XBP1s activation can globally remodel N-glycan structure distribution patterns
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Classically, the unfolded protein response (UPR) safeguards secretory pathway proteostasis. The most ancient arm of the UPR, the IRE1-activated spliced X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1s)-mediated response, has roles in secretory pathway maturation beyond resolving proteostatic stress. Understanding the consequences of XBP1s activation for cellular processes is critical for elucidating mechanistic connections between XBP1s and development, immunity, and disease. Here, we show that a key functional output of XBP1s activation is a cell type-dependent shift in the distribution of N-glycan structures on endogenous membrane and secreted proteomes. For example, XBP1s activity decreased levels of sialylation and bisecting GlcNAc in the HEK293 membrane proteome and secretome, while substantially increasing the population of oligomannose N-glycans only in the secretome. In HeLa cell membranes, stress-independent XBP1s activation increased the population of high-mannose and tetraantennary N-glycans, and also enhanced core fucosylation. mRNA profiling experiments suggest that XBP1s-mediated remodeling of the N-glycome is, at least in part, a consequence of coordinated transcriptional resplicing of N-glycan maturation pathways by XBP1s. The discovery of XBP1s-induced N-glycan structural remodeling on a glycome-wide scale suggests that XBP1s can act as a master regulator of N-glycan maturation. Moreover, because the sugars on cell-surface proteins or on proteins secreted from an XBP1s-activated cell can be molecularly distinct from those of an unactivated cell, these findings reveal a potential new mechanism for translating intracellular stress signaling into altered interactions with the extracellular environment.
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The unfolded protein response (UPR) is classically responsible for maintaining proteostasis in the secretory pathway (1). In the metazoan UPR, three transmembrane proteins (IRE1, PERK, and ATF6) act to detect protein misfolding stress in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Once stress is detected, activation of each sensor results in the production of a distinctive transcription factor—spliced X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1s), ATF4, or ATF6 (1–373), respectively (2). The targets of these transcription factors include a suite of ER chaperones and quality control factors that can resolve proteostatic challenges caused by physiologic and environmental changes. The central role of the UPR in maintaining secretory proteostasis has catalyzed extensive efforts to delineate relevant mechanisms of induction (3), define cellular effects of UPR activation, and create tools to modulate individual UPR arms (4–6).

The IRE1-XBP1s pathway is the most ancient UPR arm (2). Recent work continues to define essential functions for the XBP1s transcription factor, in particular, that are distinctive from proteostasis maintenance. For example, XBP1s is critical for development, immune response, memory formation, and cell-nonautonomous ER stress signaling (7–10). Moreover, chronic up-regulation of the XBP1s transcription factor is a pathologic hallmark of numerous malignancies (11). Consistent with its diverse regulatory functions, the IRE1-XBP1s arm can be activated independent of PERK-ATF4 and ATF6 in response to assorted stimuli (1). Attaining mechanistic understanding of these diverse processes requires first comprehensively defining the molecular consequences of XBP1s induction. The majority of proteins traversing the secretory pathway are co- or posttranslationally N-glycosylated (12). A 14-residue oligosaccharide with the structure Glc3Man9GlcNAc2 (Glc, glucose; Man, mannose; GlcNAc, N-acetylgalactosamine) is installed on Asn residues within specific amino acid sequons. XBP1s may modulate the extent to which N-linked glycans are installed by the cell (13), thereby potentially assisting N-glycoprotein trafficking and directly enhancing proteostasis by providing improved access to the ER’s

Significance

Diverse polysaccharides are installed on specific asparagine residues as glycoproteins traverse the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi. These N-glycan structures comprise the N-glycome, which coats cell surfaces, regulates cell–cell and cell–matrix interactions, and has functional consequences for immune system function and beyond. Our understanding of how intracellular signaling regulates the molecular architecture of the N-glycome remains immature. We show that the transcription factor XBP1s alters N-glycan structures displayed on endogenous membrane-associated and secreted glycoproteins, coincident with XBP1s-induced changes in N-glycoseylation-related transcripts. These results establish a role for the unfolded protein response in defining the global composition of the N-glycome—providing a mechanism for transducing internal stress to an external signal, a phenomenon with implications for both normal biology and pathology.


The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. Published under the PNAS license.

Data deposition: The RNA-sequencing analysis reported in this paper has been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ (accession no. GSE112589).

1M.Y.W., K.C., A.A., and B.T.K. contributed equally to this work.
2Present address: Expansion Technologies, Inc., Cambridge, MA 02139.
3Present address: Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138.
4Present address: Molecular Biology, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA 02139.
5To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: s.haslam@imperial.ac.uk, lkmahal@nyu.edu, or mshoulde@mit.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1805425115/-/DCSupplemental.
lectin-based chaperone and quality control machineries. Downstream of N-glycan installation, the immature sugar is processed in both the ER and the Golgi to yield the vast array of high- and oligomannose, hybrid, and complex N-glycan structures presented on secreted and cell-surface proteins (14). The molecular architecture of this N-glycome is dynamic, with consequences for both normal and pathologic processes, including cell motility and adhesion, cell–cell interactions, and immune system function (15–17). However, our understanding of how intracellular signaling pathways coordinately define N-glycan maturation and thereby regulate these processes remains incomplete.

