Structure of HIV-1 RT/dsRNA initiation complex prior to nucleotide incorporation
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The initiation phase of HIV reverse transcription has features that are distinct from its elongation phase. The first structure of a reverse transcription initiation complex (RTIC) that trapped the complex after incorporation of one ddCMP nucleotide was published recently [Larsen KP, et al. (2018) Nature 557:118–122]. Here we report a crystal structure of a catalytically active HIV-1 RT/dsRNA complex that mimics the state of the RTIC before the first nucleotide incorporation. The structure reveals that the dsRNA-bound conformation of RT is closer to that of RT bound to a nonnucleoside RT inhibitor (NNRTI) and dsDNA; a hyperextended thumb conformation helps to accommodate the relatively wide dsRNA duplex. The RNA primer 3′ end is positioned 5 Å away from the polymerase site; however, unlike in an NNRTI-bound state in which structural elements of RT restrict the movement of the primer, the primer terminus of dsRNA is not blocked from reaching the active site of RT. The observed structural changes and energetic cost of bringing the primer 3′ end to the priming site are hypothesized to explain the slower nucleotide incorporation rate of the RTIC. An unusual crystal lattice interaction of dsRNA with its symmetry mate is reminiscent of the RNA architecture within the extended vRNA–tRNA<sub>lys3</sub> complex in the RTIC. This RT/dsRNA complex captures the key structural characteristics and components of the RTIC, including the RT conformational changes and interactions with the dsRNA primer-binding site region, and these features have implications for better understanding of RT initiation.

HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) is responsible for copying the retroviral single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) genome into viral double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). While copying the ~10-kb HIV-1 ssRNA genome to make the viral dsDNA, RT uses RNA- and DNA-dependent DNA polymerization to incorporate ~20,000 nucleotides. During most of the dsDNA synthesis, RT binds a dsDNA or RNA–DNA complex (RTIC). The unique structural features help understand the significance slower rate of nucleotide incorporation by an RTIC compared with a catalytically efficient reverse transcription elongation complex (RTIC). The unique structural features help understand the significantly slower rate of nucleotide incorporation by an RTIC compared with a catalytically efficient reverse transcription elongation complex. This complex may help in designing new experiments for understanding the intricate and slow process of reverse transcription initiation.
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Significance

RT is a key enzyme in the life cycle of HIV, and is targeted by multiple antiviral drugs. Although for most of its function RT binds a dsDNA or RNA–DNA template–primer substrate, initiation of reverse transcription involves binding of dsRNA. The current study presents a structure of an RT/dsRNA complex that has the basic components of a reverse transcription initiation complex (RTIC). The unique structural features help understand the slower rate of nucleotide incorporation by an RTIC compared with a catalytically efficient reverse transcription elongation complex. This complex may help in designing new experiments for understanding the intricate and slow process of reverse transcription initiation.
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Structural study of the RTIC has been challenging, and the recent outstanding single-particle cryo-EM structure by Puglisi and coworkers (19) provided the first glimpse of RTIC architecture. A modified tRNA³Lys containing a cross-linkable N2-thioalkyl guanine (G) base at the sixth position from the 3’ end (20) was annealed to the PBS region of a 101-nucleotide long 5’ UTR section of vRNA, and the thioalkylguanine of the annealed tRNA–vRNA formed a disulfide cross-link with Q258C mutant RT, yielding a homogeneous and stable RTIC complex for structural study. The cryo-EM study generated an experimental density map [Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB)-7032] for the RT/vRNA generated an experimental density map [Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB)-7031] for the core RT/dsRNA (PBS region); a final model containing a dsRNA and an RT poly-Ala backbone was generated (PDB ID 6B19) by fitting the structural elements of RT to the 4.5-Å map. The structures revealed the basic architecture and several important features of the RTIC; however, structural and functional studies of different states of the RTIC are required for gaining broader insights into the mechanism of reverse transcription initiation.

The cross-linking of dsRNA to RT was performed with primer extension (19), i.e., the designed RTIC is catalytically active. Given that the protein–RNA cross-link should not permit translocation, the incorporated 2’,3’-ddCMP was expected to occupy the position that corresponds to the nucleotide-binding site (N site) (Fig. 1A). The published RTIC structure revealed that the ddCMP was incorporated at the primer 3’ end; unexpectedly, however, the primer 3’ end is positioned away from both the priming (P) and N sites. One important question is: How can the RTIC incorporate the first nucleotide if the primer end is not positioned for catalysis? Here we report a crystal structure of an HIV-1 RT/dsRNA catalytic complex at 3.95-Å resolution in which the 3’ end of the primer RNA strand is expected to be at the P site (Fig. 1B), a state competent for incorporation of the first nucleotide.

