Spastin is a dual-function enzyme that severs microtubules and promotes their regrowth to increase the number and mass of microtubules
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The remodeling of the microtubule cytoskeleton underlies dynamic cellular processes, such as mitosis, ciliogenesis, and neuronal morphogenesis. An important class of microtubule remodelers comprises the severases—spastin, katanin, and fidgetin—which cut microtubules into shorter fragments. While severing activity might be expected to break down the microtubule cytoskeleton, inhibiting these enzymes in vivo actually decreases, rather increases, the number of microtubules, suggesting that severases have a nucleation-like activity. To resolve this paradox, we reconstituted \textit{Drosophila} spastin in a dynamic microtubule assay and discovered that it is a dual-function enzyme. In addition to its ATP-dependent severing activity, spastin is an ATP-independent regulator of microtubule dynamics that slows shrinkage and increases rescue. We observed that spastin accumulates at shrinking ends; this increase in spastin concentration may underlie the increase in rescue frequency and the slowdown in shortening. The changes in microtubule dynamics promote microtubule regrowth so that severed microtubule fragments grow, leading to an increase in the number and mass of microtubules. A mathematical model shows that spastin’s effect on microtubule dynamics is essential for this nucleation-like activity: spastin switches microtubules into a state where the net flux of tubulin onto each polymer is positive, leading to the observed exponential increase in microtubule mass. This increase in the microtubule mass accounts for spastin’s in vivo phenotypes.

Microtubules are dynamic cytoskeletal polymers that play central roles in cell division, intracellular transport, cell migration, signaling, and cellular morphogenesis (1–3). The morphology and dynamics of microtubule networks are important for their versatile cellular functions. Microtubules have a distinct feature, termed dynamic instability, in which they switch between growing and shrinking phases (4). It is thought that, during growth, GTP-tubulin molecules are incorporated at the microtubule tips and form a stabilizing cap. The loss of this cap due to GTP hydrolysis or subsequent phosphate release leads to catastrophe: the transition from the growing phase to the shrinking phase (5, 6). The reestablishment of the cap leads to rescue: the transition from the shrinking phase to the growing phase. A central question is how various microtubule-associated proteins modulate the assembly and disassembly of existing microtubules as well as generate new microtubules (nucleation) as they remodel cellular microtubule arrays to meet the ever-changing needs of living organisms.

The microtubule-severing enzymes katanin, spastin, and fidgetin are AAA proteins that sever microtubules in an ATP-dependent manner. The founding member of this superfamily, katanin, was first purified from sea urchin egg cytoplasm, where it was thought to break down the interphase microtubules before formation of the mitotic spindle during cell division (7). Severases differ from other microtubule depolymerases, which function primarily at the microtubule ends (8), by binding to and generating internal breaks in the microtubule lattice (9). Previous work has found that severing enzymes are crucial for various biological processes, including mitotic and meiotic spindle formation (10, 11), cilia resorption (12), organization of plant cortical microtubule arrays (13, 14), axonal outgrowth, and neuronal morphogenesis (15–18). Despite their biological importance, how severing enzymes regulate the organization and dynamics of microtubule network remains unknown.

Microtubule severing was first thought of as a destructive process promoting the disassembly of microtubules into the free tubulin pool (7, 18). However, subsequent in vivo studies reported the paradoxical observation that disruption of severing enzyme activity actually leads to a decrease of microtubule density in different systems. The first example of such a phenotype was that a loss-of-function spastin mutant reduced pre-synaptic microtubules in \textit{Drosophila} neuromuscular junctions (16) [but see Trotta et al. (18)]. Related phenotypes have been observed in several other systems: RNAi knockdown and mutations of the \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans} katanin homolog mei-1 decreased the number of microtubules in the oocyte meiotic spindle (19), knockdown of spastin caused loss and defects of axonal microtubules in the spinal cords of zebrafish embryos.

Microtubule-severing enzymes are ATPases that utilize ATP hydrolysis to fragment microtubules. These enzymes play central roles in various cellular functions, including cell growth and division and neural development. In vivo studies have shown that severing enzymes can lead to an increase in microtubule mass, but how severing activity and microtubule dynamics collectively expand the microtubule network remains unclear. Here, we demonstrate that the severing enzyme spastin is a dual-microtubule regulator: in addition to its severing activity, it promotes microtubule regrowth through an ATP-independent mechanism. Using a mathematical model, we show that the modulation of dynamics is essential for increasing microtubule mass and identify quantitative criteria that must be satisfied if severing is to expand the microtubule network.
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(20), and mutation of the katanin ortholog in Drosophila diminished the EB1-labeled microtubule comets in dendrites and affected branching morphology (21). These findings all suggest that severing proteins have a nucleation-like activity by which they increase the number of microtubules and thereby increase the microtubule mass.