We previously reported that stress-independent activation of XBP1s can significantly modify the structural distribution of Nglycans installed at the single N-glycosylation site of a soluble, secreted glycoprotein domain derived from the CD2 adhesion protein (18). The observed changes were specifically caused by XBP1s-mediated alterations in N-glycan maturation, not by modified CD2 protein synthesis or trafficking. These findings hint at the possibility that XBP1s may regulate the molecular architecture of the endogenous N-glycome in a coordinated manner. However, the CD2 protein domain used in our prior studies was an ectopically expressed, soluble, and glycosylation-naive protein variant (18), rendering the biological relevance of the observed XBP1s-dependent remodeling of N-glycan maturation uncertain. Here, we report whether XBP1s can induce the transcription of XBP1s results in altered N-glycan structures on endogenous proteins, and (ii) whether such remodeling is sufficiently significant to be observed in a glycome-wide experiment, not just on individual, purified glycoproteins. We find that stress-independent XBP1s activation can substantially alter the composition of the N-glycome in a cell type- and proteome-dependent manner. The resulting changes in the distribution of N-glycan structures indicate that XBP1s-activated cell membranes, and proteins secreted from XBP1s-activated cells, are often distinguishable at the molecular level from those of cells with basal levels of UPR signaling. These observations suggest a new mechanism to translate intracellular stress signaling to the extracellular milieu, a phenomenon with important implications for our emerging understanding of how the UPR shapes higher-order biological activities (e.g., organismal development and immune response) beyond just the maintenance of ER proteostasis.

Results

Experimental Platform and Workflow to Scrutinize Effects of XBP1s on the N-Glycome. Our objective here was to test the hypotheses that (i) the remodeling of N-glycan structures (factor (i) induce XBP1s results and (ii) whether such remodeling is sufficiently significant to be observed in experiments surveying the glycome. Application of a traditional ER stress inducer such as thapsigargin (Tg) does cause XBP1s activation, and is therefore one possible experimental approach. However, the pleiotropic consequences of ER stressors, including extensive ER protein misfolding and high cytotoxicity, limit the reliability and interpretation of the resulting data. Selective induction of XBP1s using chemical genetics is an alternative strategy to elucidate consequences of XBP1s activity (4, 19). This strategy results in XBP1s induction in the absence of global UPR activation by ER stress. Such selective and chronic XBP1s activation is observed in numerous relevant settings, including development, the immune response, and cancer (7, 8, 11).

In this work, we employed two cell lines engineered for ER stress-independent induction of XBP1s transcriptional activity. In both cell lines, XBP1s was placed under control of the tetracycline repressor, providing doxycycline (dox)-regulated expression of XBP1s. The first stable cell line we used, termed HEKXBP1s, was derived from the human embryonic kidney cell line and was reported previously (4). The second cell line, developed for this study, was a stable HeLaXBP1s cell line (derived from the cervical epithelial line) termed HeLaXBP1s. In both HEKXBP1s (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A) and HeLaXBP1s (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B) cells, treatment with dox up-regulated mRNA expression for the established XBP1s target genes ERDJ4 and SEC24D to levels similar to those induced by treatment with the classic ER stressor Tg. In both cases, XBP1s activation occurred independent of global UPR induction, as well-known transcripts induced by the PERK-ATF4 arm of the UPR were not up-regulated (e.g., CHOP and GADD34; SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and B).

In previous work, we characterized the consequences of sustained XBP1s activation for the transcriptome and proteome of HEKXBP1s cells (4). As the HEKXBP1s cell line was newly developed, we sequenced mRNA from vehicle-, dox-, or Tg-treated HeLaXBP1s cells in biological triplicate. Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed coding genes showed that XBP1s activation preferentially clustered with vehicle-treated rather than Tg-treated samples, indicating that the transcriptional consequences of chronic XBP1s activation were significantly muted relative to treatment with an ER stressor (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). As expected (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D), and in line with our previous observations for HEKXBP1s cells (4), gene set enrichment analysis revealed specific gene sets enriched in the XBP1s-activated lines alone (e.g., the unfolded protein binding gene set), others that were enriched in both XBP1s-activated and Tg-treated cells (e.g., the response to ER stress gene set), and a third group of gene sets enriched only in Tg-treated cells (e.g., the intrinsic apoptotic signaling in response to the ER stress gene set) (see Dataset S1 A–D for full results). HEKXBP1s and HeLaXBP1s cells thus provide two distinct cell types in which we can dissect the transcriptome, as the period required for an intracellular signal like XBP1s-mediated transcription to propagate to the mature N-glycoproteome.

With appropriate cell lines in hand, we devised an experimental workflow to test for effects of XBP1s activation on N-glycan maturation. As illustrated in Fig. 1, we treated HEKXBP1s cells in complete media with vehicle (control), dox (to activate XBP1s), or Tg (to induce ER stress and the global UPR), followed by isolation of the membrane fraction by ultracentrifugation. Alternatively, we treated HEKXBP1s cells identically in a serum-free media formulation (FreeStyle media) such that we could harvest and concentrate the detergent-protected proteome dataset, minimizing contamination from serum glycoproteins. We used FreeStyle media instead of serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) because we have observed that serum-free DMEM causes significant cell stress over longer treatments such as the 24 h used here. Grp78 was detected in the FreeStyle media only upon XBP1s activation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), suggesting that the presence of any ER proteins in the XBP1s-activated secretome is a consequence of UPR activity, as has previously been observed by others (20). For HeLaXBP1s cells, we carried out an identical workflow but isolated only the membrane fraction, as in our observation HeLa cells are less secretory than HEK cells.