**Results and Discussion**

**Structural Features of the RT/dsRNA Complex.** We designed a 23-mer template/17-mer primer dsRNA to mimic the PBS region of a 101-nucleotide long 5’ UTR section of vRNA, and the mutated thumb residue C258 in the Q258C mutant RT has to reach the P site for nucleotide incorporation (20, 21). The cross-linked complex was shown to be catalytically competent for polymerization by incorporating α-32P dCTP (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The RT/dsRNA complex crystallized in a new crystal form with P43212 space group symmetry, in contrast to the usual P21 crystal form that we routinely obtain for the same protein–dsRNA complex. A crystal structure of an HIV-1 RT/dsRNA catalytic complex has been reported (19). The vRNA–tRNA³Lys was cross-linked to RT at “G” and primer extended with catalytic incorporation of a ddCMP.

The RT/dsRNA structure was reported to be catalytically competent for polymerization by incorporating α-32P dCTP (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The RT/dsRNA complex crystallized in a new crystal form with P43212 space group symmetry, in contrast to the usual P21 crystal form that we routinely obtain for the same protein–dsRNA complex. A crystal structure of an HIV-1 RT/dsRNA catalytic complex has been reported (19). The vRNA–tRNA³Lys was cross-linked to RT at “G” and primer extended with catalytic incorporation of a ddCMP.

Fig. 1. Structural features of the RT/dsRNA complex. (A) Nucleotide sequence of the annealed PBS region of vRNA–tRNA³Lys in the RTIC for which the cryo-EM structure was reported (19). The vRNA–tRNA³Lys was cross-linked to RT at “G” and primer extended with catalytic incorporation of a ddCMP. (B) Nucleotide sequence of dsRNA used in the current study; P, primer strand and T, template. The template G was cross-linked to the Q258C position of RT without primer extension; the RNA primer 3’ end is expected to be at the priming (P) site. For the template cross-linking, the G and C positions of the sixth base pair were switched compared with the naturally annealed PBS–tRNA³Lys sequence. (C) The 3.95-Å resolution (2Fo−Fc) electron density map contoured at 1.4σ defines the backbone of dsRNA in the binding cleft of RT. (D) Superposition of the RT/dsRNA structure (blue protein, green thumb, and orange RNA) with the RT/dsDNA reverse transcription elongation complex (PDB ID 5TXL; gray protein and DNA). The thumb of the RT/dsRNA structure, as defined by the electron density, is in a hyper-extended position. (E) A close-up view of the polymerase active site shows that the 3’ end of the RNA primer is located about 5 Å away from the DNA 3’ terminus, which is at the P site; the primer grip is also repositioned. (F) Superposition of the RT/dsRNA structure (blue protein, orange RNA) on the structure of the RTIC core (PDB ID 6B19; yellow protein and RNA) shows high structural resemblance. (G) Relative locations of the primer 3’ ends: P’ of RT/dsRNA (blue/orange), (P + 1)’ of cryo-EM RTIC N complex (yellow), and P of RT/dsDNA (gray) structures. The incorporated ddCMP is at (P + 1)’ and the nucleotide corresponding to P’ of RT/dsRNA is located at P’ in the RTIC structure. The primer 3’ end has to reach the P site for nucleotide incorporation by RT.
two copies of the complex in the asymmetric unit; however, copy 1 (with chain IDs A, B, T, and P for p66, p51, template, and primer, respectively) has better electron density for the dsRNA (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Fig. S2), and all of the analyses are based on copy 1 unless otherwise stated. The X-ray data and refinement statistics are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1.