A common explanation for this phenomenon is that microtubules cut by severases act as nucleation templates to support new microtubule growth (19, 22, 23). This hypothesis, however, conflicts with the GTP cap model of dynamic instability. According to this model, a break generated by severing enzymes on microtubules should create two GDP-tubulin–exposed ends: the ends are expected to be unstable, and the two newly generated microtubule fragments should shrink and disappear unless stabilized at their ends, perhaps by other microtubule-associated proteins (23, 24). Thus, severing should decrease the number and total mass of microtubules, which contradicts the in vivo findings.

To address this paradox, a recent paper (25) reported that spastin and katanin have an ATP-dependent lattice exchange activity by which GTP-tubulin in solution is transferred into the GDP-tubulin lattice. This activity is proposed to create “GTP islands” in the lattice (26, 27), which both promote microtubule rescue before severing and stabilize the microtubule plus end after severing. The combination of rescue and new end stabilization was proposed to lead to microtubule amplification, although no evidence was presented and no argument was made as to whether these two properties were necessary or sufficient to increase microtubule mass. Additionally, previous studies have shown that free tubulin in solution strongly inhibits the severing activity by reducing the binding of katanin to the microtubule lattice (28, 29), suggesting that lattice damage and GTP-tubulin incorporation may also be inhibited by free tubulin.

To determine how severases increase microtubule mass, we reconstituted spastin in vitro in the presence of tubulin. We found that, in addition to its ATP-dependent severing activity that creates more shorter microtubules, spastin has an ATP-independent promotion of microtubule regrowth (slowing of shrinkage and increasing rescues), which switches microtubules into a state where the net flux of tubulin onto each polymer is positive so that the newly severed microtubules can regrow. A mathematical model of microtubule dynamic instability that includes severing predicts that the severing and regrowth activities lead to an exponential increase in microtubule mass, which we confirmed experimentally. Because spastin-catalyzed lattice exchange requires ATP hydrolysis, yet its rescue promotion effect does not, the lattice exchange/GTP islands mechanism is not necessary for increasing microtubule number and mass. Our work thus demonstrates that Drosophila spastin is a dual-function enzyme combining an ATP-dependent modulation of dynamic instability with the ATP-dependent severing activity to increase microtubule number and mass.

**Results**

To elucidate possible mechanisms of microtubule regulation by severing enzymes inside cells, we asked if the severing protein spastin has a direct effect on microtubule dynamics. Drosophila spastin was expressed and purified (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). We first confirmed using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy that, in the absence of free tubulin, spastin is capable of severing microtubules stabilized by guanosine-5’-[(α,β)-methylene]triphosphate (GMP-CPP) or taxol at low nanomolar concentration (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

We next studied the effects of spastin on dynamic microtubules in the presence of free tubulin but without ATP so as to avoid the confounding effects of severing. Using interference reflection microscopy (IRM) (Fig. 1A) (30), we visualized the growth and shrinkage of unlabeled microtubules in the presence of 8 μM tubulin and GTP; an example is shown in Fig. 1 B and C. We found that addition of 50 nM spastin, close to the cellular concentration (46.5 nM in HeLa cells and ~50–100 nM in the neuronal tissues of developing rats) (31, 32), did not change the growth rate (Fig. 1D) but strongly decreased the shrinkage rate (Fig. 1E). Spastin decreased the catastrophe frequency by approximately one-half (Fig. 1F), while it increased the rescue frequency more than 10-fold (Fig. 1G). Similar effects were observed without ATP or with adenylyl-imidodiphosphate (AMP-PNP) (Fig. 1D–G). No microtubule severing was observed in either case, suggesting that ATP hydrolysis is required for severing as previously argued (7, 33). Thus, in addition to being a severase, Drosophila spastin is a regulator of microtubule dynamics that reduces the shrinkage rate and promotes rescue (summarized in Table 1).

We next asked if spastin can sever dynamic microtubules and whether severing dynamic microtubules can increase microtubule density in vitro. We fixed fluorescently labeled GMP-CPP microtubule seeds to the chamber surface and grew dynamic microtubules with unlabeled tubulin. A reaction mix containing spastin, tubulin, and both ATP and GTP was then perfused in. Within 2 min, cut microtubules were observed (Fig. 2A, 2 min), showing that severing activity persists in the presence of free tubulin and GTP. To our delight, severed microtubules regrew over the next several minutes, and the number of microtubules increased (Fig. 2A, 5, 7, and 10 min). A control mix with only tubulin, spastin, GTP, and ATP showed no de novo microtubule formation in the absence of GMP-CPP seeds, suggesting that spastin does not increase microtubule amount by promoting spontaneous nucleation. To quantify the increase in the amount of microtubule polymer in these experiments, we measured the microtubule mass on the surface over time (Fig. 2B, black line). Note that, because only surface-bound microtubules are observed in this assay, the total amount of polymerized tubulin is underestimated due to microtubules that dissociate from the surface and do not rebind. The surface-bound microtubule mass increased approximately exponentially during the 10 min after spastin was introduced. Fitting the growth curve with a single exponential (Fig. 2B, red dashed line) gave a doubling time of ~4 min. These results show that spastin and tubulin are the minimal components sufficient to increase the total microtubule mass in vitro through a severing-dependent mechanism.