After isolating membrane and secreted protein fractions, we next carried out two types of glycomic experiments on the samples: (i) lectin microarray analysis, which enabled us to directly compare relative levels of glycan motifs/substructures (21), and (ii) mass spectrometry, which provided a more detailed analysis of glycan structures. We used dual-color lectin microarrays (Fig. 1B) on biological triplicates to gain insight into potential glycome remodeling owing to XBP1s activation and/or Tg treatment. We measured the relative binding of fluorescently labeled glycoprotein samples and an orthogonally labeled common reference to immobilized lectins on our microarray. The lectin microarrays we employed were composed of ~90 unique lectins with diverse sugar-binding specificities (see Dataset S2 for a complete list). Samples were labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 or 555, mixed with an equal amount of an orthogonally labeled glycoprotein samples, and we performed GC-MS linkage analyses in parallel, with the presence of any ER proteins in the XBP1s-activated secretome is a consequence of UPR activity, as has previously been observed by others (20). For HeLaXBP1s cells, we carried out an identical workflow but isolated only the membrane fraction, as in our observation HeLa cells are less secretory than HEK cells.
cells resulted in reduced sialylation of cell-membrane N-glycans and provided additional detail to the specific epitopes affected. Specifically, in XBP1s-activated cells, the relative abundance of ions at m/z 3054 and 3415 (green peaks in Fig. 2B, Top versus Fig. 2B, Middle)—corresponding to triantennary N-glycans with one or two NeuAc (N-acetylenuraminic acid) residues, respectively—decreased substantially (e.g., ∼84% for m/z 3054) with respect to the relative abundance of the ion at m/z 2693, an undecorated tetraantennary N-glycan. Similar results were observed for tetraantennary N-glycans in XBP1s-activated cells (red peaks in Fig. 2B, Top versus Fig. 2B, Middle). Specifically, the relative abundance of ions at m/z 3503, 3864, and 4226, which correspond to singly, doubly, and triply sialylated structures, decreased substantially (e.g., ∼85% for m/z 3503) upon XBP1s activation compared with the relative abundance of the undecorated tetraantennary N-glycan at m/z 3142. Similar but less intense reductions in sialylation were also observed for higher-mass N-glycans (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). For example, in XBP1s-activated cells, the ions at m/z 5124 and 5485—corresponding mainly to tetraantennary N-glycans with two additional LacNAc (N-acetyllactosamine) repeats and three or four NeuAc residues—decreased by ∼22 and ∼44%, respectively, compared with the relative abundance of the ion at m/z 4763, which only has two NeuAc residues (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, Top versus SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, Middle). Lower-mass biantennary glycans also displayed an ∼80% decrease in sialylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C, Left versus SI Appendix, Fig. S3C, Middle).

We also performed GC-MS analysis (SI Appendix, Table S1) to add linkage details to our findings from the MALDI-TOF MS, and to further evaluate our lectin microarray findings. The detection of 6-linked galactose showed that a portion of NeuAc residues were α2,6-linked. The abundance of these α2,6-linked NeuAc residues substantially decreased in XBP1s-activated HEKXBP1s cells (23.6% in vehicle samples versus 4.0% in XBP1s-activated samples), in concordance with the lectin microarray data that specifically indicated reduced α2,6-sialylation (Fig. 2A). We also identified 3,4,6-linked mannose by GC-MS, verifying the presence of minor amounts of bisecting N-glycans in HEKXBP1s cell membranes. The abundance of these epitopes also decreased upon XBP1s activation in HEKXBP1s cells (SI Appendix, Table S1), again in agreement with the observation of decreased bisecting N-glycans by lectin microarrays (Fig. 2A).

Collectively, these data reveal that XBP1s activation in HEKXBP1s cells substantially remodeled the composition of the N-glycome (as summarized in Fig. 2C). SI Appendix, Table S2. Moreover, XBP1s-induced changes in the N-glycome were consistently detected both via lectin microarrays and MS. In particular, we observed a strong reduction in sialylation, specifically α2,6-sialylation, as well as a reduction in bisecting N-glycans.

Next, to ask whether induction of ER protein misfolding, and therefore all UPR pathways, has related effects on N-glycome composition, we performed the same analyses on membrane N-glycans from Tg-treated HEKXBP1s cells. We found that the overall glycomic profile closely mirrored that of selective XBP1s activation in that we observed reduced sialylation and a reduction in bisecting GlcNAc (Dataset S3A). However, the magnitude of the changes was less substantial. SNA-I and diCBM40 still showed statistically significant decreases in binding (19 and 40%, respectively; Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, Right). In contrast, while other α2,6-sialic acid-binding lectins (e.g., PSL and TJA-I) also displayed reduced overall binding, they did not meet the statistical cutoff. A reduction in sialylation was also observed in the MALDI-TOF MS data, evident both in the relative abundance of sialylated to undecorated triantennary N-glycans (green peaks in Fig. 2B, Top versus Fig. 2B, Bottom) and the relative abundance of sialylated to undecorated tetraantennary N-glycans (red peaks in Fig. 2B, Top versus Fig. 2B, Bottom). Sialylation of biantennary N-glycans also decreased substantially upon Tg treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C, Left versus SI Appendix, Fig. S3C, Right). GC-MS linkage analysis
confirmed a decrease in α2,6-linked NeuAcs, evidenced by a shift in the relative abundance of 6-linked galactose from 23.6% on vehicle-treated HEKXBP1s cells to 4.1% on Tg-treated cells. Like XBP1s, activation of global ER stress by Tg also caused a loss of bisecting GlcNAc, observed by reduced PHA-E binding in lectin microarrays (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, Right) and by a decrease in 3,4,6-mannose by GC-MS analysis (SI Appendix, Table S1). Notably, a gain in core 1/3 O-glycans was also observed upon Tg treatment, evidenced by increased binding to the lectins AIA, MPA, and MNA-G (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, Right). Detailed O-glycan analysis was not performed using MALDI-TOF MS here, but this last result suggests that further investigation may reveal interesting ER stress-mediated changes to O-linked glycosylations, in addition to N-linked glycosylations. The Tg-mediated alterations in the HEKXBP1s membrane glycome are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3.

