Light-generated nuclear quantum beats: A signature of photosynthesis
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ABSTRACT Light-induced radical pairs in deuterated and deuterated plus 15N-substituted Synechococcus lividus cyanobacteria have been studied by transient EPR following pulsed laser excitation. Nuclear quantum beats are observed in the transverse electron magnetization at lower temperatures. Model calculations for the time profiles, evaluated at the high-field emissive maximum of the spectrum, indicate assignment of these coherences to nitrogen nuclei in the primary donor. Thorough investigation of the nuclear modulation patterns can provide detailed information on the electronic structure of the primary donor, providing insight into the mechanism of the primary events of plant photosynthesis.

Spin-correlated radical pairs (1-7) are generated as short-lived intermediates in the primary energy conversion steps of natural photosynthesis (1, 5-14). If the initial configuration of the radical pair is not an eigenstate of the corresponding spin Hamiltonian, the radical pair starts out in a coherent superposition of spin states (15-19), which can manifest itself as quantum beats in an EPR experiment with adequate time resolution (20-24). In the following we report transient EPR studies of light-induced radical pairs in plant photosystem I (PSI) with particular reference to nuclear spin coherences generated by a laser pulse.

The primary electron transfer process in PSI involves the primary chlorophyll donor $P_{700}$ and a series of protein-bound acceptors, $A_0$, $A_1$, $F_X$, $F_A$, and $F_B$ (25). Although the PSI reaction center has been crystallized, its x-ray structure is not known with great detail (26). Yet there is substantial evidence that two chlorophyll molecules, the special pair (27), form the primary donor (26, 28). Furthermore, current evidence suggests that $A_0$ is a chlorophyll species and $F_X$, $F_A$, and $F_B$ are iron-sulfur (FeS) centers. $A_1$ has been identified as vitamin K$_1$ (29). Thus, existing information points to the following chain of electron transfer steps, initiated by photoexcitation of the donor $P_{700}$ (25, 29):

$$P^+_{700}A_0A_1(\text{FeS})\rightarrow P^+_{700}A_0A_1(\text{FeS}) \rightarrow P^+_{700}A_0A_1(\text{FeS})^{-}.$$ 

A light-induced EPR signal with characteristic c/a/e polariztion ($e = \text{emissive}, a = \text{absorptive}$) was observed in PSI a number of years ago (30, 31). Yet the identity of this signal remained unclear. Recent work proves a direct assignment of the polarized spectrum to the secondary radical pair, $P^+_{700}A_1$ (29, 32). Here we report observation of nuclear quantum beats for $P^+_{700}A_1$ in deuterated and deuterated plus 15N-substituted Synechococcus lividus cyanobacteria and suggest how these coherences may be used to obtain structural details of the primary donor $P^+_{700}$.

THEORY

In this section we briefly summarize a model for transient EPR of spin-correlated radical pairs and define the model parameters (16, 21-23). Specifically, we consider a sudden light-induced generation of the radical pair with a spatially fixed geometry. Particular emphasis is given to the nuclear spin interactions, essential for the modeling of nuclear quantum beats.

The total spin Hamiltonian, $H(\Omega, t)$, depends on the orientation, $\Omega$, of the radical pair and can be divided into seven parts:

$$H(\Omega, t) = H_E(\Omega) + H_R(\Omega, t) + H_EX + H_D(\Omega)$$

$$+ H_{HF}(\Omega) + H_{NZ} + H_Q(\Omega).$$

The first term, describing Zeeman interactions of the electron spins $S_i$, $i = 1, 2$, with the static magnetic field $B_0 = (0, 0, B_0)$ is given by

$$H_E(\Omega) = \beta B_0 [g_i(\Omega)\cdot S_i + g_e(\Omega)\cdot S_e],$$

where $\beta$ and $g_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are the Bohr magneton and the g-tensor of radical $i$, respectively.

In the presence of a rotating microwave field $B_1 = B_1(\cos \omega t, B_1 \sin \omega t, 0)$ the Hamiltonian includes the radiation term,

$$H_R(\Omega, t) = \beta B_1[r_i(\Omega)\cdot S_i + r_e(\Omega)\cdot S_e],$$

where $B_1$ denotes the magnitude of the microwave radiation. The next two terms of Eq. 1 account for the isotropic and anisotropic spin-spin coupling of the radical pair,

$$H_EX = J(Q_T - Q_S),$$

$$H_D = (S_1 + S_2)D(\Omega)(S_1 + S_2),$$

$$Q_T = \left(\frac{3}{4} + S_1S_2\right),$$

$$Q_S = \left(\frac{1}{4} - S_1S_2\right),$$

where $J$ and $D(\Omega)$ are the electron exchange interaction and the dipolar coupling tensor, respectively. Since $D(\Omega)$ is traceless, two zero-field splitting parameters, $D$ and $E$, suffice to specify the diagonal tensor.