To resolve the apparent inconsistency of the observed microtubule mass increase with the GTP cap model, we investigated the fate of severed microtubule ends after cutting by spastin. The GTP cap model posits that growing microtubule ends are protected by a cap of GTP-tubulin to prevent disassembly of the unstable GDP-tubulin lattice. Therefore, the model predicts that cutting a microtubule with a severing enzyme should expose GDP-tubulin lattice at the two newly formed ends, both of which should shrink. Instead, we observed an asymmetric behavior of the new ends. Most of the newly generated plus ends depolymerized after a breakage was detected (Fig. 3A, yellow arrowheads). We estimated that ~34% of the plus ends shrink (we correct for missed rescues in Fig. 3C) as predicted by the GTP cap model. By contrast, most of the minus ends did not shrink after severing (an example is in Fig. 3A with its kymograph in Fig. 3B; see also Movie S1 (~80% minus ends nonshrinking), although these new minus ends can eventually be lost due to depolymerization (arrow in Fig. 3B). Thus, severing by spastin normally generates a new shrinking plus end and a new nonshrinking minus end.

Is modulation of microtubule dynamics necessary for the observed increase in the number and mass of microtubules? To answer this question, we extended the dynamic instability model introduced by Dogterom and Leibler (34) to include microtubule severing (Fig. 4A). Microtubules were considered to be in either a growing phase with growth rate $v_g$ or a shrinking phase with shrinkage rate $v_s$. Microtubules switch between these two states
with catastrophe frequency $f_{gs}$ (growth to shrinkage) and rescue frequency $f_{sg}$ (shrinkage to growth) (Fig. 4A, rows 1 and 2). We introduced a severing rate $k$ with units of length$^{-1}$ time$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4A, rows 3 and 4), assuming that any position on microtubules can be severed with equal probability. Based on our experimental observations, we assumed that the newly generated plus ends shrink while the new minus ends do not. Additionally, we considered severing as a localized event that does not affect the dynamic state of the original plus ends.

An important implication of the mathematical model is that severing activity alone is not sufficient for producing more microtubules. The reason is that, when the rescue frequency is low as is the case in the absence of spastin, newly generated plus ends will depolymerize after being severed and will soon disappear. Thus, severing does not lead to a net increase in the number of microtubules, yet it causes the existing microtubules to get shorter: the total mass will, therefore, decrease. This conclusion can be made rigorous by formulating an integro-differential equation to describe the growth of microtubule mass as a function of time (SI Appendix). The model predicts that an increase in microtubule number and mass requires at least one of the following conditions to be satisfied: (i) the severing enzymes increase the number of stable seeds from which microtubules can grow, or (ii) the severing enzymes shift the dynamics of microtubules into their unbounded growth regime in which the average length grown is more than the length shortened ($v_{g}/f_{gs} > v_{s}/f_{sg}$) or equivalently, the net flux onto each microtubule, $(v_{g}f_{sg}-v_{s}f_{sg})/(f_{sg}+f_{gs})$, is greater than zero (34). We found that the number of stable seeds does not increase, because while the new minus ends do not shrink, they are lost when the microtubules shrink completely (Fig. 3B shows an arrow on the disappearing minus end) and therefore, do not serve as stable seeds. Thus, condition i is not met. By contrast, condition ii is met. The promotion of rescue events and reduction of the shrinkage rate in the presence of spastin and ATP (Table 2) lead to a reversal in sign of the net flux onto the microtubules (Table 2, row 7). Thus, switching of microtubule dynamics into the unbounded growth regime is necessary for an increase in microtubule polymer mass and number by severing.

To investigate whether rescue promotion depends on ATP hydrolysis, we directly compared the effect of spastin on microtubule dynamics in the presence of either ATP or AMP-PNP, where ATP hydrolysis is inhibited, with all other conditions identical. We found that, even in the absence of ATP hydrolysis, the rescue frequency is still significantly higher than the control (Table 2, columns 4 and 5). Moreover, the net flux in the spastin + AMP-PNP condition is larger than zero, showing that ATP hydrolysis is not necessary for the switch into the unbounded growth regime (defined above). This shows that the ATP-independent promotion of regrowth by spastin is sufficient to increase microtubule mass. Because the surface assays fail to account for dissociated microtubules, we performed microtubule spin-down assays to measure microtubule length in the bulk solution. We chemically fixed dynamic microtubules in solution with glutaraldehyde before and after incubating with spastin and sedimented the microtubules onto lysine-coated coverslips (Materials and Methods). Microtubules were then visualized by each box, the midline shows the median value, the top and bottom lines show the 75th and 25th percentiles, and the whiskers are 1.5 interquartile ranges from the 75th and 25th percentiles. Outliers (outside the whisker range) are represented as empty circles. Sample size $N$ indicates the number of microtubules analyzed in each condition. (F and G) Catastrophe and rescue frequency in the presence or absence of 50 nM spastin under no adenosine nucleotide or 1 mM AMP-PNP conditions. Error bars represent the SD. Sample size $n = 4$ independent experiments. MT, microtubule.
direct immunofluorescence. Similar to the surface assay, the spin-down assay showed that the microtubule number and density increased in the bulk in the presence of spastin (Fig. 4B, Upper). In these experiments, we observed microtubule bundles as has been seen for human spastin (35, 36). Spastin had a pronounced effect on the microtubule length distribution. The steady-state length distribution in the absence of spastin was close to an exponential distribution as predicted by the model of Dogterom and Leibler (34) (Fig. 4B, Lower Left). Ten minutes after introducing 50 nM spastin, the mean length was shorter (5.5–4.0 μm), and the length distribution was narrower (SD decreased from 4.4 to 2.1 μm) and peaked (Fig. 4B, Lower Right), analogous to the predictions from previous mathematical models of actin filament severing (37) and microtubule severing (38). Thus, Drosophila spastin gives rise to a larger microtubule mass by a steady increase in the number of shorter microtubules.