We next asked whether activation of the XBP1s transcription factor has broader consequences for N-glycome composition across cell types. In particular, because cells derived from different tissues often have very different baseline N-glycome profiles (23, 24), we were interested in the consequences of XBP1s activation in distinctive cellular contexts. To address this question, we employed our HeLaXBP1s cells following the workflow in Fig. 1A. We first confirmed that the baseline glycome profiles of HEKXBP1s versus HeLaXBP1s cells were different. By lectin microarray analysis, HEKXBP1s and HeLaXBP1s cells showed distinct lectin binding patterns. Partial MALDI-TOF mass spectra from the HEKXBP1s membrane proteome are shown in Fig. 2B. Changes in the distribution of sialylation toward fewer NeuAcs on tri- and tetraantennary N-glycans are highlighted. Structures above a bracket were not unequivocally defined. “M” and “m” designations indicate major and minor abundances, respectively. Putative structures are based on composition, tandem MS, and knowledge of biosynthetic pathways. All molecular ions are [M+Na]+. High-mass spectra are presented in SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, with GC-MS data in SI Appendix, Table S1. Figure 2C summarizes XBP1s-induced changes to the HEKXBP1s membrane N-glycome (see also SI Appendix, Tables S2 and S3).

（SI Appendix, Table S1）confirmed a decrease in α2,6-linked NeuAcs, evidenced by a shift in the relative abundance of 6-linked galactose from 23.6% on vehicle-treated HEKXBP1s cells to 4.1% on Tg-treated cells. Like XBP1s, activation of global ER stress by Tg also caused a loss of bisecting GlcNAc, observed by reduced PHA-E binding in lectin microarrays (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, Right) and by a decrease in 3,4,6-mannose by GC-MS analysis (SI Appendix, Table S1). Notably, a gain in core 1/3 O-glycans was also observed upon Tg treatment, evidenced by increased binding to the lectins AIA, MPA, and MNA-G (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, Right). Detailed O-glycan analysis was not performed using MALDI-TOF MS here, but this last result suggests that further investigation may reveal interesting ER stress-mediated changes to O-linked glycosylations, in addition to N-linked glycosylations. The Tg-mediated alterations in the HEKXBP1s membrane glycome are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3.

XBP1s Remodels HeLaXBP1s Membrane N-Glycoproteomes in a Cell Type-Dependent Manner. We next asked whether activation of the XBP1s transcription factor has broader consequences for N-glycome composition across cell types. In particular, because cells derived from different tissues often have very different baseline N-glycome profiles (23, 24), we were interested in the consequences of XBP1s activation in distinctive cellular contexts. To address this question, we employed our HeLaXBP1s cells following the workflow in Fig. 1A. We first confirmed that the baseline glycome profiles of HEKXBP1s versus HeLaXBP1s cells were different. By lectin microarray analysis, HEKXBP1s and
HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells displayed strikingly different levels of oligomannose N-glycans (Man\textsubscript{3} to Man\textsubscript{5}) and sialylation epitopes (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Additionally, we found using MALDI-TOF/TOF MS/MS that, whereas high-mass poly-LacNAc N-glycans in HEK\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells (Fig. 3A, SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, and Dataset S3B) relative to the observation in HEK cells, XBP1s-activated HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} membranes exhibited increased levels of less processed N-linked glycans relative to vehicle-treated controls, based on increased signal from several high-mass (Man\textsubscript{3} to Man\textsubscript{5}) and oligomannose-binding lectins (rGRFT, HHL, NPA, GNL, and Calsepa) (25). Additionally, XBP1s activation resulted in increased core fucosylation (PSA and LeH) and β,1,6-GlcNAc branching (PHA-L, which binds tri- and tetraantennary glycans). No shift in sialylation was observed. MALDI-TOF MS analysis was fully consistent with these observations. Similar to the microarray data, no significant changes in glycan abundance of tetra- versus triantennary N-glycans (intensity of all peaks in Fig. 3B, Top versus Fig. 3B, Middle). Similarly, no change was observed in sialylation of the tetraantennary N-glycans (comparing the intensity of the red peaks within the red-shaded areas in Fig. 3B, Top versus Fig. 3B, Middle). Instead, consistent with the lectin microarray data, the most striking consequence of XBP1s activation for the HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} monolayer was a substantial increase in the abundance of tetra- versus triantennary N-glycans (intensity of all of the red versus all of the green peaks in Fig. 3B, Top versus Fig. 3B, Middle). GC-MS linkage analysis further confirmed the MALDI-TOF MS and microarray data, demonstrating (i) an increase in tetraantennary N-glycans revealed by increased 2,4- and 2,6-linked mannose in the partially permethylated alditol acetates (SI Appendix, Table S1) and (ii) an increase in core fucosylation revealed by increased levels of 4,6-linked GlcNAc (SI Appendix, Table S1).

As performed here, MALDI-TOF MS does not permit quantitation of relative high- and oligomannose levels between two samples, and so we were unable to further validate that particular finding from the lectin microarray using the MS data. Moreover, the experimental strategy of cell-membrane isolation by ultracentrifugation can be problematic when assessing changes in levels of less processed N-glycans on membrane proteins, as all cell membranes are isolated in the ultracentrifugation step. Therefore, to further evaluate the increase in high-mannose levels observed via lectin microarrays upon XBP1s activation (Fig. 3A), we turned to a lectin-based flow cytometry approach (26). We incubated live HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells, treated with dox or vehicle as above, with biotinylated HHL, a lectin that preferentially binds high-mannose N-glycans (27). We then stained cells with Cy5-streptavidin to enable quantitative fluorescence detection of high-mannose N-glycans. XBP1s-activated HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells displayed a modest but significant increase in HHL signal compared with vehicle-treated control cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A, Left and Middle). We confirmed that HHL was detecting bona fide high-mannose N-glycans by showing that (i) inhibiting lectin binding with methyl α-D-mannopyranoside reduced the signal (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C, Right) and (ii) the mannosidase I inhibitor L-deoxymannojirimycin (28) increased HHL binding without causing a loss of cell viability (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 B–D).

