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ABSTRACT We have identified important points of contact between the lac repressor and the lac operator by crosslinking the repressor to bromouracil-substituted operator. We substituted bromouracils for thymines in a 55-base-long restriction fragment containing the lac operator and labeled one or the other 5' end with 32P. Ultraviolet irradiation of this fragment produced single-strand breaks at the bromouracils. We examined breakage at each bromouracil in the sequence by denaturing the DNA and displaying the UV-generated fragments on a polyacrylamide gel. In the presence of lac repressor, UV radiation failed to break at specific sites. We attribute this to a competing reaction in which the DNA crosslinks to the repressor rather than breaking. These crosslinkable sites thus define positions at which the lac repressor protein lies close to the methyl group of a thymine in the major groove of DNA.

How do macromolecules recognize each other? When two large molecules interact, groups on each make close contact. If we introduce short crosslinks into this complex, they will bind together regions that neighbor each other; the positions of these crosslinks thus will identify points of contact. Consider control proteins that interact with DNA. Irradiation with UV light can induce zero-range crosslinks between these proteins and 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd)-substituted DNA (1–3). Here we shall describe an experiment that identifies the position of such UV-induced crosslinks on a DNA molecule.

When bromine is substituted for the methyl group of thymine, by incorporating bromouracil into DNA, the DNA remains functional, in vivo and in vitro, although some changes occur in the binding of proteins. For example, Lin and Riggs (4) observed that the lac repressor binds 10-fold more tightly to bromouracil-substituted lac operator than to unsubstituted operator. Irradiation of substituted DNA with UV light displaces the bromine and generates a free radical at the 5-position on the deoxyuridine. If a protein, bound to this DNA, has an appropriate amino acid side chain close to the free radical, a covalent crosslink between the protein and the DNA can form (1–3). This zero-range crosslink obviously identifies a close contact between the protein and its binding site on DNA. In the absence of a reactive group, the light-induced free radical on deoxyuridine usually extracts a hydrogen atom from the neighboring sugar on the 5' side; that sugar then decomposes to give a single-strand break and a 5'-terminal deoxyuridine (1). We use this ability to break BrdUrd-substituted DNA with UV light to identify the positions of the bromouracils in a DNA sequence. A bound protein quenches the breakage of DNA as crosslinks are formed; this identifies the points of crosslinking.

We isolate a 55-base-long double-stranded DNA fragment that contains the lac operator from BrdUrd-substituted DNA and label the 5' end of one strand only with 32P. This can be done because the fragment has been cut out of a longer DNA molecule by two different restriction enzymes. We irradiate this DNA fragment with UV light, denature it in alkali, and electrophorese the strands on a polyacrylamide gel. The autoradiograph of this gel shows a pattern of lengths corresponding to breaks at the BrdUrd in the labeled strand of the fragment. If we irradiate this fragment in the presence of the lac repressor, at those points at which the protein is close to a bromouracil, the free radical can interact with the protein rather than breaking the DNA. Electrophoresis and autoradiography then show a decrease in the intensity of specific bands corresponding to some of the bromouracils. Associated with this suppression of breakage is the appearance of radioactivity at the top of the gel. We conclude that these effects are due to the formation of crosslinks between repressor and DNA at the suppressed sites. Thus, we identify those thymines in the operator whose methyl groups are close to the repressor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

lac repressor was purified from strain M96 by previously published procedures (5). Hpa II was prepared by the method of Sharp et al. (6). Alu I and EcoRI were gifts from U. Siebenlist and M. Pasek, respectively. Hpa II and Alu I digestion were carried out at 37° in 10 mM Tris/10 mM MgCl2/1 mM dithiothreitol/1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4. EcoRI digestions were carried out at 37° in 0.1 M Tris/50 mM NaCl/5 mM MgCl2/1 mM dithiothreitol/0.2% Triton X-100, pH 7.5.

BrdUrd-Substituted lac Operator-Containing DNA. The DNA was labeled with 32P in the top (bottom) strand by first cutting a 203-base-pair-long restriction fragment containing the lac control region (7) with Hpa II (Alu I), treating with Escherichia coli alkaline phosphatase (Worthington, BAPF), labeling the 5' ends (8), and recutting with Alu I (Hap II). The final mixture was separated on a polyacrylamide gel (8% acrylamide, 0.27% bisacrylamide, 50 mM Tris-borate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.3), and the 55-base-long operator fragment labeled in the top or bottom strand was cut out and eluted (8).