To investigate the molecular mechanism of spastin-mediated regulation of microtubule dynamics, we visualized the interaction between fluorescently labeled spastin and dynamic microtubules with time-lapse TIRF microscopy and IRM. In the absence of adenosine nucleotide or with 1 mM AMP-PNP, we found that spastin accumulates with high local density at the shrinking microtubule plus ends (Fig. 5A). Similar signal foci corresponding to spastin accumulation were also detected in IRM. These distinct foci dwell at the microtubule ends and follow the tips during the shrinkage process (Fig. 5A and B, kymograph and Movie S2). In these experiments, slower shrinkage rates and increased rescue frequency were also observed, consistent with experiments using unlabeled spastin. During the microtubule growth phase, spastin binds along the microtubule shaft, but no obvious tip tracking or tip accumulation was seen (first frame in Fig. 5A, Right and kymograph in Fig. 5B, Upper). Furthermore, we tested if spastin also follows the shrinking microtubule tip in the presence of ATP, which is required for severing activity. After perfusing in the reaction mix containing fluorescently labeled spastin, unlabeled tubulin, GTP, and ATP, fluorescent signals appeared along the microtubules, and severing events quickly occurred. Similar to the no ATP and AMP-PNP conditions, foci containing high density of spastin resided at the shrinking plus ends generated from severing or catastrophe events and remained associated with the shrinking tips during shortening (an example is in Fig. 5C, red arrows and D, red arrowheads; see also Movie S3). Analysis of the time course of the intensity increase showed that the accumulation typically happens over the first 10–15 s after shrinkage in the presence of ATP (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Interestingly, the fluorescent signal of spastin at the GMP-CP microtubule regions (center part in Fig. 5A and C) is much weaker than the one at the GDP microtubule extension with or without ATP, suggesting that spastin may preferentially bind to the GDP lattice and thus, lessen the cutting at the microtubule ends. Together, our data demonstrate that spastin preferentially binds and tracks the shrinking microtubule ends independent of ATP hydrolysis.

Table 1. The effects of spastin on the dynamic parameters in the absence of ATP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Growth rate (μm/min)</th>
<th>Shrinkage rate (μm/min)</th>
<th>Catastrophe frequency (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Rescue frequency (min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin only</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.08</td>
<td>16 ± 7</td>
<td>0.098 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM spastin</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin + AMP-PNP</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>13 ± 6</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM spastin + AMP-PNP</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dynamic assay data at 8 μM tubulin from Fig. 1 were collected from four independent experiments for each condition. The values shown are mean ± SD. Total numbers of rescues observed in each condition are 0, 56, 1, and 39.

Discussion

We discovered that Drosophila spastin and tubulin are the minimal protein components sufficient to increase microtubule number and total polymer mass by combining severing activity with modulation of microtubule dynamics. Before accepting this conclusion, we addressed a number of potential caveats that may...
impact its validity. First, the increase in microtubule number and mass was not caused by polymerization from severed GMP-CPP microtubule fragments, because most of the newly generated microtubules lack fluorescence signal from the labeled seeds in our dynamic microtubule-severing assay (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Second, the increase in microtubule number was not due to surface binding artifacts leading to biased sampling in our flow channel assay, because we confirmed that spastin can increase microtubule density in solution using a microtubule spin-down assay (Fig. 4B). The increase in microtubule polymer mass was not a result of spontaneous, de novo nucleation, because this would lead to a linear increase in microtubule number, not the observed exponential increase, as expected if each spastin-induced cut led to two new microtubules. Another argument against spontaneous nucleation is that there was no observed de novo nucleation with only spastin, GTP, ATP, and tubulin at the concentration that we used, and spastin has only modest effects on the microtubule growth and catastrophe rates. Therefore, none of these caveats are likely to apply.