Collectively, these data reveal that XBP1s activation in HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells substantially remodels the HeLa Membrane N-glycome, as summarized in Fig. 3C and SI Appendix, Table S2. In particular, we observed strong increases in both core fucosylation and the abundance of tetraantennary N-glycans via both lectin microarray and MALDI-TOF MS analyses. In addition, the combination of lectin microarrays and lectin flow cytometry experiments revealed that XBP1s activation increased levels of high- and oligomannose N-glycans on the HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cell surface. Stress-induced, global UPR activation via Tg treatment of HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells also caused increases in high-mannose levels (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. SSC, Right; see also Dataset S3B), demonstrated by significantly increased binding of the α1,2-mannose-specific antiviral lectins HSV and RCA, in addition to rGRFT. However, Tg treatment also induced a loss of β1,6-branched N-glycans based on decreased signal from PHA-L, revealing a distinct difference from the XBP1s-induced signal (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. SSC; Right). Again, no changes in sialylation were observed. These observations were fully confirmed by our MALDI-TOF MS analysis, in which Tg-treated cells did not display increased tetraantennary N-glycans relative to vehicle-treated cells (red versus green shading in Fig. 3B, Top versus Fig. 3B, Bottom). Instead, as anticipated from the lectin microarray work (Fig. 3A), a decrease in 2,4- and 2,6-linked mannose was observed by GC-MS, the latter being consistent with a loss of β1,6-branched N-glycans (SI Appendix, Table S1). The Tg-mediated alterations in the HeLa\textsubscript{XBP1s} membrane glycome are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3.

In summary, the ability of XBP1s (or even stress-induced UPR activation) to globally remodel the N-glycome extends beyond just a single cell type. The molecular nature of the resulting changes in N-glycan distribution patterns is cell type-dependent, likely owing at least in part to differences in baseline N-glycome composition. The magnitude of the effects can be quite substantial.

XBP1s Remodels the N-Glycan Composition of the HEK\textsubscript{XBP1s} Secretome.

The observation that XBP1s activation alters the structure distribution pattern of N-glycans on cell surfaces in a cell type-dependent manner suggests that cell–cell interactions, cell adhesion, and receptor signaling could all be modulated by XBP1s. Beyond cell membranes, a large portion of the N-glycoproteome is composed of soluble, secreted proteins. Alterations in the N-glycans on secreted proteins could provide a mechanism for long-distance transmission of cell stress signals, or engender other important phenotypes. Therefore, we next asked whether XBP1s-mediated remodeling extends to the secreted N-glycome.

The secretome from XBP1s-activated HEK\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells displayed substantial N-glycan remodeling when analyzed by lectin microarrays (SI Appendix, Fig. S7, Left, and Dataset S3C). A decrease in both β1,6-N-glycan branching (PHA-L) and bisecting GlcNAc (PHA-E) was observed, similar to our findings for the HEK\textsubscript{XBP1s} membrane N-glycome. A modest (27%) but not statistically significant decrease in binding to α2,6-sialylation epitopes based on the lectins SNA-I and diCMB40 was also observed. These results were confirmed by our MALDI-TOF MS and GC-MS analyses (see below). We also observed a significant increase in binding to oligomannose-targeting lectins (HHL, UDA, NPA, Calsepa, and GNA). MALDI-TOF MS analysis (Fig. 4B) revealed that N-glycans isolated from the secretome of HEK\textsubscript{XBP1s} cells featured abundant core fucosylated bi- (purple peaks; m/z 2244, 2605, and 2966), tetra- (red peaks; m/z 3142, 3503, 3864, 4226, and 4587), and, to a lesser extent, triantennary (green peaks; m/z 2693, 3054, 3415, and 3776) N-glycans. Upon XBP1s activation, the tri- and tetraantennary, but not the biantennary, N-glycans exhibited reduced sialylation (Fig. 4B, Top versus Fig. 4B, Middle). For example, the relative abundance of molecular ions at m/z 3503, 3864, 4226, and 4587 compared with m/z 3142 was reduced from vehicle-treated levels in the XBP1s-activated secretome (red peaks in Fig. 4B, Top versus Fig. 4B, Middle). GC-MS analysis confirmed a small reduction in α2,6-sialylation overall (SI Appendix, Table S1; 6-linked galactose: vehicle 98.9% versus XBP1s 63.8%), consistent with our lectin microarray analysis. The decreases in bisected N-glycans and β1,6-branched observed by microarray were also confirmed by our
Collectively, these data reveal that XBP1s activation in HEKXBP1s cells substantially remodels the composition of the secreted N-glycome, as summarized in Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Table S2. Lectin microarray analyses revealed an increase in oligomannose levels. Both lectin microarray and MALDI-TOF MS analyses demonstrated a decrease in bisecting N-glycans. MALDI-TOF MS showed a loss of sialylation on specific N-glycan epitopes (for tri- and tetraantennary but not biantennary N-glycans), suggesting possible protein-specific effects influencing loss of sialylation. While the reduction in bisecting N-glycans and a loss of sialylation are both consistent with our observations for the HEKXBP1s membrane N-glycomes (Fig. 2C), the increase in oligomannose levels is limited to the secretome. This observation highlights the importance of separately evaluating membrane and secreted N-glycans in glycomic experiments, as we have also noted in prior work (29, 30).

We also analyzed the consequences of stress-induced, global UPR activation via Tg treatment on the composition of the secreted N-glycome in HEKXBP1s cells. The secreted N-glycome of Tg-treated HEKXBP1s cells was similar to that of XBP1s-activated cells in that reduced levels of β1,6 N-glycan branching (decreased binding to PHA-L) and bisecting GlcNAc (decreased binding to PHA-E) were observed (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S7, Right; see also Dataset S3C). MALDI-TOF MS and GC-MS analyses (Fig. 4B, Top versus Fig. 4B, Bottom and SI Appendix, Table S1) confirmed the decreases in levels of tri- and tetraantennary N-glycans (β1,6 N-glycan branching shown by changes in 2,4-linked mannose and 2,6-linked mannose levels). There was no impact on overall α2,6-sialylation, although a decrease in α2,3-sialylation was observed via reduced binding to MAL-I, MAL-II, and diCBM40 (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the secretome of XBP1s-activated cells, Tg treatment did not induce an increase in oligomannose levels. These Tg-mediated alterations in the HEKXBP1s secreted glycoproteome are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3.

