Eumetazoan fossils in terminal Proterozoic phosphorites?
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Phosphatic sedimentary rocks preserve a record of early animal life different from and complementary to that provided by Ediacaran fossils in terminal Proterozoic sandstones and shales. Phosphorites of the Doushantuo Formation, South China, contain eggs, egg cases, and stereoblastulae that document animals of unspecified phylogenetic position; small fossils containing putative spicules may specifically record the presence of sponges. Microfossils recently interpreted as the preserved gastrulae of cnidarian and bilaterian metazoans can alternatively be interpreted as conventional algal cysts and/or egg cases modified by diagenetic processes known to have had a pervasive influence on Doushantuo phosphorites. Regardless of this interpretation, evidence for Doushantuo eumetazoans is provided by millimeter-scale tubes that display tabulation and apical budding characteristic of some Cnidaria, especially the extinct tabulates. Like some Ediacaran remains, these small, benthic, colonial fossils may represent stem-group eumetazoans or stem-group cnidarians that lived in the late Proterozoic ocean.

The Doushantuo Formation, South China, provides an unusually clear window on terminal Proterozoic life, preserving diverse fossils as siliceous and phosphatic permineralizations as well as Burgess Shale-type compressions in shale (1–3). Doushantuo phosphorites, in particular, have generated much interest as fossils they contain (2, 4–6). To this inventory, Chen et al. at Weng’an (2, 21). These display egg case ornamentation and cleavage geometries consistent with animal stereoblastulae but not with patterns of cell division known to occur in algae (21). Later developmental stages of this population are unknown, however, frustrating systematic attribution. Li et al. (4) also reported structures interpreted as eggs and embryos and specifically attributed them to sponges, based principally on the presence of small structures interpreted as monoxonal microscleres. This interpretation may be correct, although the variable morphology of these microstructures—which include very long, curved individuals less than 1 μm thick and occasional twins—suggests that caution is necessary in differentiating spicules from diagenetic minerals in the same bed (22). An argument in favor of the sponge interpretation is that microsclere-like crystals have not been observed in fossils known to have originated as algal thalli. Collectively, then, previously described fossils indicate that animals lived in the Doushantuo sea, but provide only limited evidence for taxa more derived than sponges.

Cnidarian Gastrulae in Doushantuo Phosphorites? Chen et al. (7) observed a range of phosphatized structures in Doushantuo rocks and interpreted them as possible cnidarian and bilaterian gastrulae, based on three observations: the relatively invariant dimensions of illustrated specimens, the presence of simple invaginations to complex internal structures interpreted as products of gastrulation, and the regular orientation and thickness of apatite crystals that constitute both external and internal layers (the crystals are interpreted as cell-by-cell replacements of similarly oriented embryonic cells). The authors explicitly acknowledge that alternative explanations are possible, and it is a diagenetic alternative we outline here.

Like all fossils, remains in the Doushantuo Formation have been altered by diagenesis, requiring that postmortem features be considered carefully. Collectively, then, previously described fossils indicate that animals lived in the Doushantuo sea, but provide only limited evidence for taxa more derived than sponges.

Abbreviation: Ma, megaannum (1 million years ago).

§1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Article published online before print: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 10.1073/pnas.250491697. Article and publication date are at www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.250491697