The dsRNA has significant conformational differences with dsDNA or RNA–DNA in their RT-bound states. RT conformationally adapts to accommodate different types of nucleic acid duplexes: dsRNA, dsDNA, or RNA–DNA. In the RT/dsRNA structure, the p66 thumb subdomain is in a hyperextended state (Fig. 1D) compared with RT elongation complexes (represented by the structure of RT/dsDNA/dATP complex; PDB ID 5TXL). Unexpectedly, the 3′ end of the RNA primer is located at a position P*, which is about 5 Å away from the P site of an RT elongation complex (Fig. 1E). The two independent copies of RT/dsRNA in the asymmetric unit are highly superimposable, and also superimpose well on the 4.5-Å resolution cryo-EM structure of RT/dsRNA (N complex); the superimposed thumb subdomains are at a hyperextended position, and the dsRNAs follow a very similar track (Fig. 1F). However, the nucleotide corresponding to the RNA primer 3′ end is at the P* position in the cryo-EM RT/dsRNA (N complex); the P* position is about 3 Å away from the P position and about 7 Å away from the P site (Fig. 1G). Neither the primer-terminal nucleotide at the P* nor at the P position would permit nucleotide incorporation, and the primer 3′ end has to move to the P site. This mispositioning of the primer terminus away from the P site may correlate with the significantly slower rate of nucleotide incorporation, and the primer 3′ end has to move to the P site (Fig. 1G).

Interactions Between dsRNA and RT. The RNA duplex has adopted largely A-form geometry and has less conformational flexibility than dsDNA and RNA–DNA duplexes. An overlay of dsRNA, dsDNA, and RNA–DNA duplexes based on the alignment of RNase H domains shows two distinct conformations and paths (Fig. 2A; stereoview in SI Appendix, Fig. S3A): (i) dsRNAs in the RT/dsRNA and RTIC core structures represent the reverse transcription initiation state, and (ii) the RNA–DNA and dsDNA-bound structures including the nevirapine-inhibited RT/dsDNA/nevirapine complex represent the elongation state. Based on the RNase H superposition, it is clear that RT undergoes a significant structural rearrangement to accommodate the dsRNA compared with an elongation substrate; the primer grips are about 8 Å apart and the polymerase active sites are about 7 Å apart when dsRNA-bound RT and dsDNA-bound RT are aligned based on the RNase H superposition.

To assess the extent of RT interactions with the different nucleic acid duplexes along the binding cleft, we plotted the number of interatomic distances (≤4.5 Å) between each nucleotide and RT in the RT/dsRNA complex (Fig. 2B) and compared them with those in the RT/dsDNA/nevirapine, RT/dsDNA, and RT/RNA–DNA complex structures (Fig. 2C–E). This analysis reveals that the 5′ end of the RNA template has the most interactions with the p66 fingers subdomain, which is consistent with the well-ordered electron density for this region (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). The remaining portions of the dsRNA interact less extensively with RT compared with the interactions of nucleic acid duplexes in RT elongation complexes including the nevirapine-bound one. Some of the key differences in the RT/dsRNA complex structure are (i) no close interactions of dsRNA with the primer grip, (ii) relatively weak interaction of the template with the thumb-connection region (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C), and (iii) poor interaction of the RNA primer with the RNase H primer grip (SI Appendix, Fig. S3D). The local alignments in SI Appendix, Figs. S3 C and D show that the RT interacts less favorably with dsRNA compared with elongation substrates. A comparison of the relative B factors of RT-bound dsRNA vs. dsDNA also correlates with the extent of their interactions with RT by showing higher

Fig. 2. Interactions of dsRNA with HIV-1 RT. (A) Superposition of the structures of the RT/dsRNA complex (blue RNase H, orange dsRNA, RT/dsDNA in the RTIC (PDB ID 6B19; yellow), RT/RNA–DNA/dATP (PDB ID 4PQU; green), RT/dsDNA/dATP (PDB ID 5TXL; gray), and RT/dsDNA/NRTI (PDB ID 3V81; cyan) based on aligning the RNase H domains. The superposition shows two distinct nucleic acid tracks: (i) one for the RT/dsRNA and RTIC initiation complex structures, and (ii) the other for the remaining three structures that represent RNA- and DNA-dependent elongation complexes and NRTI-inhibited elongation complex. Plot of number of interactions (non-hydrogen atom distances ≤4.5 Å) of individual nucleotides with RT in the RT/dsRNA (B), RT/dsDNA/nevirapine (C), RT/dsDNA/dATP (D), and RT/RNA–DNA/dATP (E) complexes. Sequence and number of distances for the template strand nucleotides are at the Top and for the primer strand nucleotides are at the Bottom in each plot; the y axes represent the number of interactions (distances ≤4.5 Å) of individual nucleotides with RT. Some of the important structural differences are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S3.
B factors for most of dsRNA and lower B factors for the parts of dsDNA that interact with RT (SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