XBP1s Does Not Significantly Alter the Proteomic Composition of the HEKXBP1s Secretome. We questioned whether XBP1s-driven changes in the N-glycan architecture of the HEKXBP1s secretome could be attributed to changes in the composition of the proteome caused by XBP1s activation. To assess this hypothesis, we induced XBP1s by dox treatment for 24 h and then collected conditioned, serum-free DMEM after a 6-h incubation of vehicle-treated or

---

**Figure 3.** Analysis of the HEKXBP1s membrane glycoproteome. (A) Heatmap of lectin microarray data generated from HEKXBP1s membrane glycoproteomes. Full lectin names, print concentration, and sources are listed in Dataset S2. Color intensity represents normalized log2 ratio data relative to a pooled sample reference. Each column represents one biological replicate of the indicated sample. Select lectin groups with shared binding specificities are annotated (Right). See also SI Appendix, Fig. S5C and Dataset S3B. (B) Partial MALDI-TOF mass spectra from the HEKXBP1s membrane proteome. Green and red peaks correspond to tri- and tetraantennary N-glycans with various levels of sialylation. Green- and red-shaded areas highlight the increased abundance of tetraantennary N-glycans. The designation “vm” indicates very minor species; see Fig. 2B legend for description of other annotations. High-mass spectra and selected MS/MS fragmentations are presented in SI Appendix, Fig. S5A and B, with GC-MS data in SI Appendix, Table S1. (C) Summary of XBP1s-induced changes to the HEKXBP1s membrane N-glycome (see also SI Appendix, Tables S2 and S3).
dox-treated cells in biological triplicate. We used serum-free DMEM, not FreeStyle media optimized for HEK cell growth, because FreeStyle media contain various proprietary peptides that interfere with MS analysis. The use of serum-free DMEM limited us to a 6-h incubation instead of the longer incubation used in our glycomic experiments, to avoid extensive autophagy induction and cell toxicity. We precipitated total protein from the collected media, followed by denaturing, reducing, alkylating, and trypsinizing peptides. The digested samples were then labeled with isobaric mass tags to permit quantitative assessment of proteome composition and identify any XBP1s-mediated changes. Using this approach, we were able to quantify 700 secreted proteins across all samples (Dataset S4). Using significance thresholds of unadjusted $P$ value $\leq 0.05$ and fold change $\geq 1.5$, we found that levels of only 16 of these 700 proteins were changed by XBP1s activation [we further note that no proteins qualified using a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 10% by Benjamini–Hochberg analysis]. Of those 16 proteins, only 9 are annotated in UniProtKB as being N-glycosylated. These data led us to conclude that the observed changes in the secreted glycome are unlikely to be driven by changes in the composition of the secreted proteome. Instead, we hypothesized that altered biosynthesis of N-glycans is the controlling factor. Selective XBP1s Induction Remodels the Glycogene Transcriptome. Control mechanisms of N-glycan biosynthesis are not well-understood. One possible way in which induction of XBP1s could lead to an

Fig. 4. Analysis of the HEKXBP1s secreted glycoproteome. (A) Heatmap of lectin microarray data generated from HEKXBP1s secreted glycoproteomes. Full lectin names, print concentration, and sources are listed in Dataset S2A. Color intensity represents normalized log$_2$ ratio data relative to a pooled sample reference. Each column represents one biological replicate of the indicated sample. Select lectin groups with shared binding specificities are annotated (Right). See also SI Appendix, Fig. S7 and Dataset S3C. (B) Partial MALDI-TOF mass spectra from the HEKXBP1s secretome. Purple, green, and red peaks correspond to bi-, tri-, and tetraantennary N-glycans with various levels of sialylation. Purple-, green-, and red-shaded areas highlight the distribution shift of sialylation on the corresponding bi-, tri-, and tetraantennary N-glycans. Noncore fucosylated structures corresponded to residual FBS-derived N-glycans that we characterized previously (brown peaks; $m/z$ 2792, 3602, and 3964) (52). These FBS-derived N-glycans were observed consistently in all samples and were excluded from the analyses as previously described (53). GC-MS data are presented in SI Appendix, Table S1. See Fig. 2B legend for description of other annotations. (C) Summary of XBP1s-induced changes to the HEKXBP1s secreted N-glycome (see also SI Appendix, Tables S2 and S3).
altered glycome is via enhanced flux through the secretory pathway that overwhelms the N-glycan maturation machinery and causes uncoordinated changes in structure distribution patterns. However, global changes in protein secretion that might suggest the Golgi N-glycan maturation pathway is overwhelmed upon XBP1s activation have not been detected [see Dataset S4 and work by Wiseman and coworkers (4)]. Moreover, while the changes in oligomannose levels in HeLaXBP1s membranes and HEKXBP1s secretomes could possibly be linked to increased flux overwhelming the N-glycan maturation pathway, it seems unlikely that many of the other changes observed (e.g., increases in core fucosylation, alterations in N-glycan branching, and changes in specific types of sialylation) could be explained by this hypothesis. Thus, although we cannot completely exclude the possibility, we believe the “overwhelming flux” hypothesis is unlikely to be correct.