13684–13689 | PNAS | December 5, 2000 | vol. 97 | no. 25
be identified and removed from consideration before biological interpretation begins. A nearly ubiquitous feature of fossiliferous Doushantuo phosphorites at Weng’an, South China; K-M occur in chert nodules. Phosphatic rims occur on algal thalli (A), cyanobacterial filaments (B), the inner surfaces and collapsed contents of acanthomorphic acritarch vesicles (C), and small coccoloidal cells (D). Spheroidal fossil containing diagenetically formed inner and outer rims that display crystal zonation (E) and regular orientation (F, cross-polarization); note how the inner rim is templated on the surface of preserved organic contents. (G) Phosphatic spherulitic coating on the inner surface of a vesicle (arrow), forming bulbous microstructures similar to those interpreted by Chen et al. (7) as large ectodermal cells. (H) The spot marked by an arrow in G is magnified to show crystal forms and orientation. (I) Cross section of phosphatized filament, again illustrating crystal forms and orientation. (J) Bilayered spheroidal structure similar to that in E, showing clear evidence of zoned crystal growth. (K) Silicified organic-walled vesicle with invagination produced by postmortem deformation; if phosphatized, the specimen would resemble forms interpreted as bilaterian gastrulae by Chen et al. (7); viewed in thin section, the phosphatized fossil with postmortem infolding in P would also resemble proposed gastrulae. (L and M) Individual organic-walled algal vesicles with partially collapsed internal contents, drawn from a large population of 90- to 150-μm fossils in Doushantuo cherts; postmortem phosphatization would yield structures with a size range, internal morphology, and crystal orientation comparable to those used by Chen et al. (7) to infer eumetazoan origins. (N) Internally complex spheroid, similar in organization to specimens interpreted as anthozoan planulae by Chen et al. (7), but not interpretable in biologically meaningful terms; the SEM image in O shows diagenetically phosphatized filaments and other internal structures that, viewed in thin section, would resemble N. (Q) Multicellular algal thallus (the small dark structures are cell lumens) containing a decay feature comparable in organization to structures interpreted as anthozoan planulae by Chen et al. (7). (The scale bar in A represents 100 μm for A and B; 60 μm for C, N, and Q; 80 μm for D; 50 μm for E and F; 40 μm for G, K, and L; 4 μm for H and I; 20 μm for J; 30 μm for M; 200 μm for Q; and 250 μm for P.)
coat objects ranging from cyanobacterial filaments and algal cells to small sediment clasts, acritarch walls, and animal egg cases (Fig. 1A–D; the thicker rind on the algal thallus in Fig. 1A is a composite, reflecting multiple episodes of precipitation). SEM images of these crystals show no evidence of cell replacement (Fig. 1H and I); indeed, many rims show distinct crystal zonation, precluding their interpretation as single cell layers (Fig. 1E, F, and J). Similarly, microspherulitic rims, formed when nucleation is patchy and subsequent crystal growth is radial, can be indistinguishable from features interpreted by Chen et al. as large ectodermal cells of possible spiralian gastrulae (compare their figure 3J with Fig. 1G and H). We do not suggest that Chen et al. would regard the images in Fig. 1 as fossil embryos. Rather, the photographs show that beds that yield proposed gastrulae also contain other microstructures in which phosphatic layers of constant thickness and regular crystal orientation are of clear diagenetic origin. By themselves, then, such features cannot provide evidence for eumetazoan or any other type of biology.

What, then, about size and shape? Phosphatic rims faithfully replicate preexisting features, but they do not discriminate between the products of ontogeny and decay. Chen et al. (ref. 7, their figure 2A) illustrate one spheroid, the complex internal structure of which suggests an anthozoan planula with mesenteric folds and immature septa. The specimen could be a planula, but the presence of diagenetically altered microstructures with similar features in the same beds saps confidence in such an interpretation. Spheroidal bodies rimmed by phosphate layers, with broadly lobate internal folds and black organic material in intervening spaces, can be observed in vesicles in which diagenetic phosphate rims filamentous or irregularly collapsed internal contents (Fig. 1N and O). Such features also occur in spiny acritarchs (3) and in decaying algal thalli (Fig. 1Q). The same structural theme occurs with purported sponge spicules in some specimens, minimally requiring reinterpretation of either spicules or internal morphologies.

Spheroids (100–150 μm) in which a hollow phosphatic surface encloses a smaller spheroidal layer were compared by Chen et al. (ref. 7, their figure 2C and D) to hydrozoan gastrulae. Alternatively, such structures can be interpreted as phosphatic rims precipitated during early diagenesis on organic walled vesicles and the surfaces of their partially shrunken contents. Fossils capable of producing such structures upon phosphatization are common in Doushantuo rocks (3, 23, 29); they include algal cysts and animal egg cases. The fossils illustrated in Fig. 1 (L and M) are drawn from a large population of 90- to 150-μm algal cysts preserved in Doushantuo cherts, satisfying the criteria of Chen et al. of shape and size distribution but having nothing to do with embryos. In Fig. 1C; inner and outer phosphatic layers occur inside a spiny acritarch (of the same type that envelops an “anthozoan-like” microstructure in ref. 3). The similar crystal orientations of inner and outer layers are the expected product of diagenetic crystal growth.

