wide chromatin accessibility analysis indicated that these three mutants exhibited similar patterns of increased chromatin accessibility within heterochromatin regions, though \textit{ddcc} showed a smaller overall effect (Fig. 3 D). Venn diagram analysis of the accessible peaks showed a high degree of overlap between the HARs in \textit{met1}, \textit{ddm1}, and \textit{ddcc} mutants.
Fig. 2. ATAC-seq profile of met1 CG DMRs. (A) K-means clustering of met1 CG hypo-DMRs into three clusters. (B) Overlap of the three met1 CG hypo-DMR clusters with Euc and Het regions. (C) Overlap of the three met1 CG hypo-DMR clusters with TE and non-TE regions. (D) Overlap of the three clusters with genic and nongenic regions. (E) Overlap of the three met1 CG hypo-DMR clusters with met1 CHG hypo-DMRs and non-CHG hypo-DMRs. (F) Overlap of the three met1 CG hypo-DMR clusters with met1 CHH hypo-DMRs and non-CHH hypo-DMRs. Metaplot of CG (G), CHG (H), and CHH (I) methylation in the three met1 CG hypo-DMR clusters. Increase in chromatin accessibility in regions of overlapping CG and non-CG hypo-DMRs in met1 (J) and ddm1 (K). n = 3,510 and 6,053, respectively. WT represents wild-type Col-0.
(Fig. 3B). Consistent with this trend, the HARs in the met1 mutant exhibited a decrease in CG and non-CG methylation at these regions in all three mutant backgrounds (Fig. 3 C–F).

fwa is a background with wild-type methylation machinery but heritably reduced DNA methylation at many genomic regions and was created by crossing met1 with Col-0 and then selecting for the wild-type MET1 alleles (33). As expected, fwa DNA methylation levels were less affected than in met1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S8); however, fwa did display dramatic changes in chromatin accessibility when compared with Col-0 (Fig. 1 D and G). We found that chromatin accessibility and DNA methylation of most euchromatin regions in the fwa epiallele were comparable to Col-0, while heterochromatin regions showed lower DNA methylation and chromatin accessibility states than wild type (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S8 D–F). HARs showed high overlap between met1 and fwa (Fig. 4B). Metaplots of DNA methylation over fwa HARs showed that these regions have lower CG and CHG than Col-0 (Fig. 4 C–E). Chromatin accessibility was restored to wild-type levels only when CG and non-CG methylations were both comparable to Col-0 (Fig. 4F). Loss of CG and CHH methylations at the short repeats in the FWA promoter creates heritable fwa epialleles which show ectopic FWA expression and a late flowering phenotype (33).

Inspection of these short repeats revealed that both met1 and fwa showed a small, accessible peak which is inaccessible in wild type (Fig. 4G). We hypothesized that this small, accessible peak should become less accessible if DNA methylation at this region is reestablished. To test this hypothesis, we conducted ATAC-seq in an artificial zinc finger DMS3 (ZF-DMS3) transgenic plant line that targets DNA methylation to the short repeat region at the FWA locus (9). Indeed, we found that when the short repeat region regained CG and CHH methylations, it became inaccessible (Fig. 4G). In conclusion, these data further support the hypothesis that CG and non-CG methylations promote chromatin inaccessibility.

Increased Chromatin Accessibility Is Frequently Not Associated with Transcription Changes. Given that increased chromatin accessibility is associated with loss of DNA methylation in many transcriptionally silent heterochromatin regions, a possibility is that increased accessibility is solely due to increases in transcription. To test this, we assessed whether the increased chromatin accessibility was correlated with an increase in transcription by analyzing RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and small RNA-seq.