A second possible explanation is that XBP1s activation either directly (as it is a transcription factor), or indirectly [as it can alter the expression of other transcription factors (4, 31, 32)] modifies the expression of enzymes involved in N-glycan maturation and biosynthesis (known as glycogenes), leading to transcriptionally encoded changes in N-glycome architecture. This explanation would be consistent with prior work showing that alterations in the expression of glycome transcripts can induce changes in the N-glycome (24, 33). To evaluate this possibility, we used both previously published data for HEKXBP1s cells (4), and our new RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data for HeLaXBP1s cells to characterize the glycogene transcript-level changes induced by chronic, selective XBP1s activation in vehicle- versus dox-treated cells. In both HEKXBP1s cells and HeLaXBP1s cells, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) highlighted N-linked glycosylation as a highly enriched pathway under conditions of chronic, selective XBP1s activation (Dataset S1C). Indeed, GO groups related to protein glycosylation are the most enriched gene sets in XBP1s-activated cells, after XBP1s- and IRE1-regulated aspects of the classical unfolded protein response. Furthermore, standard GSEA indicated strong enrichment of genes involved in biosynthesis of the lipid-linked oligosaccharide in both HEKXBP1s and HeLaXBP1s cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 and Dataset S1E). However, our data suggest that XBP1s activation does not globally alter transcripts involved in N-glycan maturation, as examination of a comprehensive gene set involved in N-glycan trimming and elaboration showed no global enrichment in XBP1s-activated cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 and Dataset S1E). This observation suggests that XBP1s regulates specific subsets of these glycogenes, consistent with the changes in levels of only specific glycogenes observed in our glycomic analyses.

We next manually curated a list of 1,310 genes related to protein N-glycosylation from gene ontology datasets (the gene sets used and full list of N-glycosylation–related genes analyzed are shown in Dataset S5 A and B, respectively). These N-glycosylation–related genes included not just enzymes involved in N-glycan biosynthesis, trimming, and modification but also sugar transporters, monosaccharide synthases, and catabolic enzymes. We plotted the changes in expression levels of these individual glycogenes upon XBP1s activation for HEKXBP1s and HeLaXBP1s cells in the volcano plots in Fig. 5 A and C, respectively. As some glycogenes are expressed at low levels (34), we also employed a commercially available Qiagen RT2 Profiler Human Glycosylation PCR Array to analyze transcript levels of selected glycogenes. For transcripts that were detected by multiple methods, we used the qPCR array results, rationalizing that the latter is more sensitive (35).

For HEKXBP1s cells, 39 of 950 expressed glycogene transcripts were significantly altered by chronic XBP1s activation (teal in Fig. 5 A; see Dataset S5C for a complete list of results). This analysis (i) confirms the remodeling of the glycogene transcriptome induced by XBP1s activation in HEKXBP1s cells, especially for a number of glycogenes directly involved in N-glycan maturation, and (ii) is in concordance with key features of the N-glycome remodeling caused by XBP1s activation in both the membrane and secretome of HEKXBP1s cells (Figs. 2 C and 4C, respectively). Specifically (Fig. 5B), reduced levels of MGAT3 (shown in pink in Fig. 5A), which encodes the enzyme responsible for introduction of bisecting GlcNAc residues (36), correlate with the reduction in bisected N-glycans observed most...
significantly in the HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} membrane N-glycome (Fig. 2C), but also in the secreted N-glycome (Fig. 4C). Although below the threshold for significance, there was also a decrease in the transcripts of the α,2,6-sialyltransferase gene ST6GAL1 (0.69-fold for XBP1s-activated HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} versus control, P = 0.23) and an increase in transcript levels for the sialidase gene NEU3 (1.4-fold for XBP1s-activated HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} versus control, P = 0.25) that together may contribute to the loss of α,2,6-sialylation, especially on more branched structures, that we observed (Fig. 5B).

For HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} cells, 155 of 1,075 expressed glycogene transcripts were significantly altered by chronic XBP1s activation (blue in Fig. 5C; see Dataset SSD for a complete list of results). As was also the case in the HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} transcriptome data, this analysis is again in concordance with at least one key feature of the N-glycome remodeling caused by XBP1s activation in HeLaXBP1s cell membranes (Fig. 3C). Specifically (Fig. 5D), XBP1s-activated HeLa\textsuperscript{XBP1s} cells exhibited increased expression of genes affecting nucleotide sugar availability (SI Appendix, Fig. S9 and Dataset SSD). Among the genes significantly overexpressed, we observed plasma membrane glucose transporters, such as GLUT1 and GLUT3 (encoded by SLC2A1 and SLC2A3, respectively), which could potentially increase glucose availability to the cytosol. We also identified genes in the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway required for the biosynthesis of the UDP-GlcNAc sugar donor (37), including PGM3 (4.35-fold increase), UAP1 (2.12-fold increase), and the rate-limiting GTFPT1 (3.15-fold increase) (38). The increased expression of these enzymes, which collectively regulate cytosolic levels of UDP-GlcNAc (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), was accompanied by an increase in the Golgi UDP-GlcNAc transporter SLC35A3, thus increasing potential UDP-GlcNAc availability for Golgi glycosyltransferases (39). Levels of UDP-GlcNAc have been shown to affect the levels of tri- and tetraantennary N-glycans (37). It is therefore likely that, even in the absence of altered MGAT5 expression, the abundance of tetraantennary N-glycans observed in HeLa\textsuperscript{XBP1s} cells might increase with XBP1s activation owing to increased UDP-GlcNAc concentrations in the Golgi. The connection between changes in UDP-GlcNAc levels and MGAT5-dependent β1,6-branched, in the absence of protein expression changes, is well-established (40). In further support of this hypothesis, changes in the level of functional PGM2 have been correlated with altered N-glycome profiles in human neutrophils (41), and increased expression of UAP1 in human prostate cancer cells has been correlated with increased abundance of UDP-GlcNAc (42).

Collectively, these transcript-level results provide insights into the detailed mechanism of some of the XBP1s-induced changes in cellular N-glycome architectures. XBP1s activation results in a coordinated remodeling of the glycogene transcriptome at multiple levels, particularly for specific subsets of genes involved in N-glycan maturation and the availability of nucleotide sugar donors. In several cases, the alterations in glycogene transcript levels are in concordance with N-glycome-level changes induced by XBP1s activation. It should be noted, however, that N-glycosylation is regulated at many levels—not just transcriptionally, but also at the levels of translation (via microRNA), enzyme localization, and sugar metabolism/transport (13, 24, 34, 43–45). Thus, it is to be expected that XBP1s-induced changes in the glycogene transcriptome would be unlikely to directly explain all of the N-glycome changes we observed.