The fifth term of the Hamiltonian 1 describes the magnetic interactions between electron and nuclear spins. For weakly coupled radical pairs this part of the Hamiltonian can be written as (33)
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\[
H_{HF} = \sum_k I_k \cdot A_{ik}(\Omega) \cdot S_1 + \sum_{t} I_{2t} A_{2t}(\Omega) \cdot S_2, \tag{5}
\]

where \(I_k\) is the spin operator of nucleus \(k\) in radical \(i\) and \(A_{ik}\) \((\Omega)\) is the corresponding hyperfine tensor. \(a_{ik}\) denotes the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant,

\[
a_{ik} = \frac{1}{3} \left( A_{ik}^x + A_{ik}^y + A_{ik}^z \right), \tag{6}
\]

and \(A_{ik}, i = X, Y, Z, \) are the principal values of \(A_{ik}\), respectively.

The nuclei, in addition, experience Zeeman and quadrupole interactions. Thus, the last two terms of the spin Hamiltonian may be written as

\[
H_{NZ} = \sum_k -\beta_n g_{ik} B_0 I_{1k} + \sum_{t} -\beta_n g_{2t} B_0 I_{2t}, \tag{7a}
\]

\[
H_Q = \sum_k I_{1k} P_{ik}(\Omega) I_{1k} + \sum_{t} I_{2t} P_{2t}(\Omega) I_{2t}, \tag{7b}
\]

where \(\beta_n\) denotes the nuclear Bohm magneton. \(g_{ik}\) is the \(g\)-factor for nucleus \(k\) in radical 1 and \(P_{ik}(\Omega)\) is the corresponding quadrupole coupling tensor. The principal values of \(P(\Omega)\) are generally expressed in terms of two parameters, the quadrupole coupling constant, \(e^2 q Q\), and the asymmetry parameter, \(\eta\).

Because of the explicit time dependence of \(H_{R}(\Omega, t)\) we transform into a frame of reference, rotating with the microwave frequency \(\omega\) around the static magnetic field \(B_0\) (34). Neglect of all nonsecular terms for the electron spins (high-field approximation) renders the transformed Hamiltonian \(\tilde{H}(\Omega)\) virtually time independent.

Having specified the spin Hamiltonian of the radical pair, we now employ the density operator formalism to evaluate the observable signal in a transient EPR experiment. Ignoring relaxation, the time evolution of the density matrix, \(\rho(t)\), can be described by the Liouville equation (35), which we solve using a finite grid point method (36, 37):

\[
\frac{\partial \rho'(\Omega, t)}{\partial t} = (i/\hbar)[\rho'(\Omega, t), \tilde{H}(\Omega)]. \tag{8}
\]

Here \(\rho'(\Omega, t)\) denotes the density matrix of the radical pair for a discrete orientation \(\Omega\). Formally, integration of Eq. 8 leads to

\[
\rho'(\Omega, t) = \exp[-(i/\hbar) \tilde{H}(\Omega)t]\rho'(\Omega, 0)
\]

\[
\times \exp[(i/\hbar) \tilde{H}(\Omega)t], \tag{9}
\]

where \(\rho'(\Omega, 0)\) is the initial condition of the density matrix at the time of the sudden generation of the radical pair. In native photosynthetic reaction centers the secondary radical pairs are generated in a virtually pure singlet state (12).

Evaluating the trace of \(\rho'(t)(S_1^+ + S_2^+)\) finally gives the observable signal as

\[
M(t) = \text{Tr}[\rho'(t)(S_1^+ + S_2^+)], \tag{10a}
\]

\[
\rho'(t) = \int \rho'(\Omega, t) d\Omega, \tag{10b}
\]

where \(\rho'(\Omega, t)\) is obtained by solving Eq. 9. The corresponding diagonalizations were accomplished by using the Housholder/QL-method. For this purpose, FORTRAN routines have been adapted from ref. 38. Spin relaxation was introduced in an \textit{ad hoc\ }fashion by multiplying the time profiles with an exponential decay curve, characterized by the relaxation time \(T_2^{HF}\).

Inhomogeneous broadening was considered by convolution with a Gaussian of linewidth \(\Delta B_0\).