The Hyperextended Thumb of RT Is a Requirement for Initiation of Reverse Transcription. Pairwise comparisons of structures of RT complexes show that the RT conformation in the current RT/dsRNA complex closely resembles that of RT in RT/dsDNA/nucleoside RT inhibitor (NNRTI) complex (PDB ID 3V81; Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S5); the p66 palm, thumb, and connection subdomains and most of p51 superimpose well, whereas, the fingers and RNase H deviate the most when the two structures are aligned. The RTIC cryo-EM publication (19) also reported the resemblance with the 3V81 structure, and consequently, the authors used the 3V81 structure as a template for fitting the RT model to the density (EMDB-7031).

RT has been known to require large domain movements for interconverting between different functional states (18). It has been shown in the past that (i) the thumb subdomain is folded into the nucleic acid-binding cleft in apo-RT structures, (ii) the thumb opens up to bind a dsDNA or an RNA–DNA duplex in an RT elongation complex, and (iii) binding of an NNRTI causes hyperextension of the thumb. A highly superimposable palm–thumb region of RT/dsRNA and RT/dsDNA/nevirapine complexes suggests that RT may need to attain a state with the hyperextended thumb as a requirement for the formation of the RTIC. The NNRTI-binding pocket region is open the most in the RT/dsRNA complex compared with the pocket region in any other RT structure without a bound NNRTI. In general, displacement of the primer grip and flipping of the Y181 and Y188 side chains are associated with formation of the binding pocket for a typical NNRTI.

In the RT/dsDNA structure, the primer grip has an open conformation, with formation of the binding pocket for a typical NNRTI. In the RT/dsRNA complex; the initiation complex switches to an elongation complex (13). The positioning of the RNA primer terminus in a catalytically competent fashion. Incorporation of ddCMP into the RTIC (19), apparently would have involved such a structural arrangement. The factors such as a faster rate of dissociation of RT from vRNA–tRNA$^{\text{A}193}$ as suggested by single-molecule studies (25) appear to contribute to the slower rate of nucleotide incorporation by the RTIC. It is likely that the structural state (Fig. 1F) observed for RT/dsRNA and RTIC leads either to a catalytic complex in the presence of dCTP and Mg$^{2+}$ ions or to dissociation, considering that an RTIC dissociates $\sim$200 times faster than an RT elongation complex (13). The positioning of the RNA primer 3' end away from the polymerase active site as observed in RT/dsRNA structures may also account for the slower rate of nucleotide incorporation by the RTIC. Following the incorporation of the first six nucleotides, the nucleic acid stretch between the thumb and the polymerase site (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C) becomes an RNA–DNA duplex with a conformation similar to that in a RNA-dependent DNA polymerization elongation complex; the initiation complex switches to an elongation complex with $\sim$3,400-fold increase in nucleotide incorporation efficiency.

**Fig. 3.** dsRNA-bound RT closely resembles RT in the RT/dsRNA/nevirapine complex. (A) The polymerase domains of the RT/dsRNA and RT/dsDNA/nevirapine structures as aligned in SI Appendix, Fig. S5 shows high structural resemblance of palm and thumb subdomains, and an almost open NNRTI pocket in the RT/dsRNA structure despite no inhibitor being present. However, the tracks of the nucleic acids leading from thumb to primer 3' ends are significantly different. (B) A close-up view of the polymerase site and NNRTI pocket shows that the primer 3' ends in both structures are displaced away from the polymerase site, and also about 3.5 Å apart. Only minimal structural arrangements of the NNRTI pocket region of RT/dsRNA structure are needed to accommodate nevirapine; the major adjustment required is the repositioning of W229 as indicated by an arrow. The side chains of Y181 and Y188 have disordered electron density (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), and therefore are not included in the RT/dsDNA structure.
A study of the structures following the successive incorporation of each of the first six DNA nucleotides will elucidate the conformational switching from the initiation to elongation state of RT.

In contrast to the primer terminus repositioning in an RTIC, as discussed, the position of the primer terminus in the RT/dsDNA-nevirapine complex is constrained by the combination of (i) restricted primer grip position by a bound NNRTI and (ii) sustained interaction between primer and primer grip (Fig. 4). As a consequence of bound NNRTI, the locked primer grip acts as a structural barrier that prevents the primer 3′ end from reaching the P site for nucleotide incorporation (22).