Chen et al. (ref. 7, their figure 3A–J) illustrate a third group of structures interpreted as the invaginated gastrulae of bilaterian animals. Most of the illustrated specimens can be interpreted with confidence only as rimmed spheroids with petrographically heterogeneous contents (consider, for example, how
Phosphatization would affect the organically preserved specimen in Fig. 1M, but several specimens show clear infolding. Again, these could be gastrulae, but postmortem mechanical deformation, commonly observed in Doushantu fossils (Fig. 1K and P) provides an alternative explanation.

In summary, Doushantu structures interpreted by Chen et al. (7) as cnidian and bilaterian gastrulae can be explained alternatively as populations of algal vesicles and egg cases independently known to occur in Doushantu rocks, subjected to processes of postmortem deformation and phosphate precipitation known to have had a pervasive influence on Doushantu sediments. We do not imply that gastrulae are, in principle, absent from or unrecognizable in these rocks but stress the importance of distinguishing taphonomic noise from biological signal when Doushantu fossils are interpreted. Documentation of cell morphologies by SEM may be necessary to confirm microfossils as embryos.

**Phosphatized Eumetazoan Body Fossils?** Regardless of uncertainties surrounding proposed cnidian and bilaterian gastrulae, we believe that eumetazoan animals may be preserved in Doushantu phosphorites. The phosphatized embryos described earlier from Doushantu rocks could have been produced by animals of cnidian- or bilaterian-grade, although poriferan origins cannot be excluded (21). Also, as noted above, conical compressions in uppermost Doushantu shales could represent early cnidarians. In the following paragraphs, we discuss another population of candidate eumetazoans—minute tabulate tubes preserved in Doushantu phosphorite (Figs. 2 and 3A–F).

The fossils in question were originally described as *Sinocyclocyclus guizhouensis* by Xue et al. (30), who illustrated millimeter-scale phosphatic cylinders composed of stacked tablets 150–240 μm wide and 13–60 μm thick. Xue et al. (30) interpreted these fossils as miniature crinoid stems, but Li et al. (31) later recognized their tubular nature and compared them with Lower Cambrian small shelly fossils. Zhang et al. (3) observed similar fossils in thin section and demonstrated that they are cylindrical tubes with closely spaced cross-walls; the tablets interpreted as tiny columns by Xue et al. (30) are internal molds of tube chambers. Based on thin-section and SEM studies of more than 40 specimens, we propose that certain Paleozoic cnidarians provide the best available guides to the paleobiology of *S. guizhouensis*.

**Morphology.** Tubes are circular in cross section, with a diameter of 0.1–0.3 mm; the diameter can vary markedly along the length of a single individual (31). No demonstrably complete tubes are known, but incomplete specimens can be more than 1 mm long. Specimens occur both as gregarious clusters with individuals oriented subperpendicular to bedding (Fig. 2A and B) and as dispersed specimens without preferred orientation. Some tubes have thick (ca. 10–15 μm), even multilamellate outer walls (Figs. 2H and 3F), likely formed or modified by diagenetic phosphatization. Others are preserved as internal molds without enveloping walls (Fig. 3A). Bent specimens show folds on the compressional but not the extensional side (Fig. 2I), indicating that walls were originally flexible.

Cross-walls oriented perpendicular to the main axis divide tubes into a more or less regular series of chambers 6–12 μm thick. Most cross-walls are complete, but some tabulae extend only part of the way across the tube (Fig. 2F and G); they may intersect with adjacent cross-walls to form wedge-like chambers (Fig. 3A, arrow). Limited observations suggest that cross-walls are not perforated by through-going internal structures such as siphuncles (Fig. 3D).