### EXPERIMENTS

Freeze-dried, whole cells of deuterated (99.7%) and deuterated plus \(^{15}\)N-substituted (95%) \textit{S. lividus} cyanobacteria were suspended in deuterated Tricine buffer (uncorrected pH = 7.5). About 50 \(\mu\)l of the sample was used to fill a quartz tube (2 mm inner diameter) located in the symmetry axis of the microwave resonator. The temperature of the samples was controlled by using a helium flow cryostat (Oxford model CF-935) and was stable to \(\pm 0.1\) K.

The basic concept of the EPR experiment is similar to that described previously (21-23, 39). A modified X-band spectrometer [Bruker (Billerica, CA) model ER-200D] was used, equipped with a fast microwave preamplifier (30 decibels, 1.8-decibel noise figure) and a broadband video amplifier (band width 200 Hz to 200 MHz). The sample was irradiated in a custom-built split-ring resonator with 2.5-ns pulses of a neodymium-doped yttrium-aluminum garnet (Nd:YAG) laser [Spectra-Physics GCR-16S, 532 nm, 5 mJ (pulse)] at a repetition rate of 10 Hz. The split-ring resonator exhibits a high filling factor at low \(Q\) (unloaded \(Q \sim 500\)) and provides an easy means of sample irradiation.

The time-dependent EPR signal was digitized in a transient recorder [LeCroy (Chestnut Ridge, NY) model 9450 digital oscilloscope] at a rate of 2.5 ns per 12-bit sample. The time resolution of the experimental setup is in the 10-ns range. Typically, 256 transients were accumulated at off-resonance conditions and subtracted from those on resonance to get rid of the laser background signal. The best overall view of the full data set is obtained from a two-dimensional plot of the signal intensity versus the time and magnetic field axes. Transient spectra can be extracted from this plot at any fixed time after the laser pulse as slices parallel to the magnetic field axis. Likewise, the time evolution of the transverse magnetization may be obtained for any given field as a slice along the time axis.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Suspensions of deuterated and deuterated plus \(^{15}\)N-substituted \textit{S. lividus} were irradiated with 2.5-ns pulses from a Nd:YAG laser and the time evolution of the transverse magnetization was monitored for various static and microwave magnetic fields. Typical lineshapes, observed 200 ns after the laser pulse for deuterated (upper spectrum) and deuterated plus \(^{15}\)N-substituted \textit{S. lividus} (lower spectrum), are shown in Fig. 1. The spectra refer to a microwave frequency of \(\omega/2\pi = 9.7896\) GHz (X-band), a microwave field of \(B_1 = 0.062\) mT, and \(T = 70\) K. Note that a positive signal indicates absorptive (a) and a negative emissive (e) spin polarization. Apparently, the two lineshapes are identical within experimental error.

Fig. 2 \textit{Left} depicts the time evolution of the transverse magnetization, measured at the middle-field absorptive maximum of the spectrum (field position B in Fig. 1). The transients refer to the deuterated \(^{15}\)N sample, a temperature of 70 K, and two different microwave magnetic fields—i.e., \(B_1 = 0.049\) mT (upper curve) and \(B_1 = 0.031\) mT (lower curve). In both cases slow oscillations with frequencies of about 2 MHz can be seen. Previously, fast additional oscillations with frequencies of 5–10 MHz have been detected at this field position (21, 22). As noted above, such fast oscillations may be assigned to a zero quantum coherence between two of the four electron spin states (20–23). Because of hyperfine interactions, rapid averaging of these oscillations occurs (22). Consequently, manifestation of zero quantum coherence is restricted to a single sharp peak (dotted arrow in Fig. 2) observed immediately after the laser pulse.

Interestingly, however, the slow persisting oscillations cannot all be assigned to Torrey precessions with a frequency of
clearly seen in the power spectra of Fig. 2 Right, obtained by Fourier transformation of the time profiles (Fig. 2 Left). Only peaks marked with a full arrow indicate Torrey oscillations. The remaining coherences, indicative of a powder sample, exhibit frequencies between 0.2 and 3.5 MHz.