Low Binding Affinity of dsRNA with HIV-1 RT. Experimentally, formation of a stable RTIC or RT/dsRNA complex for structural study has only been successful by cross-linking the RNA to the RT p66 thumb at the middle of the nucleic acid-binding cleft (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). In fact, in another attempt to obtain the structure of RT/dsRNA, we cross-linked the template RNA overhang with the amino acid residue C63 on the fingers subdomain of I63C mutant RT. The structure of a catalytic elongation complex of I63C mutant RT cross-linked to the template overhang of a dsDNA was determined successfully at 2.5-Å resolution (27). An analogous experiment with a dsRNA led successfully to the formation of an RT/dsRNA cross-linked product (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B), and the complex crystallized in a new P1 crystal form that diffracted to 4-Å resolution (28). However, the structure did not show binding of the dsRNA in the nucleic acid-binding cleft (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C): the electron density clearly showed the thumb position closed down and occupying the cleft. Based on the analysis of RT–nucleic acid interactions in different RT structures (Fig. 2), it appears that the fingers cross-linking with the template overhang would not enhance the relatively weak interactions of dsRNA with RT in the cleft. In contrast, the thumb cross-link places the dsRNA within the cleft and the weak RT–dsRNA interactions define the track of the dsRNA in the cleft. Significant differences in the binding of thumb cross-linked dsRNA vs. thumb cross-linked dsDNA or RNA–DNA imply that the minor groove cross-linking to the sixth base with a flexible linker has no or minimal impact on the nucleic acid interactions with RT that predominantly involve the sugar-phosphate backbone, and that the cross-linked complexes are functionally relevant.

Importance of tRNA–vRNA Interactions Beyond the PBS Duplex in the RTIC. In the current structure of RT/dsRNA cross-linked to Q258C RT, the new crystal form exhibits noteworthy crystal lattice interactions. Between the two copies of the RT/dsRNA complex in the asymmetric unit of the crystal, the dsRNA of copy 1 has better density and lower B factors compared with weaker density and higher B factors for copy 2 dsRNA (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Analysis of the crystal symmetry contacts revealed an unusual weak dsRNA:dsRNA interaction involving sugar-phosphate backbone atoms only (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A), where the minimum distance between any two atoms from the symmetry-related dsRNAs is $\geq 3.5$ Å. This type of interaction has not been observed in crystal packing of any RT complex. We placed the copy 1 RT/dsRNA and the interacting symmetry-related dsRNA into the published 8-Å cryo-EM density of the RTIC (EMDB-7032) by fitting the RT/dsRNA complex to the density map. Interestingly, the dsRNA (symmetry) occupied the density that is located just above the RT/dsRNA part in EMD-7032 (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B); this piece of density has been assigned to helix 1 (H1) and the connecting loop of vRNA (19). The observed RNA:RNA interaction in the current structure is a surprise mimic of the interaction between two structural segments within the vRNA–tRNA $^{53}$ complex. This distance is also analogous to that observed in ternary folds of tRNA structures, in which diffuse Mg$^{2+}$ ions appear to stabilize the negatively charged backbone in close proximity (28). Apparently, diffuse Mg$^{2+}$ ion-mediated RNA:RNA backbone interaction facilitates the crystal packing in the RT/dsRNA structure. This type of RNA:RNA interaction may contribute to form a compact vRNA–tRNA$_{53}$ complex and improve the binding of the PBS stretch of dsRNA duplex to RT in the RTIC (29, 30). Posttranscriptional modifications influence folding and structures of tRNAs (31), and various posttranscriptional modifications of tRNA$_{53}$ and extended template–primer interactions are important for efficient initiation and the transition from initiation to elongation of HIV-1 reverse transcription (32). Future studies may reveal how the interplay among the structural elements helps in stabilizing an intact structure for vRNA–tRNA$_{53}$ to form the RTIC.

Methods

RT–dsRNA Cross-Linking and Purification. The RT construct RT127A containing Q258C and D498N in the p66 subunit and C280S in both p66 and p51 subunits was used for cross-linking with a dsRNA. This RT construct has been used for structural studies of RT elongation complexes with dsDNA and RNA–DNA and also for the RT/dsDNA/nevirapine complex. RT127A was expressed and purified as previously reported (22). Briefly, the RT was expressed in BL21-CodonPlus-RIL cells, induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside at an $A_{600}$ of 0.9, followed by expression at 37 °C for 3 h. The cells were sonicated at a power output of ~45 W with a Misonix 3000 sonicator. The samples were purified using a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) column according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Qiagen). The final purification step was carried out using a Mono Q column, and the purified RT samples were buffer exchanged into 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 75 mM NaCl.