Well-preserved specimens show that tabulae may curve slightly where they intersect with the tube wall. Indeed, well-preserved walls in Fig. 2C and E (arrow) show that the point of insertion is thickened and wedge-like in cross section, manifested in internal molds as distinct eaves at tablet boundaries (Fig. 3A). In a few tubes, cross-walls are absent or only vaguely visible; without exception, such specimens are poorly preserved, with interiors filled by secondary silica, dolomite, or phosphatic filaments and spherules.

---

**Fig. 3.** *Sinocyclocyclus guizhouensis*, tabulate fossils interpreted as possible stem cnidarians. (A) SEM of branched tube preserved as phosphatic internal molds of tube chambers; note branching pattern as well as wedge-shaped chamber formed where an incomplete and complete cross-wall meet (arrow). (B) SEM of four clustered tubes. (C) SEM of curved tube. (D and E) Cross and longitudinal sections through this specimen. (F) An enlarged SEM view of the surface, showing cross-walls, phosphatic laminae on the wall, and a longitudinal ridge on the concave side. (G) *Saffordophyllum newcombae*, an Ordovician tabulate showing bending and thickening of cross-walls where they meet side walls, as well as apical budding (reproduced with permission from Ref. 36); compare with Figs. 2E and 3A. (The scale bar in A represents 140 μm for A; 200 μm for B; 150 μm for C; 80 μm for D and E; 30 μm for F; and 1 mm for G.)
Terminal ends are poorly known; however, a few specimens taper to a blunt conical termination. One tube contains what appears to be a distinct terminal chamber (Fig. 2 D and H), defined by a complete but strongly concave cross-wall (Fig. 2D). Abutting tabulations are incomplete and curved at their intersection with the chamber floor (Fig. 2J).

A few specimens show a distinctive pattern of dichotomous branching (Fig. 3A); tubes expand gradually along one axis to the point of dichotomy and then split into two branches, the combined circular cross sections of which are equal in area to the elliptical section below the branch point. Finally, a thin (1-μm) ridge has been observed running along the concave side of a single curved internal mold (Fig. 3F); its structural or systematic importance is unclear.

**Morphological Interpretation.** Its gregarious habit, orientation, and branching morphology suggest that *S. guizhouensis* was a benthic and, likely, colonial organism. Taphonomic considerations suggest that tube walls were originally organic, although we cannot rule out light biomineralization of side walls. Closely spaced, straight or curved cross-walls are consistent with accrional growth in which the constructing organism occupied only the top portion of the tube, episodically moving upward as the tube lengthened and secreting new chamber floors as it went. Incomplete cross walls are consistent with such a growth pattern.

**Systematic Interpretation.** Several observations cast doubt on the interpretation of as *S. guizhouensis* as algal: its branching mode is distinct from patterns seen in uniseriate algal fossils; its mode of preservation is distinct from that of unambiguously multicellular algae in the same beds (which routinely preserve cell walls in addition to phospohatic molds of cell lumens); and details of cell size (large), morphology (strongly tabular), and cross-walls (curved and sometimes incomplete) find no collective match in known algal filaments.

Among animals, a few groups of bilaterians (including annelids, pogonophorans, tentaculitids, hyoliths, and pterobranchs) construct tubular structures with external annulations, and some may branch dichotomously (for example, colonial pterobranchs). Some of these tubes may be as small as 100–200 μm in diameter (32). None of these bilaterians, however, produce regular transverse walls comparable to those of *S. guizhouensis*. Bilateral conchs that do have cross-walls (including cephalopods and some Cambrian shelly fossils) rarely branch. Therefore, we doubt that the Doushantuo tubes are products of bilateral biology.

In contrast, the general morphology of *S. guizhouensis* compares favorably with those displayed by a number of cnidarians interpreted as a stem eumetazoan. Tabulates were benthic, colonial, skeleton-forming organisms that were widely distributed in Paleozoic oceans before their late Permian extinction. Individual corallites were tabular and grew by accretion. Regularly distributed tabulae can be complete or incomplete and may show marginal bending and thickening comparable to that observed in the Doushantuo tubes. Asexual reproduction by budding was common (33), and in forms with terminal budding, parent corallites split axially into two or more smaller daughters. Thus, both the tabulation and branching patterns seen in *S. guizhouensis* find a counterpart among tabulates (figure 3G from ref. 34). The possible end chambers in some Doushantuo tubes can be compared with tabulate calcites. Prominent differences between *S. guizhouensis* and tabulates include the larger size, effusively colonial habit, and CaCO₃ biomineralization of the latter. Therefore, we view tabulates as architectural guides to the interpretation of Doushantuo tubes, not as direct descendants.