Previously, these slow oscillations of the transverse magnetization have not been observed, due to the short lifetime of $P_7^{7400}A_1$ at $T = 295$ K (20–22). Apparently, cooling the sample to 70 K increases the lifetime and thus enables detection of an additional coherence phenomenon, recently reported for bacterial reaction centers (23, 24). It is tempting to assign these slow oscillations to nuclear quantum beats, associated with the nonadiabatic change of the spin Hamiltonian at the instant of the laser pulse. Since the initial nuclear spin configurations are not eigenstates of the radical pair Hamiltonian, the light pulse induces a coherent time evolution of the nuclear spin system (18). This nuclear coherence could then be transferred to observable electron coherence by means of the continuous microwave magnetic field. In this case, the frequencies of the oscillations would be equal to differences between nuclear spin levels and thus correspond to electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) frequencies.

To validate these ideas, additional experiments have been carried out. In Fig. 3 we compare power spectra from the deuterated $^{14}$N sample (Left) and the deuterated $^{15}$N sample (Right), measured at two different static magnetic fields (A and C in Fig. 1). All spectra refer to the same microwave field of $B_1 = 0.062$ mT. Apparently, at this high $B_1$, field Torrey oscillations are difficult to detect (full arrows in Fig. 3). Furthermore, the modulation pattern varies across the powder lineshape, as expected for a weakly coupled pair of different radicals. Interestingly, the same pattern is observed at position A for both the deuterated $^{14}$N and deuterated $^{15}$N samples. Thus, nitrogens are not the source of modulation at this field position. Note the intense peak at 2.3 MHz, which corresponds closely to the free deuteron precession in the static field of 348.9 mT, thus indicating a matrix ENDOR line.

At field position C the observed power spectra are different for the different samples. The change in the modulation pattern indicates that the modulations are due to nitrogen nuclei, $^{14}$N and $^{15}$N. From Fig. 3 we determine modulation frequencies of 2.0 and 2.6 MHz for the $^{14}$N sample and of 1.9 and 2.3 MHz for the $^{15}$N sample. These values are in line with values assigned to $^{14}$N and $^{15}$N ENDOR frequencies in PSI preparations (40–43). We therefore conclude that nuclear quantum beats have been detected in the transverse electron

**Fig. 1.** Transient EPR spectra of the light-induced radical pairs $P_7^{7400}A_1$ in PSI of deuterated (Upper) and deuterated plus $^{15}$N-substituted $S$. lividus (Lower). The signal intensity was averaged in the time window 200–220 ns after laser excitation. Positive and negative signals indicate absorptive (a) and emissive (e) polarizations, respectively. Microwave frequency: $\omega/2\pi = 9.7896\text{ GHz}$. Microwave field: $B_1 = 0.062$ mT. Temperature: $T = 70$ K. A–D indicate field positions discussed in the text.

$$v_{\text{TO}} \approx \frac{1}{2}(g_1 + g_2)\beta B_1/h,$$

where $g_i$ is the isotropic g-factor of radical $i$. Rather, there are additional modulations of the transverse magnetization. This is

**Fig. 2.** Time evolution of the transverse magnetization of the light-induced radical pairs $P_7^{7400}A_1$ in PSI of deuterated $S$. lividus. The transients were taken at the middle-field absorptive maximum of the spectrum (field position B in Fig. 1) and refer to two different microwave magnetic fields—i.e., $B_1 = 0.049$ mT (upper curves) and $B_1 = 0.031$ mT (lower curves). Microwave frequency: $\omega/2\pi = 9.7896\text{ GHz}$. Temperature: $T = 70$ K. (Left) Time profiles. Zero quantum precessions are indicated by a dotted arrow. (Right) Power spectra. Torrey oscillations are indicated by a full arrow.
magnetization of $P_{700}A_1^-$. This result confirms the interpretations of recent observations in bacterial reaction centers (23, 24).

Detection of nuclear quantum beats is intimately related to other coherence phenomena in EPR such as coherent Raman beats (44, 45) and electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) (46, 47). Note, however, that in the present case nuclear spin coherence is generated simply by a short laser pulse and does not require preparation by pulsed microwaves (44–47). This represents a unique feature of rapid charge separation such as in photosynthesis. It appears that light generation of nuclear coherence provides an alternative to standard ESEEM schemes and because of the longer decay times is distinguished by a greater spectral resolution (40–43).

To check the above assignments, model calculations were performed employing the EPR approach, outlined in Theory. For computational simplicity, nuclear spin interactions were restricted to one $^{15}$N nucleus in $P_{700}$ and five deuterons in $A_1^-$. In addition, pseudosymmetric hyperfine and nuclear quadrupole interactions for the deuterons have been neglected in the calculations. Furthermore, the $^{15}$N coupling tensor was assumed to be collinear with the $g$-tensor of $P_{700}$. The parameters used to calculate the nuclear quantum beats are summarized in Table 1.