The dsRNA (Fig. 18) has the sequence of the vRNA (PBS)–tRNA duplex that is required to bind RT as a part of an RTIC. A 23-mer RNA template (5′-AGGACUGUGGCAGCCGAACAGGGAC) bearing a cross-linkable thiaoalkyl tether...
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Cross-linker at N6, and (10 mM Tris unit (30-kDa cutoff) was used to exchange the buffer into 75 mM NaCl, and the presence of EDTA. The cross-linked RT/dsRNA complex was purified. The 23:17-mer dsRNA was cross-linked to RT127A at the mutated Q258C site. Hepes pH 7.0 was put in a liter of water at 95 °C. This was allowed to cool, covered with an ice bucket for 4.5 h until the temperature reached 29 °C. The 23:17-mer dsRNA was cross-linked to RT127A; cross-linking of elongation complexes are typically done in the presence of 5 mM MgCl₂ and no EDTA. The RT/dsRNA complex was first combined with EDTA, then the other components were added, and the complex-primer adenine was left last. The mixture was put in a 37 °C water bath for 6 h, and then at 4 °C. Unlike for the formation of previous elongation complexes, no primer extension was observed in the crystal structure of the RT/dsRNA complex due to a considerably low concentration of Mg²⁺ ions and the presence of EDTA. The cross-linked RT/dsRNA complex was purified using Ni-NTA and heparin columns in tandem. An Amicon Ultra-4 ultracent (30-kDa cutoff) was used to exchange the buffer into 75 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris·HCl, pH 8.0. The protein was concentrated to 10 mg/mL. The final yield of material ready for crystallization was 69 μg. The terminal His tag on p51 was not cleaved to avoid further losses of the small amount of complex. An attempt was made to generate an analogous cross-linked construct with a construct identical to RT127A, but with I63C (fingers) (27) instead of Q258C (thumb). The 23-mer template was the same except (i) the fourth template base from the S' end (adenine) contained a two-base cross-linker at N₂, and (ii) the G and C at the sixth position from the primer 3' end are as in the natural PBS sequence. The cross-linking was successful (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B), the thumb was down and dsRNA appeared to be outside of the nucleic acid-binding cleft and disordered in the crystal structure (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C).

**Crystallization and Data Collection.** Sitting drops of 0.5 μL of protein plus 0.4 μL of well solution were set up at 4 °C on CombiCovo Jr. plates from Emsley (1997) screen and controls. The well solution contained 10–12% PEG 8000 (w/v), 50 mM Bis-Tris propane pH 6.8–7.2, 100 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 5% sucrose (w/v), 5% glycerol (w/v), and 20 mM MgCl₂. The crystals were cryoprotected by dipping in crystallization solution with glycerol concentration elevated to 10%, and 15%, then 20%. An X-ray diffraction dataset was collected from one crystal at the F1 beamline, Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS). The data were processed and scaled using MOSFILM and Aimless, respectively, as implemented in CCP4 (33). RT/dsRNA in the current study crystallized in a lattice with P4̄2₁2₂ 2 space group symmetry compared with a P2₁ crystal system for all RT127A elongation complexes, and a P1 crystal form of RT/dsRNA rimplicated in (Appendix, Fig. S7C). Structure determination revealed that a dsRNA/dsRNA crystal lattice interaction appears to be responsible for this change in space group. The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the RT model from structure SXTL as the starting model for the program Phaser as implemented in the Phenix suite of programs (34). The positions and orientations of the protein subdomains/sub-structures were optimized by rigid body refinement. Cycles of model building and refinement using Coot (35) and Phineas, respectively, developed clear density for dsRNA in copy 1 of the two copies of the complexes in the asymmetric unit. Inclusion of the second dsRNA (copy 2) and further refinement and rebuilding improved the difference density for the second dsRNA (copy 2). The structure figures were generated using PyMOL. The structure factors and coordinates are deposited in PDB with accession code 6HAK, and the data processing and refinement statistics are in SI Appendix, Table S1.
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