---

**Fig. 3.** Connection between DNA methylation and chromatin accessibility. (A) Genome-wide pattern of chromatin accessibility variation in met1, ddm1, and dccc. Variation in ATAC-seq signal (log2 mutant vs. Col-0) is depicted on the y axis. The box in each chromosome represents the pericentromeric heterochromatin region. (B) Overlap of peaks with more accessibility identified by ATAC-seq in met1, ddm1, and dccc. (C) Screenshot showing simultaneous reduction of CG, CHG, and CHH methylation in peaks with more accessibility in met1, ddm1, and dccc. Average distribution of CG (D), CHG (E), and CHH (F) methylation over peaks with more accessibility in met1.
profiles at HARs, including 1 kb of flanking sequence, in met1 and Col-0. We observed that the 4,188 HARs in met1 could be classified into three groups based on the expression level of the locus affected (Fig. 5 A–C). For group 1 (n = 1,200), increased chromatin accessibility did not impact expression or siRNA levels, and this group already had high expression levels in wild-type plants.
For group 2 (n = 1,600), increased chromatin accessibility was accompanied by derepression of mRNA expression in met1 mutants. Small RNA-seq metaplots indicated that the derepression of group 2 was also accompanied by an increase in 21 nt small RNAs, suggesting that RDR6-mediated RNA interference was active in these regions (Fig. 5 A–F) (34). Most interestingly, group 3 (n = 1,388) loci showed no expression in either wild type or met1, and there was no increase in siRNA levels at these loci (Fig. 5A–F). Instead, we observed that 21 nt, 22 nt, and 24 nt siRNAs were actually decreased in group 3 HARs, likely because RdDM activity is reduced at these sites (Fig. 5D–F and SI Appendix, Fig. S9).

We also examined the relationship between chromatin accessibility increases and transcriptional changes at annotated TEs, which are frequently up-regulated in met1 (35). A heat map of RNA-seq data indicated that some of the derepressed TEs in met1 gained open chromatin peaks (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). However, only 53% of HARs associated with TEs exhibited transcriptional up-regulation, while 36% remained unexpressed (Fig. 5G and H). We also examined which families of TEs were enriched in silenced (no expression change, SI Appendix, Table S1) or derepressed (fold expression change > 2, SI Appendix, Table S2) TEs (Fig. 5H) for TEs overlapping with HARs. This showed a strong enrichment for a few families of TEs, including AtCOPIA38A and AtGP2N, showing transcriptional derepression, while many different families, with little enrichment for any particular TE families, showed no expression change. Thus, many types of TEs gain chromatin accessibility in met1 but are not transcriptionally up-regulated.

Increased Chromatin Accessibility Is Associated with Changes in 3D Genome Architecture. To test whether increased chromatin accessibility is associated with chromosome conformation variation, we performed high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) sequencing in representative mutants that display different levels of DNA methylation loss, including cmt2, cmt3, and ddcc, and combined this analysis with previously published Hi-C data for met1, cmt3, and ddm1 (36). Consistent with previous analyses, met1 and ddm1 showed similar patterns of chromosome conformation changes in heterochromatin regions from our reanalysis (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Additionally, we observed that cmt3, cmt2 cmt3, and ddcc mutants showed similar patterns of chromosome conformation changes in heterochromatin regions, though not as dramatically as those in met1 and ddm1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). This result is consistent with the ATAC-seq changes in these mutants and indicates that CG methylation loss has a stronger impact on heterochromatin accessibility than non-CG methylation loss (Fig. 1D and G). When comparing Hi-C and ATAC-seq data at higher resolution, we observed that the conformation variations detected by Hi-C were highly correlated with the chromatin accessibility variations detected by ATAC-seq, suggesting that the chromatin accessibility changes are accompanied by redistribution of chromatin interactions (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). For example, we observed that

![Fig. 5. Chromatin accessibility and transcription. (A) Metaplot of ATAC-seq data over three groups of met1 HARs (n = 4,188). (B) Expression level of three groups of met1 HARs in Col-0. (C) Expression level of three groups of met1 HARs in met1. (D) The 21 nt siRNA variation over three groups of met1 HARs. (E) The 22 nt siRNA variation over three groups of met1 HARs. (F) The 24 nt siRNA variation over three groups of met1 HARs. (G) Screenshot showing a TE with increased chromatin accessibility but no expression in met1. (H) Expression fold change of TEs with HARs in met1 (n = 6,302).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023347118)
chromosome 5 exhibited more accessibility in heterochromatin regions in met1, and these regions showed more long-range interactions in Hi-C data (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, a comparison of the first principal component (PC1) values between Col-0 and met1 suggested that chromatin accessibility increases were associated with the conversion of regions from the inactive compartment to the active compartment in met1 (Fig. 6B). By closely inspecting heterochromatin regions, it was apparent that HARs in met1 showed increased interactions (Fig. 6C). This was also true for HARs that showed no up-regulation of transcription in met1 (Fig. 6D), suggesting that loss of methylation has a direct impact on chromatin accessibility and 3D genome organization.