The $g$-tensor components of $P_{700}$ and $A_1^-$ were adapted from high-field EPR studies of deuterated PSI preparations (48) and their nuclear reference system $[g$-tensor of $A_1^-$ (49)]. Typically, 5000 grid points, regularly spaced over the surface of a sphere, were employed to simulate the static distribution of the radical pair with respect to the laboratory frame. Fig. 4 shows calculated time profiles (Upper) and corresponding power spectra (Lower), evaluated at field position D (see Fig. 1) for a microwave magnetic field of $B_1 = 0.1$ mT. The magnetic field parameters were chosen to optimize the modulation depth. Three different frequencies can be distinguished. The intense peak at 2.8 MHz (full arrow) corresponds to Torrey oscillations. In contrast, the broad peak at 13 MHz (dotted arrow), independent of $B_1$, can be assigned to a zero quantum coherence between two of the four electron spin states (22). Notably, the remaining peak at 2.4 MHz disappears if $^{15}$N pseudosecular terms are neglected in the calculations (dotted curves in Fig. 4). We therefore conclude that assignment of this peak to $^{15}$N nuclear modulations is correct.

At present accurate values for the $^{15}$N hyperfine interactions in $P_{700}$ do not exist. Even the total number of $^{15}$N nuclei involved in coupling is not unambiguously known. Additional studies of light-induced nuclear quantum beats may provide the desired information. From the results of initial studies along these lines, involving protonated $^{15}$N-enriched PSI preparations, we expect detailed information on the electronic structure of $P_{700}$, which is essential for a better understanding of the primary events of plant photosynthesis.
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**Table 1. Parameters used in model calculations for the light-induced radical pairs $P_{700}A_1^-$ in deuterated plant PSI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$g$-Tensor components</th>
<th>$g$-Tensor orientation</th>
<th>$g$-Tensor components</th>
<th>Spin–spin coupling parameters</th>
<th>Dipolar tensor orientation</th>
<th>Hyperfine tensor components</th>
<th>Hyperfine tensor components</th>
<th>Relaxation parameters**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{700}^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$A_1^-$†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\phi_D$ arbitrary</td>
<td>$A_{15}|N = 0.060 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|D = 0.063 \text{ mT}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g^x = 2.00304$</td>
<td>$\phi_1 = -15^\circ$</td>
<td>$g^y = 2.00564$</td>
<td>$J = 0$</td>
<td>$\phi_D$ arbitrary</td>
<td>$A_{15}|N = 0.060 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|D = 0.063 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$T_{1D}^|f = 2 \mu s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g^z = 2.00262$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$g^y = 2.00494$</td>
<td>$D = -0.12 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$\theta_D = 58^\circ$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|N = 0.060 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|D = 0.063 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$T_{1D}^|f = 2 \mu s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g^z = 2.00232$</td>
<td>$\phi_2 = 27^\circ$</td>
<td>$g^x = 2.00217$</td>
<td>$E = 0$</td>
<td>$\psi_D = -1^\circ$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|N = 0.150 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$A_{15}|D = 0.063 \text{ mT}$</td>
<td>$T_{1D}^|f = 2 \mu s$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from ref. 48.
†The Euler angles $\Omega_i$ relate the principal axis system of the respective magnetic tensor ($g$-tensor of $P_{700}$, dipolar coupling tensor, nitrogen hyperfine tensor) and the molecular reference system ($g$-tensor of $A_1^-$ (49)). Data from ref. 22.
‡Adapted from ref. 50.
§Estimated on the basis of a low-resolution x-ray structure (26).
¶Data from ref. 43.
‖Adapted from ref. 22.
**Spin relaxation is considered by multiplying the time profiles by an exponential decay curve, characterized by the relaxation time $T_{1D}^\|f$. Inhomogeneous broadening is considered by convolution with a Gaussian of linewidth $\Delta B_0 = 0.175 \text{ mT}$ (39).
Biophysics: Weber et al.

![Diagram of a model with dotted arrows and field B1]

FIG. 4. Calculated time profiles (Upper) and corresponding power spectra (Lower) of the light-induced radical pairs P650A71 in PSI. The transients were evaluated at field position D (see Fig. 1), using the parameters of Table 1. Microwave frequency: ω/2π = 9.7896 GHz. Microwave field: B1 = 0.1 mT. Torrey oscillations are indicated by a full arrow. Zero quantum precessions are denoted by a dotted arrow. The dotted curves represent model calculations in which 15N pseudo-secular terms have been neglected.
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