The 203 Base-Pair Fragment. This was isolated from a recombinant plasmid that was constructed by F. Fuller, using methods described in ref. 9. P1 level contamination was used in experiments involving this plasmid. The plasmid was placed in a thymine-requiring host (FMA10 from F. Ausubel; W3102, r–, Tdr–). Cells were grown in M9 plus casamino acids (10) supplemented with thymidine (2 μg/ml). At OD550 of 1.0–1.2, chloramphenicol (35 mg/ml in ethanol) and BrdUrd (10 mg/ml in water) were added to a final concentration of 170 μg/ml and 20 μg/ml, respectively. Incubation at 37° was continued overnight. Cells were harvested and the BrdUrd-substituted plasmid DNA was extracted by the method of Guerry et al.
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The plasmid-containing supernatant was precipitated by adding 0.6 volume of isopropanol or 0.75 volume of 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG) (12). After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in 10 mM Tris/1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 (2–3 ml per liter of cells). Solid cesium chloride was added (1.85 g/ml of DNA solution) and the solution was centrifuged at 38,000 rpm for 40 hr at 15° in a Beckman type 40 rotor. The bottom of the centrifuge tube was punctured and fractions were collected. Aliquots of each fraction were assayed on a 1% agarose gel in E buffer (13). Plasmid-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed for 1 hr against 0.5 M ammonium acetate, and the DNA was precipitated with ethanol (8). The pellet was rinsed with 80% ethanol and redissolved in 10 mM Tris/1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 (TE buffer) to a concentration of about 1 mg/ml. At this point, the plasmid was either cut with EcoRI or first reprecipitated in the cold with 5.5% PEG (12) to remove contaminating RNA and redissolved in TE buffer.

Cutting with EcoRI releases the 203-base-pair fragment and leaves the rest of the plasmid intact. After cutting, the DNA was precipitated with ethanol and redissolved in TE buffer to a concentration of about 1 mg/ml. The solution was made 4.5% in PEG and 0.5 M in NaCl and allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. Under these conditions, most of the plasmid DNA precipitates while about 90% of the 203-base-pair fragment stays in solution. The mixture was spun in a clinical centrifuge at room temperature and the supernatant was decanted. The 203-base-pair fragment in the PEG supernatant was precipitated with ethanol. The PEG pellet was resuspended and reprecipitated with 4.5% PEG to recover the rest of the fragment. The DNA in the second supernatant was precipitated with ethanol and combined with the material from the first PEG precipitation.

The combined material from the fractional PEG precipitations was run on a preparative polyacrylamide gel (8% acrylamide, 0.27% bisacrylamide in Tris-borate/EDTA as described above). Loading of the gel did not exceed 0.3 mg of DNA per cm² of cross-sectional area in the sample well. The DNA was visualized by supporting the gel on SaranWrap, placing it on a fluorescent silica gel plate, and illuminating with UV light (14). Minimum background absorption is observed when the gel is aged for 24 hr. The 203-base-pair fragment was eluted from the gel (8), precipitated with ethanol, and resuspended in TE buffer. The yield of this fragment is generally about 15 ng/liter of cells. We estimate (15) that the fragment is 50% substituted with BrdUrd.

UV Irradiation. This procedure was carried out with a germicidal lamp positioned about 1.5 cm above a 20-μl sample placed on a sheet of Parafilm. Reactions were carried out in binding buffer (10 mM Tris/10 mM magnesium acetate/10 mM KCl/0.1 mM EDTA/0.1 mM dithiothreitol/5% dimethyl sulfoxide/bovine serum albumin (50 μg/ml), pH 7.4) (16). After irradiation, 1 μg of sonicated calf thymus DNA in 20 μl of 5 M ammonium acetate was added to each sample. The DNA was precipitated with ethanol, washed, dried, resuspended in electrophoresis sample buffer (0.05 M NaOH/0.5 mM EDTA/5 M urea/0.025% xylene cyanol/0.025% bromophenol blue), and run on a "sequencing gel"; the gel was autoradiographed (see ref. 8 for detailed procedure).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows the sequence of the 55-base-long fragment that spans the distance between an Alu I (from Arthrobacter luteus) cut and a Hpa II (from Haemophilus parainfluenzae) cut. We incorporated BrdUrd biosynthetically by growing this DNA in the presence of BrdUrd. The DNA fragment was labeled in
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**Fig. 3. lac operator sequence.** There are two hypenated symmetry regions on the DNA extending over 35 base pairs. The positions of the α^c^ mutations that have been sequenced (7) and the positions at which the lac repressor inhibits or enhances DNA methylation (17) are shown. The positions of the thymines that crosslink are shown in bold face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α^c^ mutations</th>
<th>A TGTTA C T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T ACAAT G A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The top strand by first cutting with the Hpa II enzyme, labeling with ^32^P with polynucleotide kinase, and then cutting secondarily with the Alu I enzyme. The bottom strand was labeled by cutting with Alu I, labeling, and then cutting with Hpa II.