We conclude, therefore, that spastin increases microtubule mass by using its severing activity to create new microtubules that can serve as templates to support new microtubule growth as hypothesized by earlier works to explain the cellular phenotypes (19, 22, 23). These dual functions of spastin—severing and promotion of regrowth—lead to autocatalytic microtubule assembly. Thus, spastin has some functional similarities to augmin (39), which gives rise to autocatalytic microtubule growth through a branching rather than severing mechanism (40).

Molecular Mechanism of Microtubule Dynamics Regulation by Spastin. The key functional properties of spastin, in addition to the severing activity, are the slowing down of microtubule shrinkage and the promotion of rescue. In this way, microtubule dynamics switches from bounded to unbounded growth, which we showed using our mathematical model to be essential for the nucleation-like activity. What is the molecular mechanism behind spastin regulating shrinkage and rescue? A recent study of microtubule severases has suggested that the incorporation of
Average flux (μm/min) 0.71 ± 0.12 0.79 ± 0.15 0.70 ± 0.12 0.70 ± 0.13
Shrinkage rate, \( v_s \) (μm/min) 15.8 ± 5.1 9.9 ± 4.3 19.8 ± 8.0 5.1 ± 2.6
Catastrophe frequency, \( f_{sg} \), min\(^{-1}\) 0.096 ± 0.02 (0.099 ± 0.02) 0.11 ± 0.01 0.054 ± 0.017
Rescue frequency, \( f_{sr} \), min\(^{-1}\) 0.43 ± 0.13 3.12 ± 0.45 0.19 ± 0.08 1.36 ± 0.25
Probability of rescue 9.8 ± 3.2% 73.0 ± 6.1% 3.6 ± 1.9% 85.4 ± 8.9%
Average flux (\( v_f - v_{fg} \))/\( f_{sg} \), μm/min −2.35 +0.46 −6.82 +0.48

Table 2. The effects of spastin on the dynamic parameters in the presence of ATP compared with the effects in AMP-PNP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dynamics parameters</th>
<th>Tubulin control</th>
<th>50 nM spastin + ATP</th>
<th>Tubulin control + AMP-PNP</th>
<th>50 nM spastin + AMP-PNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth rate, ( v_c ), μm/min</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage rate, ( v_s ) (μm/min)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>9.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>19.8 ± 8.0</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophe frequency, ( f_{sg} ), min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.02 (0.099 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.054 ± 0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue frequency, ( f_{sr} ), min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of rescue</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.2%</td>
<td>73.0 ± 6.1%</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.9%</td>
<td>85.4 ± 8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average flux (( v_f - v_{fg} ))/( f_{sg} ), μm/min</td>
<td>−2.35</td>
<td>+0.46</td>
<td>−6.82</td>
<td>+0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microtubule dynamics were measured in the presence of spastin severing and in the presence of the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog AMP-PNP with 12 μM tubulin. The catastrophe frequency in the presence of spastin and ATP was not quantified due to the severing of microtubules taking place in the experiments. The catastrophe frequency quantified from control experiments was used to calculate the average flux for the case of spastin + ATP. The probability of rescue is defined as the number of rescue events divided by the number of shrinkage events. Number of microtubules analyzed: 78 (ATP control), 82 (ATP + spastin), 113 (AMP-PNP control), and 133 (AMP-PNP + spastin) from three independent experiments. The values shown are mean ± SD of the triplicates. Total numbers of rescues observed in each condition are 9, 63, 7, and 103.

GTP-tubulin–like islands into damaged sites generated by severing enzymes can promote rescues of the microtubules and increase total microtubule numbers (25). However, we discovered that a significant increase in the rescue frequency and slowing of the shrinkage rate were still observed in the absence of adenine nucleotide or in the presence of AMP-PNP (Fig. 1 B and C and Table 2), conditions under which neither severing nor lattice damage occur (25). To exclude the possibility of experimental variations, we performed additional control experiments measuring spastin’s effects on microtubule dynamics in the presence of ATP or AMP-PNP on the same day under identical conditions. The rescue frequencies were 3.46 ± 0.58 and 1.53 ± 0.24 min\(^{-1}\) (mean ± SE) for spastin + ATP and spastin + AMP-PNP conditions, respectively, which are consistent with our previous measurements in Table 2. Our results, therefore, demonstrate that spastin can promote rescue even when the ATP hydrolysis is inhibited and the lattice damage generated by spastin is absent. This suggests that spastin can promote microtubule rescue through a mechanism that does not involve self-repairing of damage and that GTP-tubulin incorporation into the microtubule lattice may be dispensable for the nucleation-like activity of microtubule severases.

Our time-lapse TIRF microscopy experiments provide an alternative mechanism for the effect of spastin on microtubule dynamics: accumulation and tracking of spastin molecules at the shrinking microtubule plus ends, which we observed under all conditions.