Discussion

Previous work has revealed the paradigmatic role of XBP1s in resolving protein misfolding stress and in expanding the secretory pool. The impact of XBP1s activation on N-glycan maturation for specific ectopically overexpressed secreted proteins and possibly on N-glycan site occupancy has also been demonstrated (13, 18). However, it remained unclear whether or not selective XBP1s activation could alter N-glycan maturation on endogenous proteins. Strikingly, our data show that chronic XBP1s activation can generate changes of sufficient magnitude to be detected in glycome-wide assays that assess global carbohydrate composition in cell membranes and in the secretome.

XBP1s-mediated changes in N-glycome composition occur across multiple cell types. The nature of these changes displays some cell-type specificity, likely owing to the very different baseline N-glycome compositions of different cells. As summarized in SI Appendix, Table S2, upon stress-independent XBP1s activation in three different sets of samples, we observed increases in oligomannose levels in two sample sets (HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} secretomes and HeLa\textsuperscript{XBP1s} membranes), reductions in sialylation in two sample sets (HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} membranes and HEK\textsuperscript{XBP1s} secretomes), and increases in core fucosylation and tetraantennary N-glycans in one sample set (HeLa\textsuperscript{XBP1s} membranes). These results were consistently observed by multiple analytical methods, including lectin microarrays, MALDI-TOF MS, GC-MS, and lectin flow cytometry. We note that, in many cases, related changes were also induced by global, stress-mediated UPR activation using Tg treatment (SI Appendix, Table S3). However, the capacity of XBP1s activation alone to remodel the N-glycome indicates the key role of this transcription factor in driving N-glycome remodeling. ER stress is not required.

XBP1s-induced alterations in N-glycome architectures appeal to result, at least in part, a coordinated response owing to remodeling of the glycogene transcriptome. In particular, changes in the expression of specific transcripts encoding enzymes directly involved in N-glycan maturation were induced by XBP1s activation. N-glycome remodeling driven by changes in glycogene transcript levels is consistent with observations that differential expression of glycosylation-related transcripts (24), or even individual glycogenes (46), can give rise to cell type- and disease-specific glycosylation profiles. However, full prediction of how glycogene transcriptome changes will be reflected in secreted and cell-membrane N-glycome architectures remains very challenging, likely owing to the fact that multiple pathways and not just glycogene transcript levels, play a role in N-glycan biosynthesis (13, 24, 34, 43–45). Thus, unsurprisingly, although some features of the XBP1s-remodeled N-glycome correlate with transcript-level changes in glycogene expression (Fig. 5B and D), a direct correlation with all features does not exist. Further studies to fully detail the intermediate steps that propagate XBP1s activation to an altered N-glycome are an important subject for future work.

Another interesting aspect of our data is the differential impact of XBP1s activation on membrane versus secreted glycogenes, particularly with respect to an increase in oligomannose levels in the secretome. Secreted glycoproteins on exosomes have been shown to have a glycosylation phenotype distinct from that of the originating cell membranes (29). Moreover, high- and oligomannose glycans are enriched in exosomes, and there are data indicating that glycosylation can act as a trafficking marker for these secreted vesicles (47). In the body, secreted glycoproteins can impact biology at sites distant to the cell, and there are many glycan-binding proteins involved in innate immunity. Cell-nonautonomous UPR signaling has been observed in metabolic regulation, immune system activation, and tumorigenesis (48), all processes that are also responsive to target- and/or epitope-specific glycosylation (17, 49, 50). Cumulatively, these observations raise the intriguing possibility that cells may use XBP1s-enacted changes in glycosylation in the secretome to signal for immune system functions or for cell-nonautonomous stress signaling (8, 10).

Selective activation of the IRE1\textsuperscript{XBP1s} arm of the UPR, even in the absence of ER protein misfolding stress, is a widely observed biological phenomenon. For example, selective XBP1s activation is involved in both memory formation and circadian clock regulation (9, 51). Similarly, selective induction of the XBP1s arm of the UPR plays a critical role in aspects of the immune response and in development (7, 8). The molecular mechanisms by which XBP1s activity influences these processes continue to be investigated. Our results indicate that a functional role for XBP1s-activated glycosylation must be considered. Beyond these phenomena, selective,
chronic XBPIs activation is also commonly observed in malignancies (11). It is noteworthy that another common feature of cancer cells is modified N-glycosylation (16, 50). Our findings open the possibility of a mechanistic connection between chronic XBPIs activity in cancer and metastasis-promoting, neoplastic N-glycosylation biology.

In conclusion, the capacity of XBPIs-mediated transcriptional regulation to remodel the molecular architecture of the N-glycome provides a potential new pathway for intracellular stress signaling to be propagated to the extracellular milieu. XBPIs-induced changes to the N-glycome may also hold implications for pathologic processes, such as development of neoplastic glycosylation patterns that support cancer metastasis. In ongoing work, we are using glycoproteome strategies to identify the specific endogenous proteins whose N-glycans are altered by XBPIs, and characterizing the functional consequences of such N-glycome remodeling.

Materials and Methods
Detailed protocols for the following procedures can be found in the SI Appendix: glycan analyses by lectin microarray, MALDI-TOF MS, and TOF/TOF MS/MS; glycan linkage analysis by GC-MS; cell culture and reagents, RNA extraction, and in vitro assays. Protein expression was determined by Western blotting. Immunoprecipitation was performed using Protein A/G agarose beads. Biochemical studies were performed in triplicate. Statistical significance was analyzed using two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. p values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.
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