Irradiation with a germicidal lamp for 1–2 min breaks the DNA at the positions of each of the bromouracils. This amount of irradiation produces fewer than one break per DNA molecule. Fig. 2 shows an autoradiograph of irradiated DNA, denatured in alkali and electrophoresed on a 20% polyacrylamide gel in 7 M urea. Each band represents breakage at a bromouracil in the labeled strand. The positions of the BrdUrs can be determined by comparison either with the known sequence of this DNA or with a parallel display of this DNA broken by our chemical sequencing method (8). The fragments broken by UV light are shorter by one base than those broken chemically because UV breakage is at the sugar 5' to the BrdUrd while chemical breakage occurs at the sugar attached to the bromouracil. The breakage is not uniform: the molecule breaks more readily at some bromouracils than at others. The effect is primarily sequence-dependent (unpublished data); we do not know if this is a consequence of the local structure of DNA influencing the breakage or changing the target size for the UV hit.

Fig. 2 also shows the result of the crosslinking experiment. When the irradiation was carried out in the presence of repressor, certain bands lost intensity in the UV-induced breakage pattern. Label also appeared at the top of the gel; we believe that this is due to crosslinking to the protein, rendering the labeled DNA immobile in the gel. Thus, the TGTGTTGGAATTGTGAGC GGATACAACATTTTCACAACA

ACACACCTTAACCACCTCGCCATTTGTTAAAGGTGTG

FIG. 3. lac operator sequence. There are two hypenated symmetry regions on the DNA extending over 35 base pairs. The positions of the α^c^ mutations that have been sequenced (7) and the positions at which the lac repressor inhibits or enhances DNA methylation (17) are shown. The positions of the thymines that crosslink are shown in bold face.

The positions of the thymines that crosslink are shown in bold face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crosslinked T's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGTGTTGGAATTGTGAGC GGATACAACATTTTCACAACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACACACCTTAACCACCTCGCCATTTGTTAAAGGTGTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This experiment suggests that there are regions on DNA at which the repressor lies in the major groove. Fig. 3 shows points of contact revealed in a dimethyl sulfate methylation experiment (17); these experiments agree in delineating the same region of DNA as an area of contact. Both experiments suggest points of contact in the major groove, but the contacts do not intersperse in detail in a simple fashion: the experiments suggest that the repressor protein does not completely fill the major groove.

The situation that we see on the lac operator is entirely different from that seen in the interaction of the lac repressor with alternating poly(dA,T). In that case, two lines of experiments suggest that the contacts are made in the major groove. Richmond and Steitz (21) filled the major groove of a d(A,T) analog, d(A,U–HgX), with various sulfhydryl reagents bound to the
-mercuries. That blockage of the groove had no effect on the binding of the repressor. Second, we expect that the binding of the repressor on alternating d(A,T) mimics the background nonspecific binding of the repressor to non-operator-containing DNA. Kolchinsky et al. (22) have argued, based on methylation experiments, that the background binding involves the minor groove rather than the major groove. Furthermore, we do not observe crosslinking of the repressor to alternating poly[d(A-BrdUrd)]. Thus, we infer again that the binding of the repressor to poly[d(A,T)] does not involve the major groove and second, that the free radical does not migrate to the minor groove.

It is unlikely that our results simply reflect properties peculiar to BrdUrd-substituted lac operator. The mechanism of repressor-operator recognition must be basically the same because the pattern of enhancement and inhibition of purine methylation (17) is identical for thymine and bromouracil-substituted operators. Furthermore, while the affinity of repressor for substituted operator is 10-fold greater than for unsubstituted operator, this increase is also observed when comparing repressor binding to poly[d(A,T)] and poly[d(A-BrdUrd)] (23). Therefore, the increased affinity for BrdUrd operator probably involves changes in minor-groove contacts and is most likely a nonspecific effect.

The failure of the crosslinking at some of the thymines does not prove that the protein does not closely touch those points but only that there is no appropriately reactive amino acid in the protein close enough to that group on the DNA. These experiments thus reveal only some of the points of contact between the protein and the major groove of DNA.

We thank Judy Howard for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM21514-3. W.G. is an American Cancer Society Professor of Molecular Biology.