Fig. 5. Drosophila spastin tracks and concentrates on shrinking microtubule tips. (A) Representative time series showing that DyLight488-labeled spastin (shown in green) accumulates and remains associated with a microtubule plus end during shrinkage in the absence of ATP. Microtubules were visualized by IRM, and fluorescent spastin molecules were imaged by TIRF microscopy. Distinct foci were visible in both IRM and TIRF channels. Corresponding kymographs are shown in B. (C) Example time series of spastin molecules accumulating at a shrinking microtubule tip in the presence of 1 mM ATP. High-intensity foci localize at the shrinking plus end generated by severing (red arrows). Shrinkage stops in the last three frames, presumably due to a rescue event. The middle region, where the spastin signal is weak, corresponds to the GMP-CPP microtubule seed. (D) Line-scan fluorescence intensity profile of dynamic microtubule segments from C showing a high signal peak (red arrowheads) that corresponds to the high density of spastin that accumulates at the shrinking microtubule tip. Plus ends of microtubules are all pointing to the right.
Adenosine nucleotide conditions (ATP, AMP-PNP, and no adenosine nucleotide). We, therefore, propose that spastin can slow down microtubule shortening and promote rescue through multivalent interactions with shortening microtubule tips, which slows depolymerization of the GDP-tubulin lattice sufficiently to allow another GTP-tubulin cap to become established (Fig. 6).

Similar mechanisms have been proposed for the interaction of shrinking microtubule ends with the Dam1 and Ndc80 complexes to facilitate kinetochore microtubule attachment; both can decrease the microtubule shrinkage rate and increase rescue frequency (41–43). Spastin molecules can potentially cross-link adjacent tubulin dimers. Cross-linking along the protofilament is then expected to slow down dissociation of the dimer from the end. Cross-linking between protofilaments is expected to inhibit peeling, which in turn, would slow depolymerization. While it is easy to understand why accumulation of spastin would lead to a slowdown of shrinkage, it is more difficult to reconcile the slowdown with the subunit exchange/GTP island mechanism, because this mechanism predicts unchanged shrinkage speed until the island is reached.

Considering the high sequence and functional conservation, it is expected that the other microtubule severases, katanin and fidgetin, have similar activities to spastin. Similar to the case of katanin (28), we observed that free tubulin reduces the microtubule binding affinity of spastin (SI Appendix, Fig. S4) and thus, greatly increases the spastin concentration required for severing dynamic microtubules, therefore increasing microtubule number (e.g., while severing occurs at 50 nM spastin, it is very slow at 35 nM spastin). Katanin has been shown to decorate and depolymerize microtubules at both ends (29, 44). While we observed that spastin preferentially binds and tracks the shrinking microtubule plus ends, we did not observe notable end depolymerization activity for spastin in any of the concentrations that we tested (~1 nM to 4 μM). The molecular basis behind these disparities remains unclear, and additional biochemical and structural studies are needed to provide more insight into these differences between spastin and katanin.

Another interesting observation is the asymmetric fate of newly generated microtubule ends after severing by spastin. We found that the new plus ends, consistent with the GTP cap model, are mostly in the shrinking phase. This finding is consistent with the observations in plant cells (45) but is not in agreement with Vemuri et al. (25), who found that most of the new plus ends were in the growing phase. A possible explanation for the discrepancy is that Vemuri et al. (25) failed to correct for missed rescues that would reduce the number of shrinking events observed. This underestimation may be as large as 25%, considering rescue as a Poisson process. The stability of the new plus end has important implications with respect to the different models, because the subunit exchange mechanism predicts that the newly generated plus end will be stable if the cut occurs in the middle of the GTP island. Thus, more detailed study of spastin localization will be important.

The new minus ends, in contrast to plus ends, are mostly in the growth phase without significant shortening. This observation is curious: it seems to be inconsistent with the GTP cap model, because the exposed GDP lattice should shrink. However, the observation matches the results in early studies using UV lasers and glass microneedles to sever dynamic microtubules in vitro (46, 47). Given that this asymmetric behavior was observed in both mechanical and protein-induced severing raises the possibility that the two ends may be inherently different in terms of their GTP-tubulin caps and stability. The possible model is that the microtubule minus end with exposed GDP-tubulin can exist in two states with different stability: an unstable end that will depolymerize, and a stable end that can add GTP-tubulin to form a cap, which leads to growth. If the stable end is more prevalent than the unstable one, then severing will usually produce a stable minus end. The finding that Op18/stathmin differentially regulates catastrophe at the two ends suggests that there might be structural differences between the plus and minus ends (48, 49). Our understanding of the nature of microtubule minus ends is still very limited, and it remains an important topic to explore.

Are Severases Microtubule Network Assemblers or Disassemblers?

Severing enzymes were first proposed to facilitate the disassembly of cellular microtubules in sea urchin embryos (7) and disintegration of flagella in Chlamydomonas (50). This proposal was subsequently supported by studies showing that overexpression of spastin and katanin in cultured cells reduced the number of cellular microtubules (33, 51). Consistent with these findings, RNAi knockdown of spastin increases the number of stable microtubules (18), and inhibition of katanin leads to an increase in the size of mitotic spindles in Xenopus egg extracts (52). However, in vivo work on spastin and katanin suggested a possible nucleation-like and microtubule assembly activity for microtubule severases (16, 19–21). Our in vitro studies on spastin directly show that this protein has nucleation-like and microtubule network assembly activity. Indeed, if katanin behaves similarly in sea urchin embryos, then it may be involved not only in disassembling the interphase microtubules as originally thought (53) but also, in their assembly.