Conclusion

Our profiling of 18 different DNA methylation mutants showed that reduction of DNA methylation caused increases in chromatin accessibility. We also found that chromatin accessibility increases were accompanied by local changes in chromosome conformation profiles with an increase of long-range chromatin interactions. CG methylation loss led to the most significant effect on chromatin accessibility, as observed in met1, fwa, and ddm1 backgrounds. In some cases, however, we also observed decreases in accessibility; for instance, we found that although gene body CG methylation is lost in met1 mutants, these regions became less accessible, likely due to increased CHG methylation.

Interestingly, we found that chromatin accessibility increases were not always associated with transcriptional derepression. For instance, we found large increases in chromatin accessibility at some TE sequences that were not associated with any transcription. These regions also showed increases in 3D chromatin interactions from Hi-C data. This suggests that DNA methylation, in addition to its role in regulating transcription, has a separate effect on chromatin accessibility and 3D genome architecture (see model in SI Appendix, Fig. S13). While we do not understand the mechanisms at play, it seems possible that methylation might recruit chromatin modifiers and/or nucleosome remodelers that directly impact the association of DNA with nucleosomes and thus affect DNA accessibility. This is consistent with findings in both plants and animals that DNA methylation regions have a higher level of nucleosome occupancy (23, 37–40). DNA methylation likely also regulates the binding of transcription factors and other DNA binding proteins to DNA, which likely contributes to chromatin accessibility changes.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials. All Arabidopsis plants used in this study were of the Col-0 ecotype and were grown at 22 °C under long-day conditions (16 h light, 8 h dark). The following Arabidopsis mutant lines were used: met1-3 (CS16394) (41), ddm1-2 (seventh-generation inbred) (42), fwa-4 epiallele (15), nrpe1 nrpe1-12 (SALK_083051) and nrpe1-11 (SALK_029919) (43), nrpe1-4 (SALK_083951) (44), nrpe1-12 (SALK_033852), cmt2 cmt3 (crossing

---

**Fig. 6.** Chromosome conformation signal redistribution in met1. (A) Redistribution of chromosome conformation in chromosome 5 in met1 related to chromatin accessibility variation. Red indicates level of Hi-C or ATAC-seq is higher in met1. Blue indicates level of Hi-C or ATAC-seq is lower in met1. (B) Heat map showing Hi-C variation of met1 in 100 kb resolution from 8 Mb to 13 Mb on chromosome 5. Tracks represent PC1 value in Col-0, PC1 value in met1, and ATAC-seq signal changes in met1 vs. Col-0. (C) Screenshot showing derepression of TE, increase of chromatin accessibility, and gain of long-range interactions in met1. (D) Screenshot showing increase of chromatin accessibility and gain of long-range interactions in met1.
Hi-C and Analysis. Hi-C libraries were prepared according to previous protocols (36, 50). Previously published Hi-C data prepared by the same protocol were downloaded from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) as accession number SRP043612 (36). Paired-end Hi-C reads were aligned to TAIR10 with Hi-C pro (version 2.11.1) (51). The whole-genome Hi-C heat map was converted with Juicer tools (version 1.13.02) and visualized with Juicebox (version 1.11.08) (52). Hi-C loops were called with analyzeHiC in Homer2 with 200 bp resolution and P value < 1E-10 (53). The WashU Epigenome Browser version 46.2 (https://epgg-test.wustl.edu/browser/) was used to visualize Hi-C loops and ATAC-seq data (54). PC1 values of Hi-C data were calculated with Homer2 (53). Regional Hi-C visualization was performed by hieplexor (version 3.4.3) (55).

met1 RNA-Seq and Small RNA-Seq Analysis. RNA-seq reads were downloaded from the NCBI GEO as accession number GSE35854 (35). Cleaned short reads were aligned to reference genome TAIR10 by Bowtie2 (56), and expression abundance was calculated by RSEM with default parameters (57). Heat maps were visualized with the R package heatmap (58). TEs that were located within an accessible peak or in the 1,000 bp sequence flanking each side of a peak were defined as accessible peak associated TEs. For small RNA-Seq analysis, small RNA-seq reads were downloaded from the same study (35). Adapter sequence was trimmed with cutadapt (version 2.5), and trimmed reads were mapped to the reference genome TAIR10 using Bowtie2 (version 1.2.3) with only one unique hit (–m 1) and zero mismatches (–v 0) (59).

Data Availability. The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GEO database (accession no. GSE155503).
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