Some organisms previously interpreted as tabulates have been reclassified as sponges (35). Chaetetids (which lack well-defined tabulae) and stromatoporoid and other “coralline” sponges (which did construct cross-walls) provide a possible alternative model for interpretation. However, these younger fossils tend to form massive colonies and display irregular “tabulation” (36). Moreover, the walls of the Doushantuo fossils appear to be products of well-defined epithelia, suggesting a level of tissue organization not found in sponges.

Other fossil and extant cnidarians also share some features with the Doushantuo tubes. For example, the stolons and hydrocauli of some colonial hydrozoans are surrounded by chitinous thecae that are the right size, can branch dichotomously, and may have external annulations and transverse diaphragms (37). However, hydrozoan diaphragms are perforated and do not occur throughout the tubular stolons. The coenenchyme of gorgonian octocorals also bears mention because of its rod-like internal skeleton, which is partially mineralized and has closely spaced, curved transverse walls (38); however, the axial skeletons of gorgonians are internal, and their transverse walls are more or less irregular.

A number of Paleozoic fossils interpreted as cnidarians also have one or more characters in common with Doushantuo tubes. Of these, *Septodaeum siluricum*, found in Silurian and Devonian rocks in Australia and Sweden (39), is of particular interest. Tubes of *S. siluricum* are a few hundred micrometers in diameter, are colonial, and show evidence of axial budding. Moreover, thecae of *S. siluricum* are partitioned by regularly spaced tabulae. However, these tubes differ from the Doushantuo fossils in the presence of a stomodaenum (pharynx), vertically oriented mesenteries, and stomodacal mesenteries. Bischoff (39) interpreted *S. siluricum* as an anthozoan, despite significant differences from extant members of this group. Some Paleozoic coral morphs (40), such as *Mooriwispora* and *Flindersipora*, also share some features (e.g., axial budding and transverse walls) with the Doushantuo tubes, despite obvious differences from them. Paleozoic conularids and *Stephanothallus*, interpreted as fossil scyphozoans (41–43), have one or more transverse walls (“schotts”; refs. 42 and 43), but their tubes are tetraradially or biradially symmetrical.

In summary, Doushantuo tubes display a suite of characters found elsewhere in cnidarians and fossils interpreted as cnidarians. Nonetheless, these characters do not relate the Doushantuo tubes unambiguously to crown group anthozoans, hydrozoans, or scyphozoans. Given molecular phylogenies that unite hydrozoans and scyphozoans into a clade nested within a paraphyletic Anthozoa (44), it is not unreasonable to interpret the small, benthic, unmineralized *S. guizhouensis* as a stem cnidian or, for that matter, a stem eumetazoan.

**Conclusions**

Ediacaran biotas contain relatively large organisms preserved at a fairly coarse scale of morphological resolution. In contrast, phosphorites of the terminal Proterozoic Doushantuo Formation preserve exquisite miniatures that provide a different and complementary perspective on early animal evolution. Uncertainty attends possible eumetazoan gastrulae in Doushantuo rocks, but small body fossils in the same beds provide independent evidence of possible eumetazoan biology. Eumetazoans would be consistent with the presence of purported scyphozoan compressions in Doushantuo shales, as well as the interpretation of broadly contemporaneous frondose fossils from Newfoundland as colonial diploblastic organisms sister to either cnidarians or the Eumetazoa as a whole (45). Still older candidate cnidarians occur in northwestern Canada, where discs that bear radial marking suggestive of septa occur in preglacial rocks (46). If any one of these fossils is correctly interpreted as a stem- or crown-group cnidian, phylogenetic logic demands that stem triploblasts existed by Doushantuo time, as well. Whether molecular clock arguments in favor of deep bilateral divergence will be confirmed by recognizable bilateral fossils in pre-Ediacaran rocks remains to be seen.
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