Severing enzymes have been proposed to both promote and antagonize microtubule assembly. Here, we propose a hypothesis that can potentially reconcile contradicting phenotypes. Our mathematical model predicts that the boundary between bounded and unbounded growth, which is determined by the microtubule dynamics parameters, serves as a threshold for whether severing is destructive or productive. Many microtubule-associated proteins alter the parameters of microtubule dynamics (54) and will, therefore, affect this threshold. Thus, the presence of other microtubule dynamics regulators is expected to have a significant impact on the effect of microtubule severing. An important example of regulation of microtubule-associated protein activity occurs in the cell cycle. For example, when
Xenopus egg extracts enter metaphase, microtubule dynamics shifts from the unbounded to bounded growth as a consequence of cyclin B-dependent catastrophe promotion (55). This change in dynamics can potentially couple with the severing activity to efficiently disintegrate the interphase microtubule network before spindle assembly at mitotic entry (53). Thus, the threshold between bounded and unbounded growth serves as a control parameter: crossing the threshold (for example, through the action of a microtubule-associated protein) switches the system from disassembly to assembly. Because assembly is autocatalytic, additional mechanisms to switch it off will be essential.

We have demonstrated that Drosophila spastin itself possesses the long-postulated microtubule nucleation-like activity and can alter the overall microtubule number and density by alteration of dynamic instability. An important implication is that severases can promote acenotosomal microtubule formation in systems, such as neurons, animal oocytes, and plant cells, where microtubule organization centers are distant or absent (11, 14, 19, 23). Other microtubule stabilizers, especially rescue factors, such as CLIPs and CLASP3 (45, 56, 57), can potentially further amplify the nucleation-like effect of microtubule severases or decrease the tubulin concentration needed by stabilizing the newly severed plus ends. Interestingly, severing proteins are crucial for both aligning Arabidopsis cortical microtubule arrays (13, 14, 58) and neuronal branching morphogenesis (15, 17, 21, 59). Spastin, as one of these two processes is distinctively different. Microtubules in plant cortical arrays are highly parallel, while those at the dendritic branches are presumably angled. These morphological differences of microtubule organization may arise from the differential participations of other microtubule-associated proteins, such as augmin-γ-TuRC complex, motors, and dynamic regulators with microtubule severing. It will be of great interest to understand how different microtubule-associated proteins can collectively modulate microtubule generation and dynamic instability to provide the versatility of microtubule severases and regulate the complex cellular microtubule networks.

Materials and Methods

**Protein Preparation.** Bovine brain tubulin was purified and labeled with cytochrome-c-carboxyltetramethylrhodamine (Cy3)-maleimide ester (TAMRA-NHS) (Thermofisher) according to the previously described protocol (61, 62). Stabilized microtubule seeds were polymerized with GMP-CPP (Jena Bioscience) following the method described previously (63). Drosophila melanogaster spastin short isoform (208-aa end) with an N-terminal KKCG tag for fluorescently labeling was cloned into pET vector with N-terminal His6-maltose-binding protein (MBP) tag using ligation-independent cloning. pET His6 MBP TEV cloning vector (1 M) was a gift from Scott Thrun, University of California, Berkeley, CA (Addgene plasmid 29656). Drosophila spastin tagged with His6-MBP was expressed in Escherichia coli (Rosetta-DE3–competent cells; Novagen) overnight at 16 °C. E. coli cells were first resuspended in cold lysis buffer (30 mM Heps, pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 10 μM ATP, protease inhibitors (0.2 mM pefabloc, 5 μg/mL leupeptin), 0.3 U/μL benzoin) and sonicated on ice to break the cells. The crude lysate was clarified and loaded onto a His-tagged protein purification column (GE-Healthcare), and it was washed with imidazole buffer (30 mM Heps, pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl, 5% glycerol, 50 mM imidazole, 2 mM DTT, 10 μM ATP). The protein was then eluted with continuous gradient elution (50 mM to 375 mM imidazole). Peak fractions were pooled and diluted with MBP binding buffer (30 mM Heps, 0.3 M NaCl, 5 mM MgSO4, 2 mM DTT, 10 μM ATP) to imidazole concentration less than 50 mM. The solution was then loaded on dextran-Sepharose column (GE-Healthcare), washed with MBP binding buffer, and eluted with 10 mM maltose. The eluted protein was first diluted with dialysis buffer (30 mM Heps, pH 7.4, 0.2 M KCl, 5 mM MgSO4, 10% glycerol, 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 μM ATP) to protein concentration lower than 2 mg/mL, dialyzed, and cycled the tag with TEV-protease (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. The uncleaved spastin and cleaved MBP were then removed by passing through his column. The flow through was then further purified and buffer exchanged to elution buffer Brinkey reassembly buffer (BB80 [80 mM piparaine-N,N-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES), pH 6.3, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2], 200 mM KCl, 10 μM ATP, and 0.5 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) with size exclusion column (Superdex 200; GE-Healthcare). The peak fractions were pooled, concentrated, flash frozen with liquid nitrogen, and stored in -80 °C.

For fluorophore labeling, purified spastin was treated with 1 mM TCEP in BRB80 + 150 mM KCl on ice for 20 min to reduce the thiol group of cysteine. DyLight488-maleimide (Thermofisher) was added to spastin in a 10:1 molar ratio and incubated at 4 °C for 2 h in the dark. Free fluorescent dye was removed by the dye removal column (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Fluorescently labeled spastin was then buffer exchanged with spin-desalting column (Thermofisher) into BB80 + 200 mM KCl + 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol.

**Microtubule Dynamic Assay and Imaging Condition.** Microtubule dynamic assay was done similar to the previously described method (63), with the exception that the imaging was done by IRM for unlabeled microtubules as formerly described (30). The imaging buffer consisted of BB80 supplemented with 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM DTT for IRM imaging. The microtubule dynamic assay was performed with 1 mM GTP, 8 μM tubulin, and 50 nM spastin with or without 1 mM AMP-PNP at 28 °C. Observation of fluorescent spastin on dynamic microtubule in the absence of adenosine nucleotide or with 1 mM AMP-PNP was performed similarly using 50 mM DyLight-488 spastin with unlabeled tubulin, supplemented with oxygen scavenger mix (40 mM glucose, 40 mg/mL glucose oxidase, 16 mg/mL catalase, 0.1 mg/mL casein, and 10 mM DTT). Microtubules were imaged with both IRM and TIRF microscopy, with an average frame rate of 0.23 frames per second (fps); 12 μM unlabeled tubulin with imaging buffer was first introduced to the flow chamber to grow dynamic microtubules from TAMRA-labeled microtubules. TAMRA-CPP seeds for IRM imaging were added to 30 times volume fixation solution (1% glutaraldehyde, 1 mM AMP-PNP in BB80) and incubated at room temperature for 2 min. The fixed reactions were then diluted 30 times with BB80 and quenched with 50 mM NH4Cl. Microtubule solution was then laid on top of a 200 mM KCl on ice for 20 min. Coverslips were then blocked with 2 mg/mL casein followed by incubation with 1:500 Alexa-488-labeled anti-α-tubulin antibody (clone DM1A; EMD Millipore) and visualized by epifluorescence.

**Dynamic Microtubule Severing Assay.** Dynamic microtubule-severing assay was performed similarly to microtubule dynamic assay, with the exception that higher concentration of antitubulin antibody (clone SAP.AGS; Sigma) or truncated kinesin rigor mutant (rat Kif5c-430 G235A) was used to affix the microtubules onto the surface to retain the cut microtubules from rapid detachment. The imaging buffer consisted of BB80, 1 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM GTP, 1 mM ATP supplemented with 40 mM glucose, 40 mg/mL glucose oxidase, 16 mg/mL catalase, 0.1 mg/mL casein, and 10 mM DTT. Microtubules were imaged with both IRM and TIRF microscopy, with an average frame rate of 0.23 frames per second (fps); 12 μM unlabeled tubulin with imaging buffer was first introduced to the flow chamber to grow dynamic microtubules from TAMRA-labeled microtubules. TAMRA-CPP seeds for IRM imaging were added to 30 times volume fixation solution (1% glutaraldehyde, 1 mM AMP-PNP in BB80) and incubated at room temperature for 2 min. The fixed reactions were then diluted 30 times with BB80 and incubated with 50 mM NH4Cl. Microtubule solution was then laid on top of a 35% glycerol-BrB80 cushion and spun down to the polysacrylate-treated 8-mm round coverslips in a Beckman TLS-55 swinging bucket rotor at 35,000 rpm for 20 min. The coverslips were then blocked with 2 mg/mL casein followed by incubation with 1:500 Alexa-488-labeled anti-α-tubulin antibody (clone DM1A; EMD Millipore) and visualized by epifluorescence.

**Image Analysis.** Kymographs of individual microtubules were created with ImageJ software (NIH) to measure the microtubule dynamics. Growth rates and shrinkage rates were measured from the ratio of horizontal and vertical distances from the kymographs. Catastrophe frequency was determined by the total number of catastrophe events divided by the total growth time. Similarly, rescue frequency was determined by the number of rescues observed divided by total shrinkage time. Lengths of microtubules were measured with ImageJ. Dipf correction of movies was performed by using the template-matching ImageJ plugin (64). In the case of the dynamic microtubule-severing assay, movies from the IRM channel were first thresholded. TIRF channel images were used as a mask to remove the GMP-CPP microtubules. The relative total microtubule mass in dynamic severing assay was then measured using the ImageJ built-in Analyze Particles algorithm and normalized by the first frame. For microtubule length distribution